



BIOCHEMISTRY

ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF INDIAN MEDICINAL PLANT *PHYLLANTHUS AMARUS* L. UNDER SUPPLEMENTARY UV-B RADIATION

A. Indrajith, K.C. Ravindran*

Department of Botany, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar – 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

The stratospheric ozone depletion and enhanced solar ultraviolet-B (UV-B) irradiance may have adverse impact on living organism. The impact of UV-B radiation (UV-B, 280~320nm) on growth, biochemical and antioxidant enzymes activity was studied in *Phyllanthus amarus* (L.) seedling, commonly used as a green manure. The supplementary UV-B radiation significantly decreased the growth, development and changes in UV-B absorbing compounds such as anthocyanin and flavonoids. The antioxidant enzymes were unaffected and showed an enhanced activities in peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, Polyphenoloxidase and phenylalanine ammonia- lyase except catalase in UV-B irradiated seedling. *Phyllanthus amarus* seedling tries to counteract high level of reactive oxygen species produce under UV-B stress through the increased activities of antioxidant enzyme. We suggest that *Phyllanthus amarus* is resistant against UV-B radiation damage and the possible negative effect of additional UV-B radiation on the growth of seedling may have been effectively balanced by the UV-B radiation stress through increase in UV absorbing compound and antioxidant enzymes.

Key Words: Antioxidant; Flavonoids; *Phyllanthus amarus*; Oxidative stress; UV-B radiation.

Introduction

The stress affecting plants are numerous and often species or even variety or location specific. They induce drought, high salinity, temperature extremes, water logging, mineral nutrients deficiency, metal toxicity, pollutants and ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation [1]. It has been established that depletion of the stratosphere ozone layer is increasing the level of ultraviolet-B radiation reaching the earth surface [2]. Scenarios based chemistry-climate models shows that in the middle of 21st century, UV-B radiation at ground level is enhanced due to high concentration of greenhouse gases and halogenated species [3].

A wide range of morphological, growth, biochemical, and physiological responses of plant have been reported to elevate UV-B radiation [2, 4, 5]. Plant have developed a complex biochemical defense system that including carotenoids and flavanoids. Flavonoid compounds, as secondary metabolites are considered to play a major

role in protecting plants from UV-B damage [6]. These flavonoids generally absorb the light in the region of 280~320 nm and thus are capable of acting as a UV filter, thereby protecting the photosynthetic tissues from damage [7]. Flavaonoids stabilize and protect the lipid phase of the thylakoid membrane, and are quenchers of the excited triplet state of chlorophyll and singlet oxygen [8]. A part from the flavonoids, carotenoids also having antioxidant property, which act as an internal filter against UV-B radiation. Plants scavenge reactive oxygen species by detoxification mechanism produced by enzymatic antioxidant such as catalase, Peroxidase, Superoixde dismutase and Phenylalanins ammonia-lyase etc [9]. Some plants are more tolerant to UV-B than other because they produce a variety of secondary metabolites that effectively absorb UV-B and prevent it from penetrating in to the leaf mosophyll cells. The aim of this work was to investigate growth, UV-absorbing pigments, and antioxidant defense mechanism of *Phyllanthus*

* Corresponding Author

amarus seedling against UV-B radiation under field condition.

for 12 hours in dark at 4°C to extract flavonoids and the absorbance was read at 315 nm.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and UV-B exposure

Seeds were surface sterilized with 0.2% CuSO₄ for 12h and grown in plastic trays containing a soil mixture of sand (70 per cent) and compost (30 per cent). Seeds were grown in 16/8h light /dark photoperiod. After germination the selected seedlings were transferred in to field and subjected to ultraviolet B radiation with Philips sun lamps (Philips TL 20 W/12, N.V. Philips Gloelampenfabrickan, Holland) was installed 15 cm above the seedling and oriented in an east west direction. UV radiation was filtered through cellulose acetate filter paper to avoid transmission of wave length below 290 nm, and controls were exposed to normal day light. Seedlings were irradiated for 2 hours per day (11.00 to 13.00h) for 8 days.

