



ISSN: 2075-6240

# Salicylic acid and thiourea ameliorate the negative impact of salt stress in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) seedlings by up-regulating photosynthetic pigments, leaf water status, and antioxidant defense system

Sumaiya Farzana<sup>1, 2</sup>, Md. Rasel<sup>3</sup>\*, Md. Tahjib-Ul-Arif<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Anwar Hossain<sup>3</sup>\*, Md. Golam Azam<sup>4</sup>, Md. Asadulla Al Galib<sup>5</sup>, A.G.M. Sofi Uddin Mahamud<sup>6</sup> and Mohammad Afzal Hossain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Training Institute, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh, <sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh, <sup>4</sup>Pulses Research Center, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Ishurdi, Pabna-6620, Bangladesh, <sup>5</sup>Agronomy Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh, <sup>6</sup>School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510641, China

### ABSTRACT

Salinity is one of the most important abiotic stresses that inhibit wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) growth, development and yield. Therefore, finding efficient strategies to prevent salt-induced growth retardation and yield loss is crucial for modern agriculture to sustain production. This study was conducted to explore the roles of exogenous salicylic acid (SA) and thiourea (TU) in regulating salt tolerance by evaluating morpho-physiological traits and antioxidant response in two wheat genotypes at the seedling stage. Imposition of salt stress resulted in reduced growth characteristics, leaf water status, and photosynthetic pigments, while the Na+/K+ ratio, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and malondialdehyde (MDA) content were increased significantly in both of the wheat genotypes. In contrast, exogenous application of SA or TU in the salt-stressed plants significantly reduced the negative effects of salt stress and improved growth performance by up-regulating photosynthetic pigments, leaf water status, and proline content in both wheat genotypes. Besides, when compared to the seedlings treated with salt stress only, SA and TU were found to play an important role in maintaining lower Na+/K+ levels and reducing oxidative stress by lowering MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in salt-stressed plants through boosting the activities of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, and peroxidase. In addition, hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis revealed a significant interaction among growth characteristics, chlorophyll and carotenoid content and antioxidant activities with the salt, SA or TU treatments. The findings suggested that exogenous application of SA or TU could be a useful strategy for reducing the negative effects of salinity on wheat growth and development.

KEYWORDS: Antioxidant enzymes, oxidative damage, proline, salinity, Triticum aestivum

## **INTRODUCTION**

Received: July 18, 2021

Revised: August 23, 2021

**Corresponding Authors:** 

Md. Rasel,

Accepted: August 30, 2021

Published: September 19, 2021

E-mail: rasel.gpb@bau.edu.bd; Mohammad Anwar Hossain, E-mail: anwargpb@bau.edu.bd

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the major cereal crops in Bangladesh, with a global production of about 700 million tons, providing 20% of the daily protein and calorie requirements for over 4.5 billion people worldwide (Arzani & Ashraf 2017). As a staple food grain for more than 35% of the

total populations of Bangladesh, it has emerged as the country's second most profitable cereal crop after rice (Golder *et al.*, 2013). Wheat cultivation has recently received a lot of attention from Bangladeshi farmers as the demand has increased in the bakery industry (Mottaleb *et al.*, 2018). However, outrageous environmental change as a result of dangerous atmospheric deviation and global warming has recently caused a drastic shift

Copyright: © The authors. This article is open access and licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

in salinity dominated areas of Bangladesh, putting the present wheat cultivars' productivity at risk (Siddiqui et al., 2017). Saline soil is characterized by the procurement of sodium ions (Na<sup>+</sup>) with dominant anions of chloride and sulphate leading to the high electrical conductivity of more than 4 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (Ali *et al.*, 2013). As a result of climate change, soil salinity is becoming a major issue in coastal areas, particularly in developing countries (Nicholls et al., 2007) and over 800 million hectares of land are expected to be adversely affected by soil salinity globally (Munns & Tester 2008). Soil salinity is a growing menace all over the world because of its noxious effect on reducing soil fertility and water uptake in the crop plants (Yuvaraj et al., 2021). In recent years, soil salinity has severely hampered agricultural production by affecting plant growth and development at various phases of plant growth (James et al., 2012; Konuşkan et al., 2017). In Bangladesh, different levels of salinity have already impacted approximately 0.87 million hectares which grasped almost 30% of cultivable lands causing 30-50% yields loss every year (Khatun et al., 2019). As a result, successful and cost-effective strategies should undoubtedly be introduced in the saline belt of Bangladesh to minimize salinity-induced yield reduction and meet the increasing population's demand for wheat.

Wheat is a salt-sensitive crop that exhibits high salt susceptibility throughout its entire life cycle, especially during the vegetative and early reproductive phases (Kumar et al., 2013; Uddin et al., 2017). Salinity exerts its cellular toxicity by instigating ionic, osmotic, and oxidative stresses (Akramet al., 2017; Kamran et al., 2020). Demonstration of over aggregation of soluble salt most likely Na<sup>+</sup> restricts the plant development and advancement by upsetting numerous physiological and biochemical procedures, for example, osmotic modification, water parity, membrane integrity, alteration of growth regulators levels, and metabolic failure going with ionic and osmotic pressure as a result of hyper-ionic and osmotic stresses (Nieves-Cordones et al., 2016; Negrão et al., 2017; Mathur et al., 2019). High amount of Na+ accumulation in soils lowers the potassium  $(K^+)$  ions uptake into plant cells. As a result, excessive Na<sup>+</sup> damages the cell membrane and plant organelles, resulting in plant cell death (Ma et al., 2016). Therefore, plants activate various mechanisms to reduce Na<sup>+</sup> aggregation in the cytoplasm, thereby preventing Na<sup>+</sup> intrusion into the cell, especially by removing Na<sup>+</sup> from the cell or categorizing Na<sup>+</sup> into the vacuole (Shi et al., 2003; Mostofa et al., 2015). Plants also regulate the expression and activity of various membrane transporters, such as high-affinity K<sup>+</sup> transporters, which help cation transport throughout the membrane and uphold the standard Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio (Waters et al., 2013). In general, salinity resistance in crop plants is connected with the upkeep of a low Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio (Omisun et al., 2018). Thus, maintaining the proper Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio is critical for the development of salt-tolerant wheat cultivars under stress conditions (Sun et al., 2014). Besides ion homeostasis, different osmoprotectant like proline, also demonstrate a very crucial role under salinity stress to maintain lower levels of lipid peroxidation in plants (Khan et al., 2019). Thus, the increase of proline accumulation levels in plants under saline conditions is correlated with the improvement of salt tolerance (Gharsallah et al., 2016). The noxious effects of salt stress also lead to metabolic changes that increase reactive oxygen species generation (ROS) in plants (Chawla et al., 2013; Mostofa et al., 2015; AbdElgawad et al., 2016; Hossain et al., 2021). When one, two, or three O, electrons exchange, hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , superoxide  $(O_2^{-})$ , or hydroxyl radical  $(OH^{\bullet})$  are formed, which usually results in the formation of ROS (Rodrigo-Moreno et al. 2013). The overproduction of ROS disrupts normal plant metabolism by targeting proteins, DNA, and membrane lipids (Parihar et al., 2015). To counteract the toxic effects of stressinduced ROS, plants itself develop an inherent protective mechanism that includes enzymatic antioxidants such as catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and peroxidase (POX) (Choudhury et al., 2017; Tanveer & Shabala, 2018). Plants trigger this enzymatic antioxidant matabolism to reduce ROS-induced toxic effects under stress conditions because they detoxify H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and inhibit lipid peroxidation through specific mechanisms (Aghaleh et al., 2009; Dugasa et al., 2019). Previously, few plant species showed a significant positive correlation between enzymatic antioxidants and salinity tolerance level (Cicek & Cakirlar, 2008; Ashraf, 2009).

Recent studies revealed that the priming of seeds or plants by certain exogenous protecting agents such as plant hormone is potentially a significant in modulating resistance in plants against various abiotic stresses (Borges et al., 2014; Ismail et al., 2016). It has also been reported that treatment with exogenous substances like organic extracts and lipoic acid significantly improved the antioxidant defense system of the plants, thereby reducing ROS levels and increasing wheat growth and production under saline conditions (Elrys et al., 2020). Among the potential endogenous hormones, salicylic acid (SA), and thiourea (TU) has been marked assuming a very noteworthy role in plant growth and development and impact a wide assortment of plant physiological processes, ion uptake and transportation, proline metabolism, and plant water relations by minimizing salt toxicity under stress situations (Wakchaure et al., 2018; Kava et al., 2019). The shoot and root length, chlorophyll content, relative water content was found to increase whereas malondialdehyde, proline and ion leakage were decreased after application of SA in Triticale under saline condition (Erkan and Aras Aşci 2021). Furthermore, both TU and SA serve as a critical signalling molecule for counteracting the negative effects of ROS induced oxidative stress by the enhancement of antioxidant enzyme activity such as CAT, APX, and POD (Patade et al., 2012; Yadu et al., 2017; Kaya et al., 2020). In addition, numerous reports demonstrated that salt stress caused a significant increase in MDA content on rice and maize which was prevented by SA treatments (Erkan & Aras Aşci, 2021; Pandey et al., 2021). Several previous studies also reported that priming with exogenous SA and TU was found to mitigate the salt stress in rice (Oryza sativa), onion (Pisum sativum), potato (Solanum tuberosum), faba bean (Vicia faba), mung bean (Vigna radiata), and lentil (Lens culinaris) by intensifying the activities of antioxidant enzymes and osmotic adjustment and by promoting root and shoot formation and by stimulating flowering (Mani et al., 2012; Mostofa & Fujita 2013; Khan et al., 2014; Ahmad et al., 2017; Nooren et al., 2017; Nie et al., 2018; El-Kinany, 2020; Yadav et al., 2020; Pandey et al., 2021). While the stress alleviating roles of SA and TU are largely analysed in several crops, however many aspects of exogenous SA and TU-mediated salt tolerance in wheat remain elusive. The present research work was therefore executed to analyse the potential roles and possible mechanisms of SA and TU-mediated salt stress tolerance in wheat at the seedling stage. Different agronomic and biochemical determinants of salinity tolerance were assessed to scrutinize the salt stress relief mechanism by SA and TU.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Experimental Design and Treatments**

The experiment was carried out using two salt-sensitive high yielding wheat genotypes namely BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 under hydroponic conditions following a two factorial randomized complete block design with three replications. The salinity factor (NaCl) is comprised of one level (150 mM), and the SA and TU were exogenously applied at 0.75 mM and 15 mM levels respectively. Thus, the treatment combinations were as follows: control, C (T0, nutrient solution); salt, S (T1, nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (T2, 150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (T3, 150 mM NaCl with15 mM TU). The concentrations of SA and TU were selected based on previously published literature on different crops (Khan *et al.*, 2015; Perveen *et al.* 2016; Waqas *et al.*, 2019).

