JP-Tissue Culture



Carbohydrate Concentration Influences on *In Vitro* Plant Regeneration in *Stevia rebaudiana*

D. Preethi¹, T.M. Sridhar¹ and C.V. Naidu^{2*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupathi-517502, A.P., India ²Department of Biotechnology, Dravidian University, Kuppam-517426, A.P., India

Article Info	Summary
Article History	In the present study effect of various carbon sources such as sucrose, glucose, fructose and
Received : 19-02-2011 Revisea : 23-04-2011 Accepted : 27-04-2011	maltose was investigated on <i>in vitro</i> shoot regeneration of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> using nodal explants. The frequency, growth and multiplication rate were highly influenced by the type and concentration of carbon sources used. The highest number of shoots (21.4±0.80),
*Corresponding Author	shoot length (5.36 ± 0.55) was obtained on MS medium supplemented with 4% fructose. The
Tel : +91 877 2260386 Fax : +91-8570278209	(1.22 ± 0.25) was observed on 1% sucrose. Among the different carbon sources used in the present study, fructose at 4% proved to be better choice for multiple shoot regeneration
Email: challagundlav@yahoo.co.in	followed by sucrose, maltose and glucose, from nodal explants of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> .
©ScholarJournals, SSR	Key Words: Stevia rebaudiana, Carbohydrates, Fructose, Sucrose, Maltose, Glucose, Nodal explants, Multiple shoot regeneration

Introduction

Stevia botanically called as Stevia rebaudiana (also called sweet leaf, or sugar lear) is a genus of about 150 species of herbs and shrubs in the asteraceae family [1]. In Telugu, Stevia is called Madhu patri, in Tamil Seeni tulsi, in Sanskrit Madhu patra and Madhu parani in Marathi. Stevia has many favourable and exciting health benefits and it is completely non-toxic. The herb is nutrient rich, containing substantial amounts of protein, calcium, phosphorus, sodium, magnesium, zinc, rutin, vitamin A, vitamin C and other nutrients, yet has no caloric value [2]. Stevia contain a stevioside, a secondary metabolite responsible for the sweetness and the leaf by itself is about 20 to 30 times sweeter than sugar. Experiments proved that stevioside is 300 times sweeter than sucrose, apart from being a calorie free sugar [3]. Hence, Stevia has been named as calorie free "Bio-Sweetener of high quality". It is estimated that about 30 million Indians are presently suffering from diabetes and it is estimated that by 2025 India's contribution to the diabetic global population would be a whooping 80 million. Therefore the wave of 'sugar free' has become a welcome trend. Stevia shows calorie free high potency sweetener, does not contain calcium cyclamate, saccharin and aspartame and causes no side effects [4]. As a response, many no-calorie synthetic alternatives of sugar popularly known as artificial sweeteners have been discovered and replacing sugar in food and beverage industry.

In 1931, two French chemists isolated the glycosides that give *Stevia* is taste. These extracts were named as stevioside, rebaudioside A, rebaudioside C and dulcoside. Stevioside and rebaudioside induces insulin secretion [5]. Besides, stevioside acts as anti-tumour agent [6]. *Stevia* possess antifungal and antibacterial property also in addition to its other versatile uses [7]. It can be safely used in herbal medicines, tonics for

diabetic patients and also in the daily usage products like mouthwashes and tooth pastes. *Stevia* has proved to give exceptional benefits when used regularly in skin care. It also has a healing effect on blemishes, wounds, cuts and scratches. *Stevia* is helpful in weight and blood pressure management [8].

In the present investigation experiments were performed to determine the effect of different carbon sources at different concentrations on shoot multiplication from axillary bud explants of *Stevia rebaudiana*.

Materials and Methods

Collection of Plant material

The plants are collected from Suveda Ayurvedic Pharmacy, P.V.Puram, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India and grown in the nursery of Department of Biotechnology, S.V. University, Tirupati.

Surface sterilization

Explants were washed thoroughly under running tap water to remove the traces of dust etc. followed by treatment with 10% teepol/tween-20 for 5 minutes. Then the explants were sterilized in 70% ethanol for a minute, and finally with 0.01% Hgcl₂ for 1-2 minutes and washed 3-4 times with sterile double distilled water.