Growth parameters and photosynthetic pigments

Total length of the seedlings and fresh weight were measured immediately after removing the seedling from the experimental field. Leaf area was calculated by using the Licor 3100 leaf area meter (LICOR, model LI- 3100, Lincoln, USA). For leaf thickness, leaf bits of 0.5X1.5 cm were cut and cross sections of leaves were prepared by using a rotary microtome. Chlorophyll content was estimated by the procedure of moran and Porath [10] using the formulae suggested by Inskeep and Bloom [11]. Fresh leaf disc of 100mg were cut and placed in a test tube containing 10 ml of N, N' – dimethyl formamide (DMF) and stored for 24h at 4°C. The colored supernatant was used for chlorophyll estimation. By reading the absorbance 647 and 666 nm in a spectrophotometer with DMF as blank for chlorophyll.

Anthocyanin content was extracted in acidified methanol (1:99 – HCl: methanol) in 100 mg of leaf material. Extract was kept at 0°C for 24 hours. After 24h, the content was made up to 10ml and the absorbance was read at 530 nm, as described by mancinelli et al.,[12]. Flavonoids were extracted and quantified by mirecki and Teramara [13] method. 100 mg of leaves were placed in 80 per cent acidified methanol (methanol: water: HCl– 80: 20:1)

Measurement of the Activities of Catalase, Peroxidase and Superoxide dismutase

Catalase (CAT, EC 1. 11.1.6) activity was measured by the method of machly and chance [14]. One gram of leaf tissue was homogenized in 10ml of 0.1 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7 and centrifuged at 4°C for 10 minutes at 10,000g. An aliquot of 1ml of supernatant of the enzyme extract was added to the reaction mixture containing 1ml of 0.01 M H₂O₂, 3ml of 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8. The reaction was stopped after on incubation of 5 minutes at 20°C by addition of 10ml of 1 per cent H₂SO₄. The acidified medium without or with the enzyme extract was titrated against 0.005N KMnO₄.

Peroxidase (POX, EC 1.11.17) activity was assayed by the method of Kumar and Khan [15] and Superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) activity by Beauchamp and Fridouich [16]. 1g of leaves were homogenized with 20ml of ice cold extraction medium containing 2M on MgCl₂, 1mM EDTA, 10mM β - Mercaptoethanol, 7 per cent PVP and 10 mM sodium metabisulphate. The homogenate was strained through two layers of cheesecloth and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 minutes and the supernatant was made up to 20ml with the same buffer and it was used as the source of enzyme.

Polyphenoloxidase (EC 1.10.3.1)

Polyphenoloxidase activity was assayed by the method of Kumar and Khan [15]. Assay mixture of polyphenoloxidase contained 2ml of phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) 1ml of 0.1M catechol 3.6 and 0.5 ml of enzyme extract. This was incubated for 5 minutes at 25°C, and then the reaction was stopped by adding 1ml of 2.5 N sulphuric acid. The absorbance of the purpurogallin formed was recorded at 495nm. The enzyme activity was expressed in units. One unit is defined as the amount of purpurogallin formed, which raised the absorbance by 0.1 per minute under the assay condition.

Phenylalanine ammonia- lyase (EC 4.3.1.5)

Phenylalanin ammonia- lyase was determined by following the method of Bruseke [17]. 500 milligram fresh leaves were homogenized in 5 ml at cold 25 mM

mercaptoethanol and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was used for assay. An aliquot of 0.2 ml of enzyme extract was added with 0.5 ml borate buffer and 1.3 ml distilled water. The reaction of was initiated by adding 1ml of 0.1 m phenylalanine solution and incubated for 30-60 minute at 32°C. After incubation the reaction was terminated by adding 0.5 ml of 1M trichloroacetic acid and measured the absorbance at 290 nm, against blank. The reaction rate was expressed as micro mole trans cinnamic acid formed per mg protein per minute.

Statistical Analysis

Each value is the mean of five replicate experiments (\pm SE). The analysis was carried out using statistical package SPSS. All data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the significance of difference between control and each treatment was analyzed using student's t test. Levels of significance used were $P < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Growth Parameters

Ambient UV radiation exerted a clear effect on the growth parameters, biochemical and antioxidant enzyme of *Phyllanthus amarus* seedling. In our study, foliar symptoms such as glazing, wrinkling, chlorotic lesions and necrosis are observed and similar observations were also noticed by Feng et al., [18,55]. These foliar damages might be due to reduction in photosynthetic pigments [19] and cell and tissue damage in upper epidermis [20]. According to Teramura [21] interveinal wrinkling and leaf chlorosis were observed in UV-B treated plants. These observation conclude that interveinal wrinkling is the result of UV-B effect on cell division and development.