Initially, seeds were treated for 25 minutes with 5% sodium hypochlorite + 2% Tween-20, followed by three washes with distilled water. Approximately hundreds of seeds were presoaked for 24 hrs in distilled water (dH<sub>2</sub>O) and incubated in a petri-dish with one sheet of moistened filter paper containing 8 ml of dH<sub>2</sub>O water for 3 days in dark condition at 25°C to induce germination. Afterward, three days old evenly sprouted seeds were placed into the holes of circular plates in a Styrofoam sheet (28 cm  $\times$  32 cm  $\times$  1.25 cm) having nylon net at the bottom and fitted in a 12-L plastic tray containing nutrient solution. The modified cooper's nutrient solution was used to supply the nutrients for the growth and development of wheat seedlings (Cooper, 1988). After 5 days of seedling establishment, the salt treatment (T1, 150 mM NaCl) was applied in six steps for 42 days employing 25 mM in each time in each tray at an interval of 6 days. The first and the last salt stress imposition was applied on the day 5th and day 35th, respectively after planting. Simultaneously, the exogenous SA (T2, 0.75 mM) and TU (T3, 15 mM) treatments were sprayed individually for 6 times to the leaf surface of salt treated wheat seedlings at 5-day intervals for the first time on day 20 following planting and the last time on day 45. From the 36<sup>th</sup> day of planting, the salinized nutrient solution was altered with nutrient solution only, and this setup was maintained until harvesting (day 55). The control (T0) plants were grown on the nutrient solutions only with dH20 without the addition of NaCl. The nutrient solutions were renewed at an interval of 15 days. The pH (6.5) and EC of the nutrient solution kept constant throughout the experimental period measured by pH meter (Hanna HI2211) and EC-meter (Hanna HI 4321) respectively. Different growth traits were measured from 10 wheat seedlings in each replication after 55 days of crop life span and afterward, the average value was taken. Furthermore, leaf samples were collected from the 55-days old wheat seedlings for the analyses of photosynthetic components, leaf water parameters, proline accumulation, Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio,  $H_2O_2$ , and MDA content, and the activity of antioxidant enzymes (APX, CAT, and POD).

## **Determination of Growth Parameters**

The number of live leaves was divided by the total number of leaves and multiplied by a hundred to get percentages of the leaf live (%). Using a centimetre (cm) scale, root length was measured from shoot initiation to root tip, and shoot length was calculated by subtracting plant length from root length. The shoot samples were separated from the root samples immediately after harvesting, and the fresh weight of root and shoot were meticulously measured using an electric balance. Following that, plant samples were individually wrapped in a brown envelope (20 cm x 10 cm) and oven-dried for 3 days at 60°C. After that, an electric balance was used to determine the dry weight of the root and shoot.

## **Determination of Leaf Water-related Parameters**

Different leaf water-related traits *viz.*, relative water content (RWC), excised leaf water retention (ELWR), and relative water loss (RWL) were estimated from the representative fresh leaves samples of 55-days old wheat seedlings. For the measurement of RWC, fresh weight (FW) of leaves were measured, and subsequently submerged in distilled water (dH<sub>2</sub>0) for 4 h and reweighed to obtain turgid weight (TW). Then, leaf samples were oven-dried at 70°C for 48 h and dry weight (DW) was recorded. Finally, RWC was calculated using the formula of Mostofa and Fujita (2013). In the case of RWL and ELWR measurement, FW of leaves was recorded and oven-dried at 30°C for 4 hours and reweighed (WW4h). Afterwards, RWL and ELWR were estimated following the previously described protocols of Gavuzzi et al. (1997) and Lonbani and Arzani (2011) respectively.

## **Determination of Photosynthetic Pigments**

Chlorophyll a (Chl a), Chlorophyll b (Chl b), total chlorophyll content, and carotenoids were determined on the  $55^{th}$  day of planting from the  $2^{nd}$  leaf. An amount of 0.05 g fresh leaf sample was taken into a small vial containing 10 ml of 80% acetone and was covered by aluminum foil, and preserved in the dark for 7-10 days. The absorbance was measured at 663 for Chl a, 645 for Chl b, 663 for total chlorophyll, and 470 nm wavelengths for carotenoids by using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2550, Kyoto, Japan). Afterwards, the concentrations of Chl a, Chl b and total chlorophyll content were calculated using a standard method developed by Lichtenthaler and Buschmann (2001) and expressed as mg g<sup>-1</sup>FW.

# Determination of $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Na^+/K^+}}$ Ion Concentration and Proline Content

After harvesting, shoot samples were oven-dried at 60°C for 3 days and the finely powdered plant material was digested

with HNO<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> (2:1v/v) mixture at 220°C for 1.5 - 2 hours according to the method of Tahjib-Ul-Arif et al. (2018a). Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> contents were quantified by flame photometry (Jencon PFP 7, JENCONS-PLS, UK) following to Brown and Lilleland (1946).

The proline content of the leaves was estimated using the guidelines described by Bates et al. (1973), with some adjustments as stated by Rasel et al. (2019).

## Determination of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA Content

The level of  $H_2O_2$  was determined from leaf tissues using the procedure of Velikova et al. (2000), with an extinction coefficient of 0.28  $\mu$ M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the values expressed as mmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW.

Lipid peroxidation, defined as MDA content, was determined from the seedlings' leaf tissues using Khan and Panda's (2008) technique, with an extinction co-efficient of 155 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and values converted in mmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW.

### **Determination of Antioxidant Enzyme Activities**

A pre-cooled mortar and pestle was used to homogenize approximately 0.5 g of fresh leaf tissues in 3 mL of 50 mM icecold potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). The supernatant was separated and utilized to analyse various antioxidant enzymatic reactions after centrifugation of the homogenate at  $11,500 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 °C.

The activity of CAT (EC: 1.11.1.6) was measured using the Aebi (1984) technique, which involved measuring the reduction in absorbance at 240 nm with an extinction value of 39.4 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and expressed the results in mmol min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> FW.

According to the method of Nakano and Asada (1981), the activity of APX (EC: 1.11.1.11) was estimated by monitoring the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm as ascorbate was oxidized. The specific activity was calculated using a 2.8 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> extinction coefficient and expressed as  $\mu$  mol min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> FW.

The activity of POX (EC: 1.11.1.7) was determined using the approach of Nakano and Asada (1981), with slight modifications. The extinction coefficient of  $H_2O_2$  was 40 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>, the activity of POX was determined as mmol min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> FW from the increase in absorbance per minute.

### **Statistical Analysis**

To assess the effects of salt treatments, data were analysed following one-way analysis of variance using the Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR) (IRRI, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines). The statistical differences between mean values were assessed using the least significant differences (LSD) test at a significance level of P<0.05, and different alphabetical letters in the same column were used to denote them. Biovinci was used to carry out the hierarchical clustering. The STAR was

used to perform the principal component analysis (PCA), and bi-plots were created using the first two PCA components, PC1 and PC2, which explained the maximum variations throughout the datasets.

### RESULTS

### Effect of NaCl, SA, and TU on Plant Growth Traits

The results related to the effects of NaCl, SA, and TU on morphological parameters of wheat genotypes are presented in Table 1. Salt stress significantly decreased the growth traits in both genotypes, however, the exogenous application of SA and TU successfully mitigate the toxic effect of salt stress and thereby, considerably improved the growth performance in wheat seedlings under salt-stressed conditions (Table 1; Figure 1). In the present investigation, the reduction of leaf lives (%) was recorded by 13% and 18% in the varieties named BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 respectively when plants exposed to salt stress in comparison with control. On the other hand, when the exogenous SA or TU applied on stressed wheat seeding, leaf live (%) was substantially increased by 36% and 42% in BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 in comparison with salt-treated plants only (Table 1). Salt treatment considerably curtailed the shoot length and root length in both genotypes. However, the supplementation of salt-stressed seedlings with exogenous TU and SA enhanced the shoot length by 10% in BARI Gom-25, and 13% BARI Gom-26 respectively as compared to the salttreated plants in the absence of SA and TU (Table 1). Similarly, the foliar application of SA also significantly improved the root length in both genotypes and more evidently in BARI Gom-26 (54%) (Table 1). The results of the study confirmed that salt treatment manifested the noteworthy reduction of root fresh weight and shoot fresh weight in BARI Gom-25 (26% and 17%, respectively) and BARI Gom-26 (49% and 38%, respectively) were manifested under salt treatment than the level of control plants (Table 1). But the greatly amelioration of the harmful effect of salinity more pronouncedly done by foliar application of SA in BARI Gom-25 and increased root fresh weight and shoot fresh weight by 91%, 46% as compared to the seedlings treated with salt-stress without SA application, whereas the foliar spray of TU to salt-stressed wheat seedlings also caused the moderate enhancement of root and shoot fresh weight in BARI Gom-26 (13% and 15% respectively) as compared to the NaCl treated plants only (Table 1). Salt stress also remarkably decreased the dry biomass of root and shoot significantly in BARI Gom-26 (48% and 42% respectively). In the contrast, the greater increment of root dry weight and shoot dry weight were observed in stressed BARI Gom-26 (38% and 53% respectively) upon the exogenous application of TU to salt-stressed plants in comparison with the seedlings treated with stress only (Table 1). Similarly, the foliar application of SA on the salt-treated plant also substantially improved the dry weight of root and shoot by 77% and 20% respectively in BARI Gom-26. However, the exogenous application of SA and TU didn't substantially increase the dry biomass in salt-stressed BARI Gom-25 (Table 1).



Figure 1: Effects of SA and TU on the phenotype of wheat seedling's grown under salt stress conditions. Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU).

Table 1: The effects of exogenous salicylic acid (SA) and thiourea (TU) on growth parameters of the two wheat cultivars under non-salinized and salinized conditions.

Genotypes	Treatment	LL (%)	SL	RL	SFW	RFW	SDW	RDW
	С	4.31±0.04°	44.47±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	32.62±0.39ª	3.036±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.58±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.33±0.01ª	0.13±0.01ª
BARI Gom 25	S	$3.75 \pm 0.19^{d}$	$28.42 \pm 0.41^{d}$	22.19±0.31°	2.246±0.02°	1.316±0.03°	$0.31 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$0.11 \pm 0.03^{a}$
	S+SA	$5.58 \pm 0.14^{b}$	29.89±1.09°	$26.40 \pm 0.22^{b}$	$3.28 {\pm} 0.04^{a}$	$2.52 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$0.33 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$0.14 \pm 0.03^{a}$
	S+TU	$5.91 \pm 0.06^{a}$	$31.27 \pm 0.26^{b}$	26.85±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.35±0.18°	$1.65 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.32 {\pm} 0.02^{a}$	$0.14 \pm 0.01^{a}$
	С	$4.44 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$47.24 \pm 0.53^{a}$	$38.67 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$3.23 {\pm} 0.07^{a}$	$1.20 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.36 {\pm} 0.03^{a}$	$0.14 \pm 0.02^{a}$
BARI Gom 26	S	$3.63 \pm 0.1^{d}$	27.19±0.11°	$21.41 \pm 0.11^{d}$	$1.65 {\pm} 0.02^{d}$	$0.74 \pm 0.02^{d}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01^{d}$	$0.07 \pm 0.02^{b}$
	S+SA	$5.38 \pm 0.34^{a}$	$30.82 \pm 0.36^{b}$	$32.95 \pm 2.16^{b}$	$2.03 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.91 \pm 0.07^{b}$	$0.25 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.12 {\pm} 0.03^{a}$
	S+TU	4.85±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	$30.14 \pm 0.15^{b}$	24.30±0.1°	1.86±0.03°	$0.85 {\pm} 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.32 {\pm} 0.02^{b}$	$0.10 \pm 0.01^{ab}$

Here, *LL(%)* leaf live (%), *SL* Shoot length (cm), *RL* Root length (cm), *SFW* Shoot fresh weight (g), *RFW* Root fresh weight (g), *SDW* Shoot dry weight (g), and *RDW* Root dry weight (g). Data are presented with mean values of three independent replicates  $\pm$  standard errors (n = 3) indicated by different alphabetical letters in the same column at the p < 0.05 level using the least significant difference (LSD) test. Different letters indicate significant differences and the same letter indicates no significant differences between the treatments. Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU)

#### **Estimation of Leaf Water-related Parameters**

To examine the alleviating effect of SA and TU on leaf water status in wheat seedlings under salt stress, some leaf waterrelated parameters viz., RWC, ELWR, and RWL were measured (Table 2). Salt intrusion caused a significant reduction of RWC and ELWR by 25% and 33% in BARI Gom-25 and by 21% and 40% respectively in BARI Gom-26 compared to the salt-free plants. In contrast, the plants supplemented with exogenous SA demonstrated the highest increment of RWC and ELWR in the leaves of BARI Gom-25 (30% and 49%, respectively) and BARI Gom-26 (21% and 46%, respectively) compared to the salt-stressed plant in the absence of SA (Table 2). The imposition of salinity stress also had a remarkable effect on RWL in the leaves of BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 in the level of control, whereas when the plants were sprayed with exogenous SA, RWL was considerably decreased by 27% BARI Gom-25 and by 41% in BARI Gom-26 compared to the salttreated plants only. On the other hand, the application of TU didn't exhibit significant improvement of RWC and ELWR as well as the reduction of RWL in both salt-stressed wheat genotypes (Table 2).