Culture medium

Axillary bud explants (1-2 cms) were inoculated on MS medium [9] containing 3% sucrose and gelled with 0.8% agar supplemented with different carbohydrate sources such as sucrose, fructose, glucose and maltose (1-6 %) cultured on 2 mg/L BAP. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.8 before

gelling with agar and autoclaved for 20 minutes at 121°C for 15 lbs pressure.

Sub culturing

Subculturing was carried out at regular intervals of thirty days. Visual observations of the cultures were taken for every transfer and the effects of different treatments were quantified on the basis of percentage of cultures showing response.

Culture conditions

The growth room conditions maintained for *in vitro* cultures were $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and 60-70% relative humidity, light intensity was 3000 lux with a photoperiod of 18 hrs day light and 6 hrs dark. Each experiment was conducted at least thrice with 20 replicates per treatment

Results

Influence of different carbohydrates on multiple shoot regeneration

Among the different carbohydrate sources used, fructose performed well followed by sucrose, maltose and glucose in keeping shoot number constant. The maximum shoot number (21 \pm 0.6) was recorded at MS medium supplemented with 4% of fructose with a maximum mean shoot length of (5.36 \pm 0.55) (Table-1 and Figure-1). Least mean number of shoots 1.3 \pm 0.47 was observed in MS medium supplemented with glucose 6% with a mean shoot length of 1.5 \pm 0.35. Highest frequency of shoot regeneration was observed both at 4% fructose and 3% of

sucrose. In maltose the highest mean number of shoots 9.0±0.56 was observed at 4% whereas 3% of maltose gave 8.8±0.84 as mean number of shoots. Less number of shoots 2.44±0.51 were observed at 1% of maltose. In all concentrations tested the second highest mean number of shoots 17.7±1.08 was observed at 3% of sucrose with a shoot length of 3.89±0.60 and 1.33±0.50 was the mean number of shoots observed at 1% sucrose. The regeneration frequency, number of shoots and shoot length was increased in carbohydrate concentration upto (1-4%). Further increasing carbohydrate concentration (5-6%) resulted in reduced shoot frequency, shoot number and shoot length was observed. Well developed shoots with a length of (3-5cm) were excised and transferred to MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of auxins such as IBA, IAA and NAA (0.1-2.0 mg/l). In all the concentrations tried, exogenous supply of auxins favoured the root formation and root primordial appeared between 7-10 days of inoculation. High rooting frequency (98%) with highest number of roots (30.3±1.85) were obtained in IBA (0.5 mg/L). The maximum root length (3.8 ± 0.10) was observed at IAA (0.1 mg/l) (Table-2 and Figure-1). Higher concentrations of IBA, IAA and NAA showed the organogenic callus formation. From the results it is evident that lower concentration of carbohydrates favours the shoot organogenesis where as at higher concentration it diminishes the shoot regeneration.

Table 1. Effect of different carbon	vdrate sources on multir	ole shoot regeneration	from nodal explant	s of Stevia rehaudiana
	iyulate sources on multip	Die Shoot regeneration	I UIII IIUuai Explant	301 $Jievia rebaudiaria$

Carbohydrate source	Concentration (%)	Regeneration frequency (%)	Mean no. of shoots	Mean length of shoots (cm)
Glucose	1	59	2.0±0.42	2.15±0.05
	2	61	2.2±0.53	2.68±0.07
	3	66	3.6±0.55	2.96±0.0
	4	82	4.75±0.4	4.68±0.39
	5	70	2.16±0.45	2.23±0.18
	6	64	1.3±0.47	1.5±0.35
Fructose	1	73	3.27±0.49	2.2±0.18
	2	79	3.36±0.47	3.71±0.16
	3	86	8.55±0.03	3.62±0.06
	4	95	21.4±0.8	5.36±0.55
	5	90	11.22±1.0	4.55±0.36
	6	74	7.87±0.35	5.01±0.47
Maltose	1	62	2.44±0.55	2.54±0.27
	2	65	2.78±0.0	2.26±0.11
	3	81	8.8±1.08	1.97±0.66
	4	76	9.0±0.3	2.34±0.23
	5	72	3.8±0.05	2.81±0.21
	6	64	4.0±0.39	1.76±0.12
Sucrose	1	68	1.33±0.51	1.22±0.25
	2	82	10.92±0.55	2.33±0.17
	3	98	17.7±0.84	3.89±0.24
	4	86	4.5±0.56	2.01±0.12
	5	79	4.33±0.25	2.10±0.28
	6	77	1.75±0.0	2.48±0.29

Observation: after 4 weeks, values are mean \pm SE of 20 independent determinations.