In the present study after eight days of UV-B treatment, the shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight and leaf area were reduced to 23.44%, 37.3%, 28.9% and 28.7% respectively. However, leaf thickness increased with increasing day after UV-B radiation treatment. The highest increase of 16 per cent was observed after 8 days in treated seedling. Krause et al., [22,55] observed that UV-B radiation reduced the height of spring wheat. However, field

study indicates that plant growth is less sensitive to UV-B radiation. Teramura and Murali [23,55] observed that the reduction on plant growth under UV-B radiation in soybean is between 26 and 38 per cent in green house and between 11 to 22 per cent in field study. Increase in shoot length is due to IAA, which absorbs in the UV-B range and is readily destroyed by UV-B *in vitro* and *in vivo* [24].

UV-B radiation significantly depressed the biomass accumulation of many species such as rice [25], *Vigna mungo* [26] and *Trifolium repens* [27]. Decrease in dry weight observed in the present study may be caused by reduced photosynthesis rates and enzyme activities. The changes in the leaf area measurements observed under supplemental UV-B radiation were similar to those seen for fresh and dry weight. In musfard, leaf area reduction was observed under UV-B treatment [28]. Similar trend in leaf area reduction have also been observed in Potato [29], Cotton plans [30] and spring wheat [18]. According to Krizek et al., [31] reduction in leaf area could be an adaptive mechanism to minimize the exposure to UV-B radiation. There are also report correlating the tolerance of the species to their ability to increase leaf thickness in response to UV-B exposure [18, 32].

Biochemical Constituents

The supplemental UV-B radiation significantly reduced the chlorophyll content (20.1%) and carotenoid (30.3%) throughout the study period except during the initial period. The chloroplast is the first organize to show injury response when irradiated with UV-B radiation [33]. In a field experiments with *Vigna radiata*, Pat et al., [34] observed an initial increase and subsequent decrease in chlorophyll content, which is also reflected in the present study. In our study, an initial increase in chlorophyll was observed and this might be due to accumulation of UV-B absorbing pigments. The carotenoid content gradually declined due to UV-B radiation treatment. Previous work demonstrated that carotenoid content decreased under UV-B radiation [35]. The reduction in carotenoid content may result either from inhibition of synthesis or from break down of the pigments [36]. Since, the carotenoid are involved in the light harvesting and protection of chlorophyll from photo oxidative destruction, any reduction in carotenoid could have serious consequences of chlorophyll pigments.

Table: 1. Effect of UV-B radiation on shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight, leaf area and leaf thickness in *Phyllanthus amarus* L. Seedlings

Day (s)	Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Fresh weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)	Leaf area (cm ² plant ⁻¹)	Leaf thickness (mm)
2	Control	3.6 ± 0.18	0.42* ± 0.021	0.25 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.03
	UV-B	3.9 ± 0.19	0.45 ± 0.023	0.26* ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.03
4	Control	5.8* ± 0.29	0.50 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.02	0.35* ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.03
	UV-B	5.1 ± 0.25	0.47 ± 0.03	0.28 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.02	0.10* ± 0.04
6	Control	7.5* ± 0.38	0.55* ± 0.03	0.33* ± 0.02	0.40* ± 0.03	0.85 ± 0.045
	UV-B	5.8* ± 0.29	0.49 ± 0.03	0.31* ± 0.02	0.35* ± 0.02	1.09 ± 0.07
8	Control	8.9* ± 0.44	0.64* ± 0.04	0.38* ± 0.03	0.46* ± 0.03	0.92* ± 0.06
	UV-B	6.1* ± 0.31	0.52* ± 0.03	0.32* ± 0.02	0.37* ± 0.03	1.18* ± 0.08

Results are means ± S.E. of 5 replicates. Significant level (p) for Student 't' test is shown of *p<0.05

Table: 2. Effect of UV-B radiation on Chlorophyll, Carotenoid, Anthocyanin and Flavonoid in *Phyllanthus amarus* L. Seedlings