## Determination of Photosynthetic Pigment under NaCl, SA, and TU Treatments

Wheat seedlings subjected to salt stress caused the severe curtailment of chl a, and chl b content in both genotypes and

134

Table 2: The effects of exogenous salicylic acid (SA) and thiourea (TU) on relative water content (RWC), relative water loss (RWL), and excised leaf water retention (ELWR) of the two wheat cultivars under non-salinized and salinized conditions.

Genotypes	Treatment	RWC (%)	RWL (%)	EWLR (%)
	С	83.67±0.62ª	0.32±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.38±0.04ª
BARI Gom 25	S	$63.30 \pm 1.96^{\circ}$	$0.44 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$0.22\pm0.03^{\circ}$
	S+SA	$81.93 \pm 0.84^{a}$	0.32±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	$0.35 {\pm} 0.02^{a}$
	S+TU	$71.57 \pm 0.25^{b}$	$0.39 {\pm} 0.06^{ab}$	$0.27 \pm 0.02^{b}$
	С	$65.14 \pm 0.65^{a}$	$0.27 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	$0.36 {\pm} 0.03^{a}$
BARI Gom 26	S	51.41±0.26°	$0.39 {\pm} 0.08^{a}$	$0.21 \pm 0.01^{b}$
	S + SA	$61.96 {\pm} 0.08^{a}$	$0.23 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.06^{a}$
	S+TU	$61.45 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03^{b}$	$0.27 \pm 0.02^{b}$

Data are presented with mean values of three independent replicates  $\pm$  standard errors (n = 3) indicated by different alphabetical letters in the same column at the p< 0.05 level using the least significant difference (LSD) test. Different letters indicate significant differences and the same letter indicates no significant differences between the treatments. Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU)

the reduction is much pronounced in BARI Gom-26 (16%, chl a and 42% chl b) (Figure 2A and B). The alleviation effect of TU on salt-stressed plants was considered best in compared with SA treatment alone in this case. When the wheat plants were treated with NaCl+TU, the chl a and chl b content was substantially increased in wheat genotypes *viz.*, BARI Gom-26 (33% and 53% respectively) and BARI Gom-25 (15% and 36% respectively) as compared to seedlings treated with



**Figure 2:** The effects of exogenous salicylic acid (SA) and thiourea (TU) on (a) Carotenoid, (b) Chl a, (c) Chl b and (d) Total chlorophyll content of the two wheat genotypes under non-salinized and salinized conditions. Data are presented with mean values of three independent replicates indicated by different alphabetical letters in the same column at the p< 0.05 level using the least significant difference (LSD) test. Different letters indicate significant differences and the same letter indicates no significant differences between the treatments. Vertical bars indicate standard errors. Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU).

salt stress only (Figure 2A and B). On the other hand, chl b content substantially improved only by 30% in BARI Gom-25 and 36% in BARI Gom-26 due to the foliar application of SA particularly. Carotenoid concentrations reduced in both BARI Gom-25 (37%) and BARI Gom-26 (49%) under salt stress condition compared to control-treated plants. However, S+SA' and 'S+TU treatments displayed the greater increment of carotenoid content by 44% and 49% respectively in BARI Gom-26. Moreover, only 'S+TU' treatment promoted the carotenoid content BARI Gom-25 by 55% in as compared with the plants treated with salt only (Figure 2D).

# Determination of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> under NaCl, SA, and TU Treatments

The Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> in the leaves of both genotypes enhanced after imposition of salt treatment with a 15% increment in BARI Gom-26 and 2% increment in BARI Gom-25 compared to control condition plants (Figure 3A). However, when the saltstressed plants were supplemented with SA and TU, Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> was markedly lowered in BARI Gom-25 by 33% and 17% respectively due to the mitigation effects of SA and TU in compared with



**Figure 3:** The effects of exogenous salicylic acid (SA) and thiourea (TU) on (a) Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>, (b) Proline content, (c) Hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), (d) Malondialdehyde (MDA) content, (e) Catalase (CAT) activity, (f) Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity and, (g) Peroxidase (POX) activity of the two wheat genotypes under non-salinized and salinized conditions. FW denotes fresh weight. Data are presented with mean values of three independent replicates indicated by different alphabetical letters in the same column at the p< 0.05 level using the least significant difference (LSD) test. Different letters indicate significant differences and the same letter indicates no significant differences between the treatments. Vertical bars indicate standard errors. Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU).

### Farzana et al.

that of the salt-stressed plants only. Besides, the exogenous SA or TU application did not cause significant changes of  $Na^+/K^+$  in salt-stressed BARI Gom-26 as compared to that of salt-treated plants (Figure 3A).

# Determination of Proline Content under NaCl, SA, and TU Treatments

Salt stress caused a marked change in proline accumulation in the leaves of wheat seedlings (Figure 3B). The application of salt treatment resulted in an increase of proline content resulted in BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 by 16% and 19% respectively due to salt application as compared to control (Figure 3B). Moreover, exogenous application of both SA and TU significantly increased the proline level in saltstressed wheat genotypes namely BARI Gom-25 (22% and 3%, respectively) and BARI Gom-26 (32% and 14%, respectively) in comparison with the seedlings treated with salt stress only (Figure 3B).

# Hydrogen Peroxide $(H_2O_2)$ and Malondialdehyde (MDA) Content, under NaCl, SA, and TU Treatments

To investigate the alleviating effect of SA and TU on saltinduced oxidative damage in the wheat genotypes leaves H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA contents were measured under salt stress. The results of the study demonstrated that the application of 150 mM salt stress increased the production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by 89% as well as a substantial rise of MDA content by 96% in BARI Gom-26 in comparison with the salt-treated plant in the absence of SA or TU (Figure 3C and D). In contrast, spraying of SA and TU on salt-stressed plants defended the cell membrane from salt commenced oxidative injury which is indicated by lower H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 29% and 20%, respectively) and MDA content (29% and 59%, respectively) markedly in BARI Gom-26 as compared with the seedlings treated with salt-stressed only (Figure 3C and D). However, the generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA were not significantly varied in the leaves of BARI Gom-25 under different treatments in this study (Figure 3C and D).

# Different Antioxidant Enzyme Activities under NaCl, SA, and TU Treatments

The enzymatic activities of antioxidants *viz.*, CAT, APX, POX, were determined in salt exposed wheat seedlings which are as act likes vital players and give protection to plant from membrane damage mediated by  $H_2O_2$ . Salt stress increased the activities of antioxidant enzymes in wheat genotypes; however, more enhancements were found when the salt-treated plants were supplemented with SA or TU (Figure 3). In the present study, CAT activities were expressed with 46% increment in BARI Gom-25 and 94% increment in BARI Gom-26 under salt stress conditions whereas APX activity displayed moderate increment in both BARI Gom-25 (28%) and BARI Gom-26 (26%) with regard to control plant (Figure 3E and F). However, the further higher increment of CAT with the application of 'S+TU' combinations was remarkably noted in BARI Gom-25 by 35% and BARI Gom-26 by 65%. Then again, to salt-stressed wheat

plants with individual foliar application of SA revealed greater enhancement of the APX activity in both genotypes such as BARI Gom-25 (38%) and BARI Gom-26 (90%) in compared with the salt-treated plants only (Figure 3E and F). The consequences of the study also exposed that increased activities of POX by 7% in BARI Gom-25 and 50% BARI Gom-26 under salt stress as compared to the control plants (Figure 3G). Moreover, salt-stressed seedlings displayed further enhancement of POX activity in BARI Gom-25 (44% and 21%, respectively) and BARI Gom-26 (16% and 28% respectively) when supplemented with 'S+SA' and 'S+TU' treatments in comparison with seedlings treated with salt-stress only (Figure 3G).

## Treatment-Variable Interaction Measurement Using Hierarchical Clustering and Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

The heat map and hierarchical cluster analysis based on the mean value of different morpho-physiological, and biochemical traits of two wheat genotypes under both non-salinized and salinized conditions and all the traits were grouped into three main clusters (Cluster-I, -II and -III) (Figure 4). The cluster distribution design showed that the variables *viz.*,  $H_2O_2$ , CAT, LL (%), APX, PRO, and POX were grouped in Cluster-I. Among these traits,  $H_2O_2$  was significantly increased in salt stress conditions, however, declined upon the foliar spray of SA or TU on salt-stressed wheat plants. On the other hand, other traits namely PRO, and POD showed unchanged activities



Figure 4: Hierarchical clustering to understand treatment-variable relationships of two wheat genotypes, BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 under different treatment combinations including Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU). Three distinct clusters (I, -II and -III) were obtained at the variable level. The colour scale shows the intensity of the normalized mean values of different traits. The variables included LL(%) leaf live (%), SL Shoot length, RL Root length, SFW Shoot fresh weight, RFW Root fresh weight, SDW Shoot dry weight, RDW Root dry weight, RWC Relative water content, RWL Relative water loss, ELWR excised leaf water retention, CAR Carotenoid, Chl a Chlorophyll a, Chl b Chlorophyll b, TC Total chlorophyll content, Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>ratio, PRO Proline content, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Hydrogen peroxide, MDA Malondialdehyde content, CAT Catalase activity, APX Ascorbate peroxidase activity, and POX Peroxidase activity.

under salinity stress in compared with control condition plants whereas the exogenous application of SA and TU caused the clear increasing trend of PRO, and POX activities in salt-treated plants when compared with 'S' alone (Figure 4). Moreover, CAT, APX, and LL (%) reflected no change in wheat plants upon the addition of S, S+SA, and S+TU treatments in compared with non-stressed treatment. The traits of Cluster-II (TC, SL, RL, and RWC) demonstrated differential reaction due to the application of NaCl and exogenous SA or TU on wheat plants with regards to the salt-free control plants (Figure 4). The TC content was unchanged and SL, RL, and RWC were decreased when salt stress was imposed, while the supplementation of salttreated plants with SA and TU displayed the increased level of these parameters except TC content (Figure 4). The heat map also exhibited different response in Cluster-III variable's where some traits namely RWL, and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> were enhanced and other traits viz., RFW, MDA, SFW, RWL, EWLR, SDW, RDW, Na<sup>+/</sup> K<sup>+</sup>, Chl a, Chl b, and CAR were declined in wheat plants due to salt-induced injury when compared with control-treated plants only. In contrast, these traits showed positive results when the salt-stressed plants were supplemented by SA or TU such as a decreasing trend of RWL and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> and an increasing trend of RFW, MDA, SFW, RWL, EWLR, SDW, RDW, Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>, Chl (a, b) as well as CAR in comparison with the plant under salt-stress with no use of SA or TU, indicating that exogenous SA and TU



Figure 5: Principle component analysis (PCA) to understand the treatment-variable relationships of two wheat genotypes, BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26, under different treatment combinations including Control, C (nutrient solution); salt, S (nutrient solution with 150 mM NaCl); S+SA (150 mM NaCl with 0.75 mM SA); and S+TU (150 mM NaCl with 15 mM TU). The lines originating from central point of biplots indicate positive or negative correlations of different variables; where their closeness indicates correlation strength with particular treatment. The variables included LL(%) leaf live (%), SL Shoot length, RL Root length, SFW Shoot fresh weight, RFW Root fresh weight, SDW Shoot dry weight, RDW Root dry weight, RWC Relative water content, RWL Relative water loss, ELWR excised leaf water retention, CAR Carotenoid, Chl a Chlorophyll a, Chl b Chlorophyll b, TC Total chlorophyll content, Na+/K+ Na+/K+ ratio, PRO Proline content, H2O2 Hydrogen peroxide, MDA Malondialdehyde content, CAT Catalase activity, APX Ascorbate peroxidase activity, and POX Peroxidase activity.

might play ameliorating role to mitigate the adverse effect of salt stress and thereby, enhanced the growth performance in wheat plants under salt stress situations (Figure 4).