Plant growth regulator (mg/L)		Frequency of	Mean number	Mean length		
IBA	IAA	NAA	root formation (%)	of roots/ shoot	of root (cm)	Callus
0.1	-	-	88	21.7±0.85	3.17±0.08	-
0.5	-	-	96	27.0±0.57	3.76±0.14	-
1.0	-	-	98	30.3±1.85	2.73±0.49	-
2.0	-	-	80	22.5±0.75	2.12±0.80	C++
-	0.1	-	83	17.3±0.88	3.8±0.10	-
-	0.5	-	91	22.0±0.57	3.73±0.21	-
-	1.0	-	88	20.2±0.94	2.17±0.33	C+
-	2.0	-	72	11.4±0.60	1.90±1.20	C+
-	-	0.1	85	12.2±0.94	3.4±0.12	-
-	-	0.5	91	16.6±1.20	2.53±0.08	C+
-	-	1.0	94	15.6±0.88	1.53±0.26	C+
-	-	2.0	81	7.5±0.62	1.20±0.15	C++

Table.2: Root organogenesis of in vitro derived shoot lets in MS medium supplemented with various concentrations of auxins such as IBA, IAA and

Observation: After 4 weeks; values are mean ± SE of 20 independent determinations; C⁺ - Poor callus; C⁺⁺ - Moderate callus, C⁺⁺ - High callus



Figure-1: Effect of different carbon sources at different concentrations on shoot morphogenesis from axillary bud

explants cultured on MS + 2 mg/L BAP. Shoot bud initiation from axillary bud explant

A) Sucrose (2 %) (bar 1 cm = 1.3 cm); B) Sucrose (3 %) (bar 1 cm = 1.4 cm);

C) Fructose (3 %) (bar 1 cm = 1.1 cm); D) Fructose (4%) (bar 1 cm = 1.2 cm)

E) Maltose (4%) (bar 1 cm = 1.1 cm); F) Glucose (4%) (bar 1 cm = 1.1 cm)

G) Rooting of *in vitro* regenerated shoot on half-strength MS medium supplemented with IBA 0.5 mg/L (bar 1.0 cm = 0.85) ; H) Rooted plantlet showing profuse and elongated roots culture on half-strength MS medium supplemented with NAA 0.1 mg/L (bar 1.0 cm = 1.2) ; I) Hardened plant transplanted to polybag containing garden soil and sand (bar 1.0 cm = 2.5)

Acclimatization and hardening

Well rooted plantlets were separated from the culture tubes, washed and transferred to polybags containing soil + vermiculite in 1:1 ratio for hardening. Finally the hardened plantlets were transferred to field conditions. Rooted shoots showed the maximum percentage of survival.

Discussion

The growth and multiplication of shoots *in vitro* are affected by many factors [10], one of which was the concentration and type of exogenous carbon sources added to medium to serve as energy and also to maintain the osmotic

potential [11]. Plant cells and tissues under *in vitro* conditions are mixotrophic in nature and needs supply of external carbon source for its metabolic activities. The solution of sucrose as the most suitable energy source for culture follows many comparisons between possible alternatives. Several carbohydrates, in addition to sucrose, are translocated and metabolized in plants [12], but little information is available regarding the effect of carbohydrates on *in vitro* embryogenesis and plant regeneration.

Sucrose have been proved to be better for shoot proliferation than other carbon sources in micropropagation of several plant species such as patchouli *Pogostemon cablin* [13] and *Centella asiatica* [14]. But in the present study high

frequency, maximum number of shoots was induced on fructose supplemented medium. The results obtained are in line with the earlier observations in Mulbury [15], where addition of fructose instead of sucrose in the multiplication medium increased the shoot number and also growth of the shoots. The beneficial effect of glucose on direct shoot formation was emphasized in Prenus mume [16]. Many authors have reported that various sources of carbon such as glucose, fructose, mannitol and sorbitol play an important role in tissue culture of Asparagus [17] and Cucumber [18]. Although sucrose has been the carbohydrate of choice in the vast majority of work on in vitro shoot induction and shoot development in woody species, it is not always the most effective carbon source for these purposes [19]. Even though carbohydrates are of prime importance for cell growth, maintenance and differentiation in vitro, the fundamental aspects of carbon utilization and metabolism in cell and tissue cultures have to be fully under stood [20]. Thus, the carbohydrate requirements have yet to be defined and optimized in micropropagation systems.