Day (s)	Treatments	Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Carotenoids (mg g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Anthocyanin (A ₅₃₀ g fr. wt.)	Flavonoid (A ₃₁₅ g fr. wt.)
2	Control	1.82 ± 0.99	0.12 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.01	0.100* ± 0.05
	UV-B	1.83 ± 0.99	0.13* ± 0.07	0.05 ± 0.02	0.200* ± 0.10
4	Control	1.91* ± 0.09	0.135* ± 0.07	0.037 ± 0.02	0.115* ± 0.05
	UV-B	1.84* ± 0.10	0.132 ± 0.08	0.070* ± 0.03	0.280* ± 0.14
6	Control	2.12* ± 0.12	0.148* ± 0.08	0.044* ± 0.02	0.123* ± 0.06
	UV-B	1.95* ± 0.10	0.138* ± 0.09	0.097* ± 0.05	0.356* ± 0.17
8	Control	2.40* ± 0.13	0.162* ± 0.09	0.054 ± 0.02	0.133* ± 0.077
	UV-B	2.03* ± 0.11	0.145* ± 0.09	0.132* ± 0.06	0.448* ± 0.02

Results are means ± S.E. of 5 replicates. Significant level (p) for Student 't' test is shown of *p<0.05

Table: 3. Effect of UV-B radiation on Catalase, Peroxidase, Polyphenol oxidase, superoxide dismutase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in *Phyllanthus amarus* L. Seedlings

Day (s)	Treatments	Catalase (μ mol H ₂ O ₂ decomposed min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Peroxidase (μ mol pupurogallin formed min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Polyphenol oxidase (μ mol pupurogallin min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Superoxide dismutase (μ mol annamic acid min ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ fr. wt.)	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (units h ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein fr. wt.)
2	Control	7.8 ± 0.39	22.6 ± 1.13	19 ± 0.95	12.7 ± 0.64	8.4 ± 0.42
	UV-B	6.9 ± 0.34	28.9 ± 1.45	22 ± 1.10	14 ± 0.70	11.2 ± 0.56
4	Control	9.3* ± 0.46	26.4* ± 1.32	22.7* ± 1.14	14.9 ± 0.75	9.9 ± 0.49
	UV-B	8.1* ± 0.40	36.4* ± 1.82	32.5* ± 1.63	18.2* ± 0.91	14.8* ± 0.74
6	Control	10.3 ± 0.52	27.4* ± 1.37	23.5* ± 1.18	15.6* ± 0.78	10.2* ± 0.51
	UV-B	8.4* ± 0.42	40.9* ± 2.05	33.5* ± 1.68	21.5* ± 1.08	16.4* ± 0.82
8	Control	11.6* ± 0.58	28.5 ± 1.43	25.1* ± 1.26	16.5* ± 0.83	10.9* ± 0.55
	UV-B	8.7* ± 0.44	47* ± 2.35	38* ± 1.90	24.5* ± 1.23	19.1* ± 0.96

Results are means ± S.E. of 5 replicates. Significant level (p) for Student 't' test is shown of *p<0.05

UV- Absorbing pigments

Increased anthocyanin and flavonoid content was observed in UV-B treated seedling. The highest accumulation of anthocyanin (84%) and flavonoids (91%) were noticed in UV-B treated seedling after eight days of treatment when compared to control seedlings. Anthocyanin concentration was significantly increased in UV-B radiation treatment, when compared to control seedlings. Several studies have shown that increased anthocyanin was mainly due to UV-B irradiation effect [37,38]. Ravindran et al., [39] demonstrated over 171 per cent increase in anthocyanin content in a halophyte species, *Suaeda maritima* under field study. Ambasht and Agarwal [40] observed that over 275 per cent increase in the anthocyanin content in maize. Anthocyanin have very weak absorption in the UV-B regions and regarded as UV screens only at very high concentration. Olssen et al., [41] suggested that UV-B induced accumulation of anthocyanin which protect the photosynthetic apparatus from the damaging effect of UV-B radiation. Similar to anthocyanin, flavonoid concentration was also increased in UV-B treated seedlings after eight days of treatment. In general, the flavonoid accumulation is linearly depends on UV-B fluence [18, 42]. Feng et al., [22] concluded that flavonoid concentration can reduce the UV-B penetration and protect the photosynthetic apparatus upto some extent, but it depends up on a threshold level, which may vary in different species. Accumulation of flavonoids is also considered a defense mechanism against UV-B radiation and protect the mesophyll tissue through epidermal screening. UV-B screening by epidermal flavonoids is often proposed as an adaptive mechanism to prevent this radiation from reaching the mesophyll.