To reveal the association of the different treatments (S, S+SA,and S+TU) with morpho-physiological and biochemical traits, the PCA was also performed (Figure 5). In the present investigation, the PC1 from the PCA analysis explained about 58.75% of the total variation present in these genotypes, whereas PC2 describes 32.28% and the two components (PC1 and PC2) collectively explained 91.03% of data variability. The results of the PCA displayed that the parameters  $Na^+/K^+$ , RWL, MDA, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were associated salt stress treatment demonstrated that these traits increased under salt stress (Figure 5). Besides, another treatment's 'S+SA' and 'S+SA' were clustered in two different groups of morpho-physiological and biochemical characteristics. The variables PRO, POX, CAT, and APX were closely associated with 'S + TU', whereas LL (%), RFW, Chl a, TC and RDW were associated with 'S + SA'. Another group of traits viz., SL, SDW, SFW, CAR, Chl b, RL, RWC, and EWLR showed significant interaction with 'C' treatment (Figure 5).

### DISCUSSION

Salinity stress is considered as one of the major limiting factors for agricultural crop production, which becomes a significant threat to ensure food security for the increasing population of the world (Alhasnawi et al., 2015; Gupta & Huang, 2015). Under high salt stress conditions, different morpho-physiological and biochemical changes occurred in plants resulting in the reduction of growth potential as well as productivity (Bacha et al., 2017; Jahan et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020). In recent times, exogenous osmoprotectants such as SA and TU demonstrated the capacity to enhance the plants' growth and development under salinity stress by mitigating the salt-induced damage through osmotic adjustment, scavenging ROS and stabilization of membrane integrity, etc. (Ali & Ashraf, 2011; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013; Pandey et al., 2021). Thus, the present study revealed the alleviating actions of exogenous application of SA and TU against the negative impacts of saline stress in two wheat genotypes by increasing the growth traits, leaf water status and photosynthetic components, and antioxidant defence systems.

Under stressful conditions, the cell metabolism of plants is inhibited which mandate the dysfunction of cell division as well as cell differentiation (Veylder *et al.*, 2007). Salt stress primarily incites ionic toxicity causing osmotic and oxidative stresses, later on, all of which collectively exert a negative effect on plant growth performance and development (Muchate *et al.*, 2016; Rouphael *et al.*, 2018). The results of our study showed that the exposure of wheat seedlings to salinity stress severely diminished the growth parameters *viz.*, lower live leaf (%), reduced root and shoot length, and reduced root and shoot biomass in both wheat genotypes (Table 1; Figure 1). These outcomes have similarity to the findings of Sanaullah et al. (2016) and Chunthaburee et al. (2016) who observed a reduced shoot and root growth, and less dry mass production at the seedling stage of maize (Zea mays L.) and rice (Oryza sativa L.) plants under saline condition, respectively. The higher level of salt concentration dispenses a toxic effect on shoots resulting lower live leaves (%) because of the exclusion of symplastic xylem accumulation of calcium by salt into the root of plants (Läuchli & Grattan 2007).

As the number of live leaves is reduced, the rate of photosynthesis ultimately decreases hampering the supply of carbohydrates and growth hormones to meristematic tissues and ultimately, decelerates plant biomass production (Hussain et al., 2018). However, foliar treatment of salt-stressed BARI Gom-25 and BARI Gom-26 with SA or TU demonstrated a higher efficiency in the reduction of the adverse impacts of salt stress on plant growth and revealed a protective role of SA and TU in wheat seedlings against salinity stress by exhibiting higher percentage of live leaf, higher weight of root and shoot in both fresh and dry conditions, and improvement in shoot and root length as compared to the plants treated with salt stress only (Table 1) (Nie et al., 2018). The similar findings were also reported in cucumber (Cucumis sativus) (Shim et al., 2009), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (Shaheen et al., 2012), and teak (Tectona grandis) (Li et al., 2014). SA-induced growth increase could be related to the enhanced activities of antioxidant enzymes in salt stress conditions allowing plant with a greater proficiency of growth and development by encountering the salt-induced oxidative stress (Horvath et al., 2007; Harfouche et al., 2008). Besides, the growth-flourishing effects of SA might be causes the positive changes of plant hormonal status leading to the improvement of photosynthesis, transpiration, and stomatal conductance in plants under stress situations (Stevens et al., 2006; Abreu & Munne'-Bosch, 2009). On the other hand, the exogenous application of TU might provide the source of C and N to the leaves of salt-stressed wheat seedlings, which was positively correlated to the enhanced production of plant biomass (Anjum et al., 2011). Moreover, TU increased the uptake of essential nutrients and accelerates the metabolic processes under stress conditions, which lead the higher growth and dry matter accumulation in stressed plants (Burman et al., 2004). The maintenance of optimal water status in plants is considered as a key physiological process for keeping normal growth and development under salt stress (Ahanger et al., 2017). Different leaf water-related parameters such as RWC, RWL, and ELWR are commonly used to measure the water status of plant as well as osmotic adjustment in stress conditions (Baisakhet al., 2012). Salinity is often lowers the water potential in the soil environment which is found to decline the RWC in root and shoot that ultimately causing the closure of stomata and limits the assimilation of CO<sub>2</sub> mounting, an adverse impact on photosynthetic components in plants (González & González-Vilar 2006; Pattangul & Thitisaksakul 2008). In the present study, RWC, and ELWR were severely diminished when the wheat seedlings were confronted with salt stress (Table 2). This is because the salt-induced injury caused a reduction of water uptake in the leaves by disrupting the cell wall structure (Khaled et al., 2018; Parvin et al., 2019). Moreover, seedlings exposed to salt stress also showed a severe increment of RWL in the leaves in comparison with untreated plants (Table 2), as stress condition forced to more leaf electrolyte leakage in plants which severely reduced the water content in leaves (Parida & Das 2005; Hniličková *et al.*, 2019).

In contrast, the exogenous application of SA dramatically up-regulated leaf RWC and ELWR in wheat leaves, as well as decreased the RWL in salt-stressed wheat seedlings (Table 2), reflecting that SA considerably elevated the membrane damages caused in salinity thereby minimizing water loss through facilitating the adjustment of optimal water status inside the plant tissues by reducing the transpiration and/ or up-taking more water from the soil (Tahjib-Ul-Arif et al., 2018b). This result was in agreement with Shi et al. (2006) and Khalifa et al. (2016) who observed lower electrolyte leakage in salt stress affected lettuce plants when treated with exogenous SA treatments. Moreover, the exogenous SA lowered the membrane damages in salinity stressed plants, which might be related to the up-regulation of antioxidant responses that safeguard the plant from oxidative damage (Khan et al., 2015).

The photosynthetic attributes such as chlorophyll a and b are the vital factors fixing photosynthetic efficiency and leading the mechanism of the photosynthetic process in plants (Shao *et al.*, 2014). Several reports suggested that photosynthetic pigments are highly affected by salt stress which inhibits photosynthesis by worsening the leaf chlorophyll content directly or by feigning photosynthetic apparatus (Sharma *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, when the high levels of salt accumulate in leaves, the activity of chlorophyll degrading enzyme namely chlorophyllase accelerates the degradation or inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis leading to decrease in chlorophyll content (Ashraf & Harris 2013; Kordrostami *et al.*, 2017).

Our investigation reported that the photosynthetic pigments viz., Chl a, Chl b, total chlorophyll, and carotenoid contents were declined in greater proportions in both salt-stressed wheat genotypes (Figure 2), whereas when stressed plants were supplemented with SA or TU alone, the photosynthetic components were significantly augmented in the seedlings of both genotypes (Figure 2) which indicated that SA or TU might assist photosynthesis process by protecting chloroplast pigments from the salinity induced toxicity probably through the oxidative protection of chloroplasts (Foyer & Shigeoka 2011; Amin et al., 2016). Furthermore, SA and TU might flourish the enzyme's activity by regulating chlorophyll biosynthesis or might protect the photosynthetic system from impairment, thereby decreasing chlorophyll degradation under salt stress situations (Ma et al., 2017). The similar findings to our study were also reported by Amin et al. (2014) and Abdelaal et al. (2020) who were reported an up-regulation of chlorophyll content in the salt-stressed leaves of barley (Hordeum vulgare) and faba bean (Vicia faba) upon the addition of exogenous SA and TU.

The prevailing of excess salinity in the soil leads to saline toxicity and nutrient deficiencies in plants through the excessive uptake of individual ions like Na<sup>+</sup> and inhibiting the absorption of essential plant nutrients, respectively (Assaha *et al.*, 2017; Sa *et al.*, 2019). Salt stress also accelerated the expression OsNHX1, which is associated with the transfer of Na<sup>+</sup> for vascular compartmentalization (Mekawy et al., 2015). Plants can reduce the excessive accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> in the shoots in two different ways, such as preventing the load or maximization of Na<sup>+</sup> retrieval from the xylem by minimizing the Na<sup>+</sup> entry from the root symplast (Davenport et al., 2007), or exporting the Na<sup>+</sup> from the leaf into the phloem (Berthomieu *et al.*, 2003). Ejection of superfluous Na<sup>+</sup> from the shoots is considered as one of the most vital characteristics of salt tolerance ability in plants (Wu, 2018), hence it is crucial to measure Na<sup>+</sup> in plants under saline conditions to understand the mechanisms of salinity tolerance in plants (Wagas et al., 2019). In our study, the imposition of salinity stress significantly increased Na<sup>+</sup> concentration in the leaves of wheat genotypes reflecting a higher Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio (Figure 3A). However, salt-stressed wheat seedlings treated with 'S+SA' or 'S+TU' demonstrated lower Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation in the leaves which destined a lowered  $Na^+/K^+$  ratio (Figure 3A). This result was in agreement with Sanaullah et al. (2016) who observed a lower leaf Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio in the salt-stressed maize (Zea mays L.) leaves with the foliar application of TU. The application of SA and/or TU on the leaves of stressed plant could inhibit the uptake of excessive salt by restricting passive Na<sup>+</sup> influx under salt stress conditions and eventually improve the transportation of essential ions from roots to shoots to maintain a balance Na+/K+ ratio (Liu et al.,2014). The exogenous SA and TU could modulate the Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio to improve the salt-tolerance mechanisms by facilitating the activity of H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase in the plasma membrane, which plays a major role in the increased absorption of K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and Mg<sup>2+</sup> under NaCl induced toxicity (Nazar et al., 2011; Kaya et al., 2015; Kaya et al., 2016).