Antioxidant enzymes

Most physiological stresses including UV-B enhancement to disturb plant metabolism and cause oxidative injury by enhancing the production of reactive oxygen species. The metabolism of reactive oxygen species depend on low molecular anti-oxidant systems as well as enzymes such as super oxide dismutase, peroxidase, polyphenoloxidase and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase. In the present study the catalase activity was inhibited under supplemental UV-B radiation treatment. The highest decrease of catalase activity (22.7 per cent) was observed after eight days in treated seedlings. In contrast to catalase activity, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, superoxide dismutase and

phenylalanine Ammonia- lyase activities were increased (36.5%, 39.9%, 41.5% and 40.7% respectively) under supplemental UV-B radiation. This reduction trend in catalase activity under UV-B radiation was also observed previously by Baumbush et al., [43] and Yang et al., [44]. Catalase is the most efficient antioxidant enzyme which protects plants by scavenging free radicals and H₂O₂ [45]. Decreased catalase activity in the vtc1 mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* during course of the UV-B exposure experiment was observed and it could be due to destruction of the peroxisome via rampant lipid peroxidation [46].

Along with catalase activity, peroxidase activity was also an important component of antioxidant defense system for scavenging H₂O₂. In the present study, the peroxidase activity increased with increasing treatment period of supplemental UV-B radiation. Yannarelli et al., [47] demonstrated that phenol-oxidizing peroxidase unlikely contribute to UV tolerance as a result of their oxygen radical scavenging activity.

Polyphenol oxidase is also responsible for the oxidation of phenolic compound [48]. Several studies have shown increased polyphenol oxidase due to UV-B irradiation [49, 50]. Enhanced UV-B radiation activates antioxidant enzymes and induces polyamines but also cause damage in the leaves, exemplified by an increase in polyphenol oxidase activity and a decrease in chlorophyll concentration. Superoxide dismutase showed a linear increase in activity throughout the study period. UV-B caused 325 per cent increase in superoxide dismutase activity when compared to control [51]. Similar to superoxide dismutase, Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity was also increased under supplemental UV-B radiation treatment. Studies in cucumber [52] have shown that exposure of seedlings to supplemental UV-B radiation caused 78 per cent increase in the activity of phenylalanine ammonia- lyase [53]. Similar enhancement of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase was also observed by Brawn and Tevini [54]. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase is an important enzyme in regulating flavonoid biosynthesis and transcriptionally induced by UV-radiation [67]. Thus, it concluded in the present study, increase in Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity, stimulate the synthesis of flavonoid and anthocyanin.

Our results shows that after eight days of treatment, *Phyllanthus amarus* exhibits a different sensibility to supplemental UV-B radiation. UV-B adversely affected the growth parameters and photosynthetic pigments.

However, accumulation of UV-B absorbing compounds and antioxidant enzymes content indicates that supplemental UV-B radiation induces a photosynthetic protection mechanism in a short time growth period. Finally, it suggests that antioxidant enzymes and UV-B absorbing compounds provide protection during oxidative injury. The balance between the antioxidant enzymes and UV-absorbing compounds contribute the growth and development of *Phyllanthus amarus* seedling under the oxidative stress condition.

Acknowledgement

We are thankful to authorities of Annamalai University for providing laboratory facility.

References

1. N. Smirnov, Plant resistance to environmental stress, *Curr. Opin. Biotech.* 9 (1998) 214-219.
2. M. M. Caldwell, L.O. Bjorn, J.F. Bomman, S.D. Flint, G. Kulandaivelu, Teramura, M. Tevini, Effect of increased solar ultraviolet radiation on terrestrial ecosystem, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.* 46 (1998) 40-52.
3. P. Taalas, J. Kaurola, A. Kylling, D. Shindell, R. Sausen, M. Dameris, V. Grewe, J. Herman, The impact of green house gases and halogenated species on the future solar UV radiation doses, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 27 (2000) 1127-1130.
4. H. Feng, L. An, L. Tan, Hou, X. wang, Effect of enhanced ultraviolet-B radiation on pollen germination and tube growth of 19 taxa in vitro, *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 42 (2000) 45-43.
5. M. Zhang, L. An, H. Feng, T. Chen, K. Chen, Y. Liu, H. Tang, C.H. Wang, The cascade mechanisms of nitric oxide as second messenger of ultraviolet - B in inhibiting mesocotyl elongation, *Photochem. Photobiol.* 77 (2003) 219-225.
6. D.L. He, C.H. Wong, Y.H. He, The effect of reduction of ultraviolet -B radiance on the content of flavonoid in leaves of wheat. *Chinese J. Agrometeorol.* 24 (4) (2003) 32.
7. B. Liang, X. Huang, G. Zhang, Q. Zhou, Effect of Lanthanum on plant under supplementary ultraviolet-B radiation: Effect of Lanthanum on flavonoid contents on soybean seedling exposed to supplementary ultraviolet-B radiation, *J. Rare Earths*, 24 (2006) 613- 616.
8. D. Siefermann, Harms, The last harvesting and protective function of carotenoids in photosynthetic membranes. *Physiol Plant.* 69 (1987) 51-568.
9. S.B. Agawal, D. Rathore, Changes in oxidative stress defense in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) cultivars grown with and without mineral nutrients and irradiated by supplemental ultraviolet- B, *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 59 (2007) 21-33.
10. R. Moran, D. Porath, Chlorophyll determination in intact tissue using N, N' - dimethyl formamide, *Plant Physiol.* 65 (1980) 478-479.
11. W.P. Inskeep, P.R. Bloom, Extinction co-efficient of chlorophyll 'a' and 'b' in N N' - Dimethyl formamide and 80 per cent acetone, *Plant Physiol.* 77 (1985) 483-485.
12. A.L. Mancinelli, C.P.H. Yang, P. Lindquist, O.R. Anderson and J. Rabino, Photoregulation of anthocyanin synthesis III. The action of streptomycin on the synthesis of chlorophyll and anthocyanin, *Plant Physiol.* 55 (1975) 251-254.
13. R.M. Mirecki, A.H. Teramura, Effects of ultraviolet-B irradiance on soybean. The dependence of plant sensitivity on the photosynthetic photon flux density during and after leaf expansion, *Plant Physiol.* 74 (1984) 475-480.
14. A.C. Machly, B. Chance, The assay of catalase and peroxidase. In: *methods of biochemical analysis*. Glich, D. (Ed.), Inter science publishers Inc., New York, 1 (1959) 357-425.