Proline supplementation plays a crucial role to prevent salinity stress-mediated oxidative damage and lowered cell death, as proline can scavenges free radicals and minimizes the production of ROS (Banu et al., 2009; Kibria et al., 2017). Moreover, proline might inhibit stress-induced harmful effects on cellular organelles by stabilizing membrane structures, enzyme functioning, and maintaining water status (Ahanger et al., 2018). In the present investigation, proline content was significantly affected by the application of salt stress, SA, and TU in wheat genotypes (Figure 3B). When plants faced salt stress, the storage of intracellular proline content is enhanced in both genotypes (Figure 3B). Increased accumulation of proline in plants under salinity stress has been also reported by several workers in wheat (Triticum aestivum L), mustard (Brassica juncea L.), and canola (Brassica napus L.) which is similar to our investigation (Ashrafijou et al., 2010; Ahmad et al., 2011; Ahanger et al., 2017). Moreover, accumulation of proline was demonstrated in the leaves of salt-treated wheat seedlings due to the exogenous application of SA or, TU in comparison with the plants treated with salt stress only (Figure 3B). Khan et al. (2014) have also observed the improvement of salinity tolerance in mung bean (Vigna radiata L.) due to increased accumulation proline upon the exogenous application of SA. Kaya et al. (2016) also reported the higher accumulation of proline content in salt-stressed maize when TU sprayed on foliar leaves. This might be due to the involvement of SA or TU in up-regulation of pro-biosynthesis enzymes such as  $\gamma$ -glut amyl kinase and pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase under salt stress along with the improved salinity tolerance ability in wheat plants (Misra & Saxena 2009; Khan *et al.*, 2013). The increment of the proline content with the application of SA or TU under saline conditions contributes to maintain osmotic balance, membrane integrity, enzyme and protein stability, and ROS detoxification, which may subsequently facilitate the conferring tolerance of stressed plants (Blum, 2017).

Accumulation of toxic ion (Na<sup>+</sup>) in plant cells resulted in oxidative damage as reflected by higher ROS generation in parallel with higher lipid peroxidation (Soundararajan et al., 2018). MDA is considered as a determining factor for measuring the lipid peroxidation level, which is a decomposition product of the thiobarbituric acid (TBA)-induced peroxidised polyunsaturated fatty acid content of the membrane lipid (Alamet al., 2013; Shen et al., 2014). Salt stress caused an increment of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content in the leaves of plants, thus disrupting its permeability through the peroxidation of the lipid membrane (Hossain et al., 2015). The excessive procurement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> exerts negative impacts on membrane functioning by commencing the peroxidation of lipids. Our investigation showed that the seedlings treated with salt without spraying of SA and TU accumulated higher MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels in leaves resulted in higher oxidative damage as compared to control (Figure 3C and D). Kadioglu et al. (2011) and Kaya et al. (2013) also demonstrated that the prolonged exposure of salt stress to wheat plants resulted in a significant boost of MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content. By contrast, lower level of MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in salt-stressed wheat leaves were observed in the present study due to the exogenous application of SA and TU (Figure 3C and D). These findings were supported by the other findings recorded in sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum) (Delavari et al., 2010), savory (Satureja hortensis) (Yazdanpanah et al., 2011), and in barley (Hordeum vulgare) (Alamri et al., 2018). This is because the exogenous application of SA and TU decreased lipid peroxidation as well as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production by the induction of antioxidant responses in plants for quenching ROS in stress situations, which protects the plant from oxidative stress-induced membrane damage (Alam et al., 2013). Moreover, SA supplementation was also increased GSH and AsA, and enhanced GSH/GSSG ratio, which causes a marked decline in salt-induced oxidative burst by the reduction of MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content under salt stress (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2014). The procurement of venturesome elements like ROS in plant cells is the most general consequence of saline condition which causes different oxidative damage such as infringement to proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids thereby inciting rapid plant cell death (Sharma et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2019). To safeguard form oxidative stress, the plant adopts a defensive mechanism with the activation of antioxidant defence systems including CAT, APX, and POX, which are played a key role in the scavenging of ROS, and to counteract against lipid peroxidation (Ahmad et al., 2010; Dugasa et al., 2019). One of the most effective antioxidant enzymes is CAT, which detoxifies H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by converting it into H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> in the in different cellular organelles of the cells whereas APX plays the central role in the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> detoxifying system in plant cells by catalysing the conversion of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> into H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> through using AsA as a specific electron donor (Caverzan et al., 2012; Sofo et al., 2015).

In the current study, a significant increase in CAT, APX, and POX activities was observed in the leaf tissues of the wheat genotypes under salt stress conditions (Figure 3A, B and C). The results observed in this study are in accordance with the results reported in different plant species such as mustard (Brassica spp.), wheat (Triticum destivum), and pepper (Piper nigrum) (Nazar et al., 2011; Ahanger & Agarwal, 2017; Shams et al., 2019). Moreover, a higher activity of CAT, APX and POX was observed in salt-stressed wheat leaves because of applying exogenous SA and TU (Figure 3A, B and C), which clearly indicates that exogenous SA and TU might have an ameliorating capacity to detoxify excessively generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and subsequently reduced the MDA accumulation. Hence, the exogenous SA and TU diminished the oxidative damage in the wheat genotypes under salt stress through up-regulating the antioxidant enzymes activities. These findings were consistent with other researchers who observed an enhanced antioxidant response with the application of SA or TU in strawberry (Fragaria ananassa L.), cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.), and rice (Oryza sativa L.) (Faghih et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017; Zahra et al., 2018). Additionally, exogenously applied SA was found to modulate the transcription of antioxidant genes including, GPX1, GPX2, DHAR, GR, GST1, GST2, MDHAR, and GS, and enhanced the activities of ascorbate-glutathione (AsA-GSH) pathway enzymes under salt stress situations for improving salt tolerance in wheat seedlings (Li et al., 2013).

### CONCLUSIONS

The present data clearly demonstrated that salt stress lead to growth inhibition, reduced photosynthetic capacity, leaf water status, and increased Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio, and evocation of oxidative damage due to the generation of excessive H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and MDA in both wheat genotypes. Salt-stressed wheat plants supplemented with exogenous SA or TU exhibited better growth performance through the enhancement of photosynthetic attributes and intracellular proline content. SA or TU also played an important role in minimizing membrane damage as indicated by lower level of MDA and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation in the leaves of wheat seedlings exposed to salt stress. More evidently, antioxidant enzyme activities were considerably up-regulated in salinity exposed wheat plants upon the addition of SA or TU; representing the protective effects of SA or TU against the salt-induced oxidative stress. However, in-depth studies with different concentration of SA and TU under direct field condition will decipher the molecular mechanisms of SA- and TU-induced salt stress tolerance in wheat.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

## **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION**

Mohammad Afzal Hossain conceived the project and planned the experiment. Sumaiya Farzana performed the experiment and wrote the first draft of the paper. A.G.M. Sofiuddin Mahamud helped to perform biochemical analysis. Md Rasel contributed to the data analysis and wrote the first draft of the paper. Md. Tahjib Ul Arif helped in the experimental works and performed the critical revision of the data. Md. Golam Azam and Md. Asadulla Al Galib contributed to the writing of the manuscript. Mohammad Anwar Hossain wrote the proofreading of the final draft and edited.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the present study.

### REFERENCES

- Abdelaal, K. A., Attia, K. A., Alamery, S. F., El-Afry, M. M., Ghazy, A. I., Tantawy, D. S., & Hafez, Y. M. (2020). Exogenous application of proline and salicylic acid can mitigate the injurious impacts of drought stress on barley plants associated with physiological and histological characters. *Sustainability*, *12*(5), 1736. https://doi. org/10.3390/su12051736
- AbdElgawad, H., Zinta, G., Hegab, M. M., Pandey, R., Asard, H., & Abuelsoud, W. (2016). High salinity induces different oxidative stress and antioxidant responses in maize seedlings organs. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 276. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00276
- Abreu, M. E., & Munne-Bosch, S. (2009). Salicylic acid deficiency in NahG transgenic lines and sid2 mutants increases seed yield in the annual plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Journal of Experimental Botany, 60(4), 1261-1271. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ern363
- Aebi, H. (1984). Catalase *in vitro*. *Methods in Enzymology*, *105*, 121-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(84)05016-3
- Aghaleh, M., Niknam, V., Ebrahimzadeh, H., & Razavi, K. (2009). Salt stress effects on growth, pigments, proteins and lipid peroxidation in *Salicornia persica* and *S. europaea*. *BiologiaPlantarum*, *53*(2), 243-248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-009-0046-7
- Ahanger, M. A., & Agarwal, R. M. (2017). Salinity stress induced alterations in antioxidant metabolism and nitrogen assimilation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by potassium supplementation. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *115*, 449-460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2017.04.017
- Ahanger, M. A., Gul, F., Ahmad, P. & Akram, N. A. (2018). Environmental stresses and Metabolomics-Deciphering the role of stress responsive metabolites. *Plant Metabolites and Regulation under Environmental Stress*, 53-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812689-9.00003-0
- Ahmad, F., Singh, A., & Kamal, A. (2017). Ameliorative effect of salicylic acid in salinity stressed *Pisum sativum* by improving growth parameters, activating photosynthesis and enhancing antioxidant defense system. *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications*, 10, 481-489. https://doi.org/10.21786/bbrc/10.3/22
- Ahmad, P., Jaleel, C. A., Salem, M. A., Nabi, G., & Sharma, S. (2010). Roles of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants in plants during abiotic stress. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 30(3), 161-175. https://doi. org/10.3109/07388550903524243
- Ahmad, P., Nabi, G., & Ashraf, M. (2011). Cadmium-induced oxidative damage in mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.] plants can be alleviated by salicylic acid. *South African Journal of Botany*, 77(1), 36-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2010.05.003
- Akram, S., Siddiqui, M. N., Hussain, B. N., Al Bari, M. A., Mostofa, M. G., Hossain, M. A., & Tran, L. S. P. (2017). Exogenous glutathione modulates salinity tolerance of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] at reproductive stage. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, *36*(4), 877-888. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-017-9691-9
- Alam, M. M., Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., & Fujita, M. (2013). Exogenous salicylic acid ameliorates short-term drought stress in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) seedlings by up-regulating the antioxidant defense and glyoxalase system. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 7(7), 1053.
- Alamri, S. A. D., Siddiqui, M. H., Al-Khaishany, M. Y., Ali, H. M., Al-Amri, A., &AlRabiah, H. K. (2018). Exogenous application of salicylic acid

improves tolerance of wheat plants to lead stress. *Advances in Agricultural Science*, 6(2).