15. K.B. Kumar, P.A. Khan, Peroxidase in excised ragi (*Eleusine coracana* Cv. PR. 202.) leaves during senescence, Indian J. Exp. Bot. 20 (1982) 412-416.
16. C.O. Beauchamp, I. Fridovich, Superoxide dismutase: Improved assays and an assay applicable to acrylamide gel, Anal. Biochem. 44 (1971) 275-287.
17. C.H. Bruseke, Physiol. Plant Pathol., 16 (1980) 409.
18. H. Feng, Shiwen Li, Lingui Xue, Lizhe An, Xunling wang, The interactive effects of enhanced UV-B radiation and soil drought on spring wheat, South African Journal of Botany 73 (2007) 429-434.
19. C.V. Vu, L.H. Allen, L.A. Garrard, Effects of supplemental UV-B radiation on growth and leaf photosynthetic reaction of soybean, Physiol. Plant. 52 (1981) 353-362.
20. A.H. Teramura, Effects of ultraviolet-B radiation on the growth and yield of crop plants, Physiol. Plant, 58 (1983) 415-427.
21. A.H. Teramura, Effects of ultraviolet-B irradiances on soybean, Importance of photosynthetically active radiation in evaluating ultraviolet-B irradiance effects on soybean and wheat growth, Physiol. Plant. 48 (1980) 333-339.
22. G.H. Krause, P. Jahns, A. Vigor, M. Garcia, J. Aranda, E. Wellmann, K. Winter, Photoprotection, photosynthesis and growth of tropical tree seedlings under near-ambient and solar reduced solar ultraviolet-B radiation, J. Plant Physiol. 164 (2007) 1311-1322.
23. A.H. Teramura, N.S. Murali, Intraspecific differences in growth and yield of soybean exposed to ultraviolet-B radiation under green house and field conditions, Environ. Exp. Bot. 26 (1986) 89-95.
24. M. Tevini, U. Mark, M. Saile, Plant experiments in growth chambers illuminated with natural sunlight. In: Environmental research with plants in closed chambers, Air Pollution Research Report No. 26. Commission of the European Communities, Belgium, (1990) pp. 240-251.
25. T. Kumagai, J. Hidema, H.S. Kang, T. Sato, Effects of supplemental UV-B radiation on the growth and yield of two cultivars of Japanese low land rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under the field in a cool rice growing region of Japan, Agriculture, Ecosystems Environ. 83 (2001) 201-208.
26. M. Pal, V. Jain, U.K. Sengupta, Influence of enhanced UV-B radiation on mustard: Cultivar response, Ind. J. Plant Physiol., 3 (1998) 188-193.
27. R.W. Hofmann, E.E. Swinny, S.J. Bloor, K.R. Markham, K.G. Ryan, B.D. Campbell, B.R. Jordan, D.W. Fountain, Responses of nine *Trifolium repens* L. populations to ultraviolet-B radiation: Differential flavonol Glycoside accumulation and biomass production, Ann. Bot. 86 (2000) 527-537.
28. M. Pal, U.K. Sengupta, A.C. Srivastava, V. Jain, R.C. Meena, Changes in growth and photosynthesis of mung bean induced by UV-B radiation, Ind. J. Plant. Physiol. 4 (1999) 79-84.
29. Santos, F. Fidalgo, J.M. Almeida and R. Salema, Biochemical and Ultrastructural changes in leaves of potato plants grown under supplementary UV-B radiation, Plant Sci. 167 (2004) 925-935.
30. W. Gao, Youfei Zhena, James R. Slusser, Gordon M. Heisler, Impact of enhanced ultraviolet- B irradiance on cotton growth, development, yield, and qualities under field conditions, Agri. For. Meteorol. 120 (2003) 241- 248.
31. D.T. Krizek, Kramer, R.M. Mirecki, Influence of UV-B radiation and putrescine on shoot and root growth of cucumber seedlings grown in nutrient solution, Journal of Plant Nutrition, 20 (1997) 613-623.
32. V. Selvakuamr, Ultraviolet-B radiation (280-315 nm) invoked antioxidant defense systems in *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. And *Crotalaria Jancea* L, Photosynthetica, 46 (2008) 98-106.

33. W.S. Campbell, Ultraviolet induced ultra-structural changes in Mesophyll cells of *Glycine max*. In: Impacts of climatic changes on the Biosphere, part I. Ultraviolet radiation effects. (D.W. Nachtwey, M.M. Caldwell, R.H. Biggs, eds.). Monogr. 5 Climatic Impact Assessment Program, US Dept. Transportation Rep No. DOT-IST-75-55. Nat. Tech Info. Serv, Springfield, VA, (1975) pp. 167-176.
34. M. Pal, U.K. Sengupta, A.C. Srivastava, V. Jain, R.C. Meena, Changes in growth and photosynthesis of mung bean induced by UV-B radiation, Ind. J. Plant. Physiol. 4 (1999) 79-84.
35. C.R. Caldwell, S. J. Britz, Effect of supplemental ultraviolet radiation on the carotenoid and chlorophyll composition of green house-grown leaf lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) cultivars, J. Food. Comp. Anal. 19 (2006) 637-644.
36. H.L. El-Mansy, F.B. Salisbury, Biochemical responses of *Xanthium* leaves to ultraviolet radiation, Radiat. Bot. 11 (1971) 325-328.
37. A.E. Stapleton, U. Walbot, Anthocyanin protects DNA from UV-B damage, Maize Genetics Cooperation Newsletter, 66 (1992) 102.
38. M. Ntefido, Y. Manetas, Optical properties of hairs during the early stages of leaf development in *Plantanus orientalis* Aus. Plant Physiol. 117 (1996) 173-181.
39. K.C. Ravindran, N. Maheshkumar, V. Amirthalingam, R. Ranganathan, K.P. Chellappan, G. Kulandaivelu. Influence of UV-B supplemental radiation on growth and pigment content in *Suaeda maritima* L. Biol. Plant. 44 (2001) 465-469.
40. N.K. Ambasht, M. Agrawal, Physiological and biochemical responses of *Sorghum vulgare* plants to supplemental ultraviolet-B radiation, Can. J. Bot. 76 (1998) 1290-1294.
41. L.C. Olsson, M. Veit, J.F. Borman, Edidermal transmittance and phenotic composition in leaves of altrazne tolerant and sensitive cultivars of *Brassica napus* grown under enhanced UV-B radiation, Physiol. Plant. 107 (1999) 259-266.
42. S. Mishra, S.B. Agarwal, Interactive effects between supplemental UV-B radiation and heavy metals on growth and biochemical characteristics of *Spinacia oleracea* L., Braz. J. Plant Physiol. 18(1) (2006) 1-8.
43. L.O. Baumbusch, M. Eiblmeir, J.P. Schnitzler, W. Heller, H. Sandemann, A. Polle, Interactive effects of ozone and UV-B radiation on antioxidants in spruce (*Picea abies*) and Pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) needles, Physiol. Plant. 104 (1998) 248-254.
44. S-H. Yang, Li-Jun Wang, freezing tolerance in relation to antioxidant system in winter wheat (*Triticum destivum* L.) leaves. Environ. Expt. Bot. 60 (2007) 300-307.
45. K.D. Vichnevetskaia, D.N. Roy, Oxidative stress and antioixdative defense with an emphasis on plants antioxidants, Environ. Rev. 7(2001) 31-35.
46. Q. Gao, L. Zhang, Ultraviolet-B- Induced oxidative stress and antioxidant defense system responses in ascorbate deficient vtc 1 mutants of *Arabidopsrs thaliana*, J. Plant Physiol. 165 (2008) 138-148.
47. G.G.Yannarelli, G.O. Noriega, A. Battle, M.L. Tomaro, Heme- oxygenize up-regulation in ultraviolet-B irradiated soybean plants innvalves reactive oxygen species plant, Environ. Expt. Bot. 224 (2006) 1154-62.
48. S.J. Sheen, J. Calvert, Studies on polyphenol content activities and isoenzymes of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase during air-curing in three tobacco types, Plant Physiol. 44 (1969) 199-204.
49. M.A.K. Jansen, R.E. Vanden Noort, M.Y.A. Tan, E. Prinsen, L.M. Lagrimini, R.N.F. Thorneley, Phenol oxidizing peroxidases contribute to the protection of plants from ultraviolet radiation stress, Plant physiol. 126 (2001) 1012-1023.
50. V. Balakrishnan, K. Venkatesan, K.C. Ravindran, G. Kulandaivelu, Protective mechanism in UV-B treated *Crotalaria juncea* L. seedling, Plant protect. Sci 41(2005) 115-120.
51. I.Santos, J. Almedia, R. Salema, The influence of UV-B radiation on the superoxide dismutase of maize, potato, sorghum and wheat leaves, Can. J. Bot. 77 (1999) 70-76.

52. D. T. Krizek, G. P. Hramer, A. Upadhyaya, R.M. mirecki, UV-B response of cucumber seedling grown under metal halide and high pressure sodium/ deluxe lampe, *Physiol. Plant.* 88 (1993) 350-358.
53. C.J. Beggs, E. Wellmann, Analysis of light controlled anthocyanin formation in coleoptiles of *Zea mays* L. the role of UV-B, blue, red and far-red light, *Photochem. Photobiol.* 41 (1985) 481-486.
54. J. Braun, M. Tevini, Regulation of UV protective pigment synthesis in the epidermal layer of rye seedlings (*Secale cereale* L. CN. Kustro). *Photochem. Photobiol.* 57 (1993) 318-323.
55. C. Xianmin, Peter G. Alderson and Charles J. Wright. Enhanced UV-B radiation alters basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) growth and stimulates the synthesis of volatile oils. *Journals of Horticulture and Forestry* Vol.1(2) pp.(2009) 027-031.