- Alhasnawi, A. N., Kadhimi, A. A., Isahak, A., Mohamad, A., Yusoff, W. M. W., & Zain, C. R. C. M. (2015). Exogenous application of ascorbic acid ameliorates detrimental effects of salt stress in rice (MRQ74 and MR269) seedlings. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, 7(3), 186. https:// doi.org/10.3923/ajcs.2015.186.196
- Ali, Q., & Ashraf, M. (2011). Induction of drought tolerance in maize (*Zea mays* L.) due to exogenous application of trehalose: growth, photosynthesis, water relations and oxidative defence mechanism. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 197(4), 258-271. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2010.00463.x
- Ali, S., Gautam, R. K., Mahajan, R., Krishnamurthy, S. L., Sharma, S. K., Singh, R. K., & Ismail, A. M. (2013). Stress indices and selectable traits in SALTOL QTL introgressed rice genotypes for reproductive stage tolerance to sodicity and salinity stresses. *Field Crops Research*, 154, 65-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.06.01
- Amin, A. A., Abouziena, H. F., Abdelhamid, M. T., Rashad, E. S. M., & Gharib, A. F. (2014). Improving growth and productivity of faba bean plants by foliar application of thiourea and aspartic acid. *International Journal* of Plant & Soil Science, 724-736.
- Amin, A. A., AA, A. E. K., Abouziena, H. F., El-Awadi, M., & Gharib, F. A. (2016). Effects of benzoic acid and thiourea on growth and productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants. *PONTE Journal*, 72(4), 132-149.
- Anjum, F., Wahid, A., Farooq, M., & Javed, F. (2011). Potential of foliar applied thiourea in improving salt and high temperature tolerance of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 13(2), 251-256.
- Arzani, A., & Ashraf, M. (2017). Cultivated ancient wheats (*Triticum* spp.): A potential source of health-beneficial food products. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(3), 477-488. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12262
- Ashraf, M. H. P. J. C., & Harris, P. J. (2013). Photosynthesis under stressful environments: an overview. *Photosynthetica*, 51(2), 163-190. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11099-013-0021-6
- Ashraf, M. (2009). Biotechnological approach of improving plant salt tolerance using antioxidants as markers. *Biotechnology Advances*, *27*(1), 84-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2008.09.003
- Ashrafijou, M., Noori, S. S., Darbandi, A. I., & Saghafi, S. (2010). Effect of salinity and radiation on proline accumulation in seeds of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Plant, Soil and Environment, 56*(7), 312-317. https://doi.org/10.17221/2/2010-PSE
- Assaha, D. V., Ueda, A., Saneoka, H., Al-Yahyai, R., & Yaish, M. W. (2017). The role of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> transporters in salt stress adaptation in glycophytes. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *8*, 509. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fphys.2017.00509
- Bacha, H., Tekaya, M., Drine, S., Guasmi, F., Touil, L., Enneb, H., & Ferchichi, A. (2017). Impact of salt stress on morpho-physiological and biochemical parameters of *Solanum lycopersicum* cv. *Microtom* leaves. *South African Journal of Botany*, *108*, 364-369. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sajb.2016.08.018
- Baisakh, N., RamanaRao, M. V., Rajasekaran, K., Subudhi, P., Janda, J., Galbraith, D., & Pereira, A. (2012). Enhanced salt stress tolerance of rice plants expressing a vacuolar H+-ATPase subunit c1 (SaVHAc1) gene from the halophyte grass *Spartina alterniflora*Löisel. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, *10*(4), 453-464. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2012.00678.x
- Banu, M. N. A., Hoque, M. A., Watanabe-Sugimoto, M., Matsuoka, K., Nakamura, Y., Shimoishi, Y., & Murata, Y. (2009). Proline and glycinebetaine induce antioxidant defense gene expression and suppress cell death in cultured tobacco cells under salt stress. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, *166*(2), 146-156. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jplph.2008.03.002
- Bates, L. S., Waldren, R. P., & Teare, I. D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, 39(1), 205-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060
- Berthomieu, P., Conéjéro, G., Nublat, A., Brackenbury, W. J., Lambert, C., Savio, C., Uozumi, N., Oiki, S., Yamada, K., Cellier, F., Gosti, F., Simonneau, T., Essah, P. A., Tester, M., Véry, A. A., Sentenac, H., & Casse, F. (2003). Functional analysis of AtHKT1 in Arabidopsis shows that Na<sup>+</sup> recirculation by the phloem is crucial for salt tolerance. *The EMBO Journal*, *22*(9), 2004-2014. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/ cdg207
- Blum, A. (2017). Osmotic adjustment is a prime drought stress adaptive

engine in support of plant production. *Plant, cell & Environment, 40*(1), 4-10. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12800

- Borges, A. A., Jiménez-Arias, D., Expósito-Rodríguez, M., Sandalio, L. M., & Pérez, J. A. (2014). Priming crops against biotic and abiotic stresses: MSB as a tool for studying mechanisms. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 642. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00642
- Brown, J. G., & Lilleland, O. (1946). Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant materials and soil extracts by flame photometry. In *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 48, 341-346.
- Burman, U., Garg, B. K., & Kathju, S. (2004). Interactive effects of thiourea and phosphorus on clusterbean under water stress. *Biologia Plantarum*, 48(1), 61-65. https://doi. org/10.1023/B: BIOP0000024276.03834.8d
- Caverzan, A., Passaia, G., Rosa, S. B., Ribeiro, C. W., Lazzarotto, F., & Margis-Pinheiro, M. (2012). Plant responses to stresses: role of ascorbate peroxidase in the antioxidant protection. *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 35(4), 1011-1019.
- Chawla, S., Jain, S., & Jain, V. (2013). Salinity induced oxidative stress and antioxidant system in salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive cultivars of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 22(1), 27-34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13562-012-0107-4
- Choudhury, F. K., Rivero, R. M., Blumwald, E., & Mittler, R. (2017). Reactive oxygen species, abiotic stress and stress combination. *The Plant Journal*, 90(5), 856-867. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13299
- Chunthaburee, S., Dongsansuk, A., Sanitchon, J., Pattanagul, W., &Theerakulpisut, P. (2016). Physiological and biochemical parameters for evaluation and clustering of rice cultivars differing in salt tolerance at seedling stage. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 23(4), 467-477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2015.05.013
- Cicek, N. U. R. A. N., & Cakirlar, H. (2008). Changes in some antioxidant enzyme activities in six soybean cultivars in response to long-term salinity at two different temperatures. *General and Applied Plant Physiology*, 34(3–4), 267-280.
- Cooper, A. (1988). Chemicals needed to prepare 1000 litres of nutrients solution. *Hydroponics (soilless culture). Soilless culture book (English)* 18p. An html. Document. *Hydroponics website*.
- Davenport, R. J., MUÑOZ-MAYOR, A. L. I. C. I. A., Jha, D., Essah, P. A., Rus, A. N. A., & Tester, M. (2007). The Na<sup>+</sup> transporter AtHKT1; 1 controls retrieval of Na<sup>+</sup> from the xylem in Arabidopsis. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 30*(4), 497-507. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01637.x
- de Freitas, P. A. F., de Souza Miranda, R., Marques, E. C., Prisco, J. T., & Gomes-Filho, E. (2018). Salt tolerance induced by exogenous proline in maize is related to low oxidative damage and favorable ionic homeostasis. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, *37*(3), 911-924. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-018-9787-x
- Delavari, P. M., Baghizadeh, A., Enteshari, S. H., Kalantari, K. M., Yazdanpanah, A., & Mousavi, E. A. (2010). The effects of salicylic acid on some of biochemical and morphological characteristic of *Ocimum basilicucm* under salinity stress. *Australian Journal of Basic* and Applied Sciences, 4(10), 4832-4845.
- Dugasa, M. T., Cao, F., Ibrahim, W., & Wu, F. (2019). Differences in physiological and biochemical characteristics in response to single and combined drought and salinity stresses between wheat genotypes differing in salt tolerance. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 165(2), 134-143. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12743
- El-Kinany, R. G. (2020). The beneficial role of salicylic acid, triacontanol and δ-aminolevulinic acid on the growth, flowering and chemical composition of pansy (Viola wittrockiana gams) under salt stress conditions. *Hortscience Journal of Suez Canal University*, *9*, 13-30.
- Elrys, A. S., Abdo, A. I. E., Abdel-Hamed, E. M. W., Desoky, E. S. M. (2020). Integrative application of licorice root extract or lipoic acid with fulvic acid improves wheat production and defenses under salt stress conditions. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 190*,110-144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.110144
- Erkan, İ. E. & Aras Aşci, Ö. (2021). Effects of Salicylic Acid on Triticale under Salt Stress. *Bilge International Journal of Science and Technology Research*, 85, 80–85. https://doi.org/10.30516/bilgesci.839844
- Faghih, S., Ghobadi, C., & Zarei, A. (2017). Response of strawberry plant cv.'Camarosa'to salicylic acid and methyl jasmonate application under salt stress condition. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 36(3), 651-659. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-017-9666-x

- Foyer, C. H., & Shigeoka, S. (2011). Understanding oxidative stress and antioxidant functions to enhance photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology*, 155(1), 93-100. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.166181
- Gavuzzi, P., Rizza, F., Palumbo, M., Campanile, R. G., Ricciardi, G. L., & Borghi, B. (1997). Evaluation of field and laboratory predictors of drought and heat tolerance in winter cereals. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 77(4), 523-531. https://doi.org/10.4141/P96-130
- Gharsallah, C., Fakhfakh, H., Grubb, D., &Gorsane, F. (2016). Effect of salt stress on ion concentration, proline content, antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression in tomato cultivars. *AoB Plants*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plw055
- Golder, P. C., Sastry, R. K., & Srinivas, K. (2013). Research priorities in Bangladesh: Analysis of crop production trends. SAARC Journal of Agriculture, 11(1), 53-70. https://doi.org/10.3329/sja.v11i1.18375
- González, L., & González-Vilar, M. (2001). Determination of relative water content. In *Handbook of plant ecophysiology techniques* (pp. 207-212). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48057-3\_14
- Gupta, B., & Huang, B. (2014). Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. *International Journal of Genomics*, 2014, 701596. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/701596
- Harfouche, A. L., Rugini, E., Mencarelli, F., Botondi, R., &Muleo, R. (2008). Salicylic acid induces H2O2 production and endochitinase gene expression but not ethylene biosynthesis in *Castanea sativa* in vitro model system. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 165(7), 734-744. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2007.03.010
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Alam, M. M., Nahar, K., Ahamed, K. U., & Fujita, M. (2014). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates salt stress-induced oxidative damage in *Brassica napus* by enhancing the antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 8(4), 631-639. https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/ informit.292398401589540
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., & Fujita, M. (2013). Plant response to salt stress and role of exogenous protectants to mitigate salt-induced damages. In *Ecophysiology and responses of plants under salt stress* (pp. 25-87). Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4747-4\_2
- Hniličková, H., Hnilička, F., Orsák, M., &Hejnák, V. (2019). Effect of salt stress on growth, electrolyte leakage, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> content in selected plant species. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 65(2), 90-96. https://doi. org/10.17221/620/2018-PSE
- Horváth, E., Szalai, G., &Janda, T. (2007). Induction of abiotic stress tolerance by salicylic acid signaling. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 26(3), 290-300. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-007-9017-4
- Hossain, M. A., Bhattacharjee, S., Armin, S. M., Qian, P., Xin, W., Li, H. Y., Burritt, D. J., Fujita, M., & Tran, L. S. (2015). Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic oxidative stress tolerance: insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*, 420. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00420
- Hossain, M. A., Hoque, T. S., Zaid, A., Wani, S. H., Mostofa, M. G., Henry, R. (2021). Targeting the ascorbate-glutathione pathway and the glyoxalase pathway for genetic engineering of abiotic stresstolerance in rice. In M. A. Hossain, L. Hassan, K. M. Iftekharuddaula, A. Kumar, R. Henry (Eds.), *Molecular Breeding for Rice Abiotic Stress Tolerance and Nutritional Quality*, pp.398-427, Wiley-Blackwell, UK.
- Hussain, H. A., Hussain, S., Khaliq, A., Ashraf, U., Anjum, S. A., Men, S., & Wang, L. (2018). Chilling and drought stresses in crop plants: implications, cross talk, and potential management opportunities. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*, 393. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00393
- Ismail, A. M., & Horie, T. (2017). Genomics, physiology, and molecular breeding approaches for improving salt tolerance. *Annual Review* of *Plant Biology*, 68, 405-434. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevarplant-042916-040936
- Jahan, I., Rahman, M. M., Tuzzohora, M. F., Hossain, M. A., Begum, S. N., Burritt, D. J., & Hossain, M. A. (2020). Phenotyping of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) genotypes against salt stress and assessment of variability for yield and yield attributing traits. *Journal of Plant Stress Physiology*, 6, 7-17. https://doi.org/10.25081/jpsp.2020.v6.6111
- James, R. A., Blake, C., Zwart, A. B., Hare, R. A., Rathjen, A. J., & Munns, R. (2012). Impact of ancestral wheat sodium exclusion genes Nax1 and Nax2 on grain yield of durum wheat on saline soils. *Functional Plant Biology*, 39(7), 609-618. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP12121

Kadioglu, A., Saruhan, N., Sağlam, A., Terzi, R., & Acet, T. (2011). Exogenous

salicylic acid alleviates effects of long term drought stress and delays leaf rolling by inducing antioxidant system. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 64(1), 27-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-010-9532-3

- Kamran, M., Parveen, A., Ahmar, S., Malik, Z., Hussain, S., Chattha, M. S., Saleem, M. H., Adil, M., Heidari, P. & Chen, J-T. (2020). An overview of hazardous impacts of soil salinity in crops, tolerance mechanisms, and amelioration through selenium supplementation. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(1), 148. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms21010148
- Kaya, C., Ashraf, M., & Sönmez, O. (2015). Promotive effect of exogenously applied thiourea on key physiological parametersand oxidative defense mechanism in salt-stressed *Zea mays* L. plants. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 39(5), 786-795. https://doi.org/10.3906/bot-1409-10
- Kaya, C., Sönmez, O., Ashraf, M., Polat, T., Tuna, L., & Aydemir, S. (2015). Exogenous application of nitric oxide and thiourea regulates on growth and some key physiological processes in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants under saline stress. *Soil-Water Journal*, 61-66. https://doi. org/10.21657/tsd.36165
- Kaya, C., Sarioğlu, A., Akram, N. A., & Ashraf, M. (2019). Thiourea-mediated nitric oxide production enhances tolerance to boron toxicity by reducing oxidative stress in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) plants. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 38(3), 1094-1109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-019-09916-x
- Kaya, C., Sonmez, O., Aydemir, S., Ashraf, M., & Dikilitas, M. (2013). Exogenous application of mannitol and thiourea regulates plant growth and oxidative stress responses in salt-stressed maize (*Zea* mays L.). Journal of plant interactions, 8(3), 234-241. https://doi.org /10.1080/17429145.2012.725480
- Khaled, A. A., Reda, O. I., Yaser, H. M., Esmail, S. M., & El Sabagh, A. (2018). Anatomical, biochemical and physiological changes in some Egyptian wheat cultivars inoculated with *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 27(1), 296-305.
- Khalifa, G. S., Abdelrassoul, M., Hegazi, A. M., & Elsherif, M. H. (2016). Attenuation of negative effects of saline stress in two lettuce cultivars by salicylic acid and glycine betaine. *GesundePflanzen*, 68(4), 177-189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-016-0376-2
- Khan, M. I. R., Fatma, M., Per, T. S., Anjum, N. A., & Khan, N. A. (2015). Salicylic acid-induced abiotic stress tolerance and underlying mechanisms in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*, 462. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00462
- Khan, A., Khan, A. L., Muneer, S., Kim, Y. H., Al-Rawahi, A., & Al-Harrasi, A. (2019). Silicon and salinity: crosstalk in crop-mediated stress tolerance mechanisms. *Frontiers in plant science*, 10, 1429. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01429
- Khan, M. H., & Panda, S. K. (2008). Alterations in root lipid peroxidation and antioxidative responses in two rice cultivars under NaCl-salinity stress. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 30(1), 81-89. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11738-007-0093-7
- Khan, M. I. R., Asgher, M., & Khan, N. A. (2014). Alleviation of salt-induced photosynthesis and growth inhibition by salicylic acid involves glycinebetaine and ethylene in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 80, 67-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2014.03.026
- Khan, M. I. R., Fatma, M., Per, T. S., Anjum, N. A., & Khan, N. A. (2015). Salicylic acid-induced abiotic stress tolerance and underlying mechanisms in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*, 462. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00462
- Khan, M. I. R., Iqbal, N., Masood, A., Per, T. S., & Khan, N. A. (2013). Salicylic acid alleviates adverse effects of heat stress on photosynthesis through changes in proline production and ethylene formation. *Plant Signaling&Behavior*, 8(11), e26374. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.26374
- Khatun, M., Shuvo, M. A. R., Salam, M. T. B., & Rahman, S. H. (2019). Effect of organic amendments on soil salinity and the growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Science Today*, 6(2), 106-111. https://doi. org/10.14719/pst.2019.6.2.491
- Kibria, M. G., Hossain, M., Murata, Y., & Hoque, M. A. (2017). Antioxidant defense mechanisms of salinity tolerance in rice genotypes. *Rice Science*, 24(3), 155-162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2017.05.001
- Kim, Y., Kim, S., & Shim, I. S. (2017). Exogenous salicylic acid alleviates salt-stress damage in cucumber under moderate nitrogen conditions by controlling endogenous salicylic acid levels. *Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology, 58*(3), 247-253. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13580-017-0111-7

- Konuşkan, Ö., Gözübenli, H., Atış, İ., & Atak, M. (2017). Effects of salinity stress on emergence and seedling growth parameters of some maize genotypes (*Zea mays* L.).*Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 5(12), 1668-1672. https://doi.org/10.24925/ turjaf.v5i12.1668-1672.1664
- Kordrostami, M., Rabiei, B., & Kumleh, H. H. (2017). Biochemical, physiological and molecular evaluation of rice cultivars differing in salt tolerance at the seedling stage. *Physiology and Molecular Biology* of *Plants*, 23(3), 529-544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-017-0440-0
- Kumar, K., Kumar, M., Kim, S. R., Ryu, H., & Cho, Y. G. (2013). Insights into genomics of salt stress response in rice. *Rice*, 6(1), 1-15. https://doi. org/10.1186/1939-8433-6-27
- Läuchli, A., & Grattan, S. R. (2007). Plant growth and development under salinity stress. In Advances in molecular breeding toward drought and salt tolerant crops (pp. 1-32). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5578-2\_1
- Li, G., Peng, X., Wei, L., & Kang, G. (2013). Salicylic acid increases the contents of glutathione and ascorbate and temporally regulates the related gene expression in salt-stressed wheat seedlings. *Gene*, 529(2), 321-325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.07.093
- Li, T., Hu, Y., Du, X., Tang, H., Shen, C., & Wu, J. (2014). Salicylic acid alleviates the adverse effects of salt stress in *Torreya grandis* cv. *Merrillii* seedlings by activating photosynthesis and enhancing antioxidant systems. *PLOS one*, *9*(10), e109492. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109492
- Lichtenthaler, H. K., & Buschmann, C. (2001). Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Measurement and characterization by UV-VIS spectroscopy. *Current Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry*, 1(1), F4-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142913.faf0403s01
- Liu, S., Dong, Y., Xu, L., & Kong, J. (2014). Effects of foliar applications of nitric oxide and salicylic acid on salt-induced changes in photosynthesis and antioxidative metabolism of cotton seedlings. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 73(1), 67-78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-013-9868-6
- Lonbani, M., & Arzani, A. (2011). Morpho-physiological traits associated with terminal drought-stress tolerance in triticale and wheat. *Agronomy Research*, *9*(1-2), 315-329.
- Ma, Q., Bao, A. K., Chai, W. W., Wang, W. Y., Zhang, J. L., Li, Y. X., & Wang, S. M. (2016). Transcriptomic analysis of the succulent xerophyte *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* in response to salt treatment and osmotic stress. *Plant and Soil*, 402(1), 343-361. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11104-016-2809-1
- Ma, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, X., Hu, Q., & Qian, R. (2017). Salicylic acid alleviates the adverse effects of salt stress on *Dianthus* superbus (Caryophyllaceae) by activating photosynthesis, protecting morphological structure, and enhancing the antioxidant system. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 600. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2017.00600
- Mani, F., Bettaieb, T., Zheni, K., Doudech, N., & Hannachi, C. (2012). Effect of hydrogen peroxide and thiourea on fluorescence and tuberization of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry*, 8(3).
- Mathur, S., Raikalal, P. & Jajoo, A. (2019). Physiological responses of wheat to environmental stresses. In Wheat Production in Changing Environments (pp. 31-61). Springer, Singapore. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-981-13-6883-7\_2
- Mekawy, A. M., Assaha, D. V., Yahagi, H., Tada, Y., Ueda, A., & Saneoka, H. (2015). Growth, physiological adaptation, and gene expression analysis of two Egyptian rice cultivars under salt stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 87, 17-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. plaphy.2014.12.007
- Misra, N., & Saxena, P. (2009). Effect of salicylic acid on proline metabolism in lentil grown under salinity stress. *Plant Science*, *177*(3), 181-189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.05.007
- Mostofa, M. G., & Fujita, M. (2013). Salicylic acid alleviates copper toxicity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings by up-regulating antioxidative and glyoxalase systems. *Ecotoxicology*, 22(6), 959-973. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10646-013-1073-x
- Mostofa, M. G., Saegusa, D., Fujita, M., & Tran, L. S. P. (2015). Hydrogen sulfide regulates salt tolerance in rice by maintaining Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> balance, mineral homeostasis and oxidative metabolism under excessive salt stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*, 1055. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2015.01055
- Mostofa, M.G., Hossain, M.A., & Fujita, M. (2015). Trehalose pretreatment induces salt tolerance in rice seedlings: oxidative damage and

co-induction of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems. *Protoplsasma, 252*(2), 461-475.

- Mottaleb, K. A., Kruseman, G., & Erenstein, O. (2018). Determinants of maize cultivation in a land-scarce rice-based economy: The case of Bangladesh. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 32(4), 453-476. https:// doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2018.1446375
- Muchate, N. S., Nikalje, G. C., Rajurkar, N. S., Suprasanna, P. & Nikam, T. D. (2016). Plant salt stress: adaptive responses, tolerance mechanism and bioengineering for salt tolerance. *The Botanical Review*, 82(4), 371-406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12229-016-9173-y
- Munns, R., & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 59, 651-681. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. arplant.59.032607.092911
- Nakano, Y., & Asada, K. (1981). Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 22(5), 867-880. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals. pcp.a076232
- Nazar, R., Iqbal, N., Syeed, S., & Khan, N. A. (2011). Salicylic acid alleviates decreases in photosynthesis under salt stress by enhancing nitrogen and sulfur assimilation and antioxidant metabolism differentially in two mungbean cultivars. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, *168*(8), 807-815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.11.001
- Negrão, S., Schmöckel, S. M., & Tester, M. (2017). Evaluating physiological responses of plants to salinity stress. *Annals of Botany*, *119*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw191
- Nicholls, R. J., Wong, P. P., Burkett, V. R., Codignotto, J. O., Hay, J. E., McLean, R. F., Ragoonaden, S. & Woodroffe, C. D. (2007). Coastal systems and low-lying areas. In M. L. Parry, O. F.Canziani, J. P. Palutikof, P. J. van der Linden & C. E.Hanson (Eds.), *Climate change* 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of working group II to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (pp 315–356) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Nie, W., Gong, B., Chen, Y., Wang, J., Wei, M., & Shi, Q. (2018). Photosynthetic capacity, ion homeostasis and reactive oxygen metabolism were involved in exogenous salicylic acid increasing cucumber seedlings tolerance to alkaline stress. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 235, 413-423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.03.011
- Nieves-Cordones, M., Al Shiblawi, F. R., & Sentenac, H. (2016). Roles and transport of sodium and potassium in plants. In *The alkali metal ions: Their role for life* (pp. 291-324). Springer, Cham. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-319-21756-7 9
- Noreen, S., Fatima, K., Athar, H. U. R., Ahmad, S., & Hussain, K. (2017). Enhancement of physio-biochemical parameters of wheat through exogenous application of salicylic acid under drought stress. *Journal* of Animal Plant Science, 27(1), 153-163.
- Omisun, T., Sahoo, S., Saha, B., & Panda, S. K. (2018). Relative salinity tolerance of rice cultivars native to North East India: a physiological, biochemical and molecular perspective. *Protoplasma*, 255(1), 193-202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-017-1142-8
- Pandey, M., Paladi, R. K., Srivastava, A. K., & Suprasanna, P. (2021). Thiourea and hydrogen peroxide priming improved K<sup>+</sup> retention and source-sink relationship for mitigating salt stress in rice. *Scientific Reports*, *11*, 3000. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80419-6
- Parida, A. K., & Das, A. B. (2005). Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 60(3), 324-349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.010
- Parihar, P., Singh, S., Singh, R., Singh, V. P. & Prasad, S. M. (2015). Effect of salinity stress on plants and its tolerance strategies: a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(6), 4056-4075. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3739-1
- Perveen, S., Farooq, R., & Shahbaz, M. (2016). Thiourea-induced metabolic changes in two mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek](Fabaceae) varieties under salt stress. *Brazilian Journal of Botany*, *39*, 41–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-015-0209-z
- Parvin, K., Hasanuzzaman, M., Bhuyan, M. H. M., Nahar, K., Mohsin, S. M., & Fujita, M. (2019). Comparative physiological and biochemical changes in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under salt stress and recovery: role of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems. *Antioxidants*, 8(9), 350. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8090350
- Patade, V. Y., Khatri, D., Manoj, K., Kumari, M., & Ahmed, Z. (2012). Cold tolerance in thiourea primed capsicum seedlings is associated with transcript regulation of stress responsive genes. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 39(12), 10603-10613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-012-

1948-6

- Pattanagul, W., & Thitisaksakul, M. (2008). Effect of salinity stress on growth and carbohydrate metabolism in three rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars differing in salinity tolerance. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, 46(10), 736–742.
- Rahman, M. M., Jahan, I., Al Noor, M. M., Tuzzohora, M. F., Sohag, A. A. M., Raffi, S. A., Islam, M. M., Burritt, D., & Hossain, M. A. (2020). Potential determinants of salinity tolerance in rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) and modulation of tolerance by exogenous ascorbic acid application. *Journal of Phytology*, 12, 86-98. https://doi.org/10.25081/ jp.2020.v12.6535
- Rasel, M., Tahjib-UI-Arif, M., Hossain, M. A., Sayed, M. A., & Hassan, L. (2020). Discerning of rice landraces (*Oryza sativa* L.) for morphophysiological, antioxidant enzyme activity, and molecular markers' responses to induced salt stress at the seedling stage. *Journal* of *Plant Growth Regulation*, 39(1), 41-59. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00344-019-09962-5
- Rodrigo-Moreno, A., Poschenrieder, C., & Shabala, S. (2013). Transition metals: a double edge sward in ROS generation and signaling. *Plant Signaling&Behavior*, 8(3), e23425. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.23425
- Rouphael, Y., Raimondi, G., Lucini, L., Carillo, P., Kyriacou, M. C., Colla, G., Cirillo, V., Pannico, A., El-Nakhel, C., & De Pascale, S. (2018).
  Physiological and metabolic responses triggered by omeprazole improve tomato plant tolerance to NaCl stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*, 249. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00249
- Sa, G., Yao, J., Deng, C., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Z., Zhang, Y., Ma, X., Zhao, R., Lin, S., Lu, C., Polle, A. & Chen, S. (2019). Amelioration of nitrate uptake under salt stress by ectomycorrhiza with and without a Hartig net. *New Phytologist*, 222(4), 1951-1964. https:// doi.org/10.1111/nph.15740
- Sanaullah, T., Wahid, A., Sadia, B., Hanif, A., Maqbool, N., Arshad, T., & Kabir, Z. (2016). Exogenous application of thiourea ameliorates salt stress effects by alleviation of oxidative damage in hybrid maize. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A*, *6*, 220-231. https://doi.org/10.17265/2161-6256/2016.04.002
- Shaheen, H. L., Shahbaz, M., Ullah, I., & Iqbal, M. Z. (2012). Morphophysiological responses of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) to salt stress. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 14(6).
- Shams, M., Ekinci, M., Ors, S., Turan, M., Agar, G., Kul, R., & Yildirim, E. (2019). Nitric oxide mitigates salt stress effects of pepper seedlings by altering nutrient uptake, enzyme activity and osmolyte accumulation. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, 25(5), 1149-1161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-019-00692-2
- Shao, Q., Wang, H., Guo, H., Zhou, A., Huang, Y., Sun, Y., & Li, M. (2014). Effects of shade treatments on photosynthetic characteristics, chloroplast ultrastructure, and physiology of *Anoectochilu sroxburghii*. *PloS One*, *9*(2), 85996. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0085996
- Sharma, A., Kumar, V., Shahzad, B., Ramakrishnan, M., Sidhu, G. P. S., Bali, A. S., Handa, N., Kapoor, D., Yadav, P., Khanna, K., Bakshi,P., Rehman, A., Kohli, S. K., Khan, E. A., Parihar, R. D., Yuan, H., Thukral, A. K., Bhardwaj, R., & Zheng, B. (2019). Photosynthetic response of plants under different abiotic stresses: a review. *Journal* of *Plant Growth Regulation*, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-019-10018-x
- Sharma, P., Jha, A. B., Dubey, R. S., & Pessarakli, M. (2012). Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. *Journal of Botany*, 2012. https:// doi.org/10.1155/2012/217037
- Shen, C., Hu, Y., Du, X., Li, T., Tang, H., & Wu, J. (2014). Salicylic acid induces physiological and biochemical changes in *Torreya grandis* cv. *Merrillii* seedlings under drought stress. *Trees*, 28(4), 961-970. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-014-1009-y
- Shi, H., Lee, B. H., Wu, S. J., & Zhu, J. K. (2003). Overexpression of a plasma membrane Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter gene improves salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature Biotechnology*, *21*(1), 81-85. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nbt766
- Shi, Q., Bao, Z., Zhu, Z., Ying, Q., & Qian, Q. (2006). Effects of different treatments of salicylic acid on heat tolerance, chlorophyll fluorescence, and antioxidant enzyme activity in seedlings of *Cucumis sativa* L. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 48(2), 127-135. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10725-005-5482-6
- Shim, I. S., Momose, Y., Yamamoto, A., Kim, D. W., & Usui, K. (2003). Inhibition of catalase activity by oxidative stress and its relationship to

salicylic acid accumulation in plants. *Plant Growth Regulation*, *39*(3), 285-292. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022861312375

- Siddiqui, M. N., Mostofa, M. G., Akter, M. M., Srivastava, A. K., Sayed, M. A., Hasan, M. S., & Tran, L. S. P. (2017). Impact of salt-induced toxicity on growth and yield-potential of local wheat cultivars: oxidative stress and ion toxicity are among the major determinants of salt-tolerant capacity. *Chemosphere*, *187*, 385-394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere. 2017. 08.078
- Sofo, A., Scopa, A., Nuzzaci, M., & Vitti, A. (2015). Ascorbate peroxidase and catalase activities and their genetic regulation in plants subjected to drought and salinity stresses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(6), 13561-13578. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160613561
- Soundararajan, P., Manivannan, A., Ko, C. H., & Jeong, B. R. (2018). Silicon enhanced redox homeostasis and protein expression to mitigate the salinity stress in *Rosa hybrida* 'Rock Fire'. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 37(1), 16-34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-017-9705-7
- Stevens, J., Senaratna, T., & Sivasithamparam, K. (2006). Salicylic acid induces salinity tolerance in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv. Roma): associated changes in gas exchange, water relations and membrane stabilisation. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 49(1), 77-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-006-0019-1
- Sun, J., Zou, D. T., Luan, F. S., Zhao, H. W., Wang, J. G., Liu, H. L., Xie, D. W., Su, D. Q., Ma, J. & Liu, Z. L. (2014). Dynamic QTL analysis of the Na<sup>+</sup> content, K<sup>+</sup> content, and Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ratio in rice roots during the field growth under salt stress. *Biologia Plantarum*, 58(4), 689-696. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10535-014-0445-2
- Tahjib-Ul-Arif, M., Sayed, M. A., Islam, M. M., Siddiqui, M. N., Begum, S. N., & Hossain, M. A. (2018). Screening of rice landraces (*Oryza sativa* L.) for seedling stage salinity tolerance using morpho-physiological and molecular markers. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 40(4), 1-12. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11738-018-2645-4
- Tahjib-Ul-Arif, M., Siddiqui, M. N., Sohag, A. A. M., Sakil, M. A., Rahman, M. M., Polash, M. A. S., Mostofa, M. G., & Tran, L. S. P. (2018). Salicylic acid-mediated enhancement of photosynthesis attributes and antioxidant capacity contributes to yield improvement of maize plants under salt stress. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 37(4), 1318-1330. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-018-9867-y
- Tanveer, M., &Shabala, S. (2018). Targeting redox regulatory mechanisms for salinity stress tolerance in crops. In *Salinity Responses and Tolerance in Plants, Volume 1* (pp. 213-234). Springer, Cham. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75671-4\_8
- Uddin, M. S., Jahan, N., & Monim, M. A. (2017). Growth and salinity tolerance of wheat genotypes at early vegetative stage. *Progressive Agriculture*, *28*(1), 12-17. https://doi.org/10.3329/pa.v28i1.32853
- Velikova, V., Yordanov, I., & Edreva, A. (2000). Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid rain-treated bean plants: protective role of exogenous polyamines. *Plant Science*, 151(1), 59-66. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00197-1
- De Veylder, L., Beeckman, T., & Inzé, D. (2007). The ins and outs of the plant cell cycle. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 8(8), 655-665. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2227
- Wakchaure, G. C., Minhas, P. S., Meena, K. K., Singh, N. P., Hegade, P. M., & Sorty, A. M. (2018). Growth, bulb yield, water productivity and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by deficit irrigation regimes and exogenous application of plant bio–regulators. *Agricultural Water Management*, 199, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.11.026
- Waqas, M. A., Kaya, C., Riaz, A., Farooq, M., Nawaz, I., Wilkes, A., & Li, Y. (2019). Potential mechanisms of abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants induced by thiourea. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 1336. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01336
- Waters, S., Gilliham, M., & Hrmova, M. (2013). Plant high-affinity potassium (HKT) transporters involved in salinity tolerance: structural insights to probe differences in ion selectivity. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 14(4), 7660-7680. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14047660
- Wu, H. (2018). Plant salt tolerance and Na<sup>+</sup> sensing and transport. *The Crop Journal*, 6(3), 215-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2018.01.003
- Xie, X., He, Z., Chen, N., Tang, Z., Wang, Q., & Cai, Y. (2019). The roles of environmental factors in regulation of oxidative stress in plant. *BioMed Research International*, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/9732325
- Yadav, T., Kumar, A., Yadav, R.K., Yadav, G., Kumar, R., & Kushwaha, M. (2020). Salicylic acid and thiourea mitigate the salinity and drought stress on physiological traits governing yield in pearl millet wheat. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 27, 2010-2017.
- Yadu, S., Dewangan, T. L., Chandrakar, V., & Keshavkant, S. (2017).

Imperative roles of salicylic acid and nitric oxide in improving salinity tolerance in *Pisum sativum* L. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, *23*(1), 43-58.https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-016-0394-7.

Yuvaraj, M., Bose, S. C. K., Elavarasi, P. & Tawfik, E. (2021). Soil salinity and its management. In S. R. Meena & R. Datta (Eds.), *Soil Moisture Importance*, Intech Open. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.93329 Yazdanpanah, S., Baghizadeh, A., &Abbassi, F. (2011). The interaction between drought stress and salicylic and ascorbic acids on some biochemical characteristics of *Satureja hortensis*. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *6*(4), 798-807. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR10.405

Zahra, S. M., Wahid, A., Maqbool, N., & Ibrahim, M. H. (2018). Effect of thiourea on physiological performance of two salt affected rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) cultivars. *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, 1-10. https:// doi.org/10.9734/ARRB/2018/41352