Among the different carbohydrates used fructose can be considered as best carbohydrate followed by sucrose, maltose and finally glucose in producing maximum number of shoots per explant.

Conclusion

In the present study growth of *Stevia rebaudiana* is greatly influenced by different carbon sources supplemented in the media. The present study leads to the conclusion that *stevia* cultures have quite selective carbohydrate requirements. These results could contribute to the improvement in the micropropagation of this economically important medicinal plant on commercial scale to meet the present day demand.

References

- Soejarto D.D., Compadre C.M., Medon P.J., Kamath S.K. and Kinghorn A.D. 1983. Potential sweetening agents of plant origin, 11. Field search for sweet tasting *Stevia* receipes. Econ. Bot., 37: 71-79.
- [2] Miyzaki Y and Watanable H. 1974. Studies on the cultivation of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni; on the propagation of the plant. Jap. J. Trop. Agric., 17: 154-157.
- [3] Bridel M. and Lavielle R. 1931. The sweet principle of Kaa-he-e (*Stevia rebaudiana*). J. Pharm. Chem., 14: 99-154.
- [4] Tofazzal Islam M.D. 2006. *Stevia rebaudiana* News: Is there any safe and natural alternative to sugar? From this Financial Express. 8-26.
- [5] Matsukubo T. 2006. "Sucrose substitutes and their role in caries prevention", Int. Dent. J. SCN; 56(3): 119-130.
- [6] Jeppesen P.B., Gregersen S., and Rolfsen S.E. 2003. Antihyperglycemic and blood pressure-reducing effects of stevioside in the diabetic Goto-Kakizaki rat. Metabolism. 52: 372-378.

- [7] Reziwanggu Audula, Per Bendix Jeppesen, Stig Eric Rolfsen D., Jianzhong Xiao and Kjed Hermansen. 2004. Rebaudioside A potently stimulates insulin secretion from isolated mouse islates: Studies on the dose-glucose and calcium-dependency. Metabolism. 53 (10): 1378-1381.
- [8] Ken yasukawa, S. Kitanaka and S. Seo. 2002. Inhibitory effect of stevioside on tumor promotion by 12-Otetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate in two-stage carcinogenesis in mouse skin. Biol. Pharm. Bull., 25(11): 1488-1490.
- [9] Murashige T. and Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant., 15: 473-497.
- [10] Anwas Hossian Md., Md. Tastin Hossain., Md. Raihanali., S.M. Mahbubur Rahman. 2005. Effect of different Carbon sources on *in vitro* regeneration of Indian *Penny wort* (*Centella asiatica* L.), Pak. J. of. Biol. Sci., 8 (7): 963 – 965.
- [11] Lipavska H., and H. Konradova. 2004. Somatic embryogenesis in conifers: The role of carbohydrate metabolism. In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.-Plant., 40:23-30.
- [12] Hema B.P. and Murthy H.N. 2007. Effect of sugars on Niger embryogenesis and plant regeneration in anther culture. Biologia Plantarum. 51(4): 773-776.
- [13] Kumara Swamy M., Balasubramanya S. And Anuradha M. 2010. *In vitro* multiplication of patchouli through direct organogenesis. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 9(14): 2069-2075.
- [14] Anwar Hossain Md., Md. Taslim Hossain, Md. Raihanali and S.M. Mahbubur Rahman. 2005. Effect of different carbon sources on *in vitro* regeneration of Indian Penny worth (*Centella asiatica* L.), Pak. J. of. Biol. Sci., 8(7): 963-965.
- [15] Vijaya Chitra D.S. and Padmaja G. 2001. Seasonal influence on axillary bud sprouting and micropropagation of elite cultivars of *Mulbery*. Scientia Horticulture. 92: 55-68.
- [16] Hisachi Harada and Yasuhiro Murai 1996. Micropropagation of *Prunus mume*. Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult., 40(2): 159-167.
- [17] Mamiya K and Sakamoto Y. 2000. Effects of sugar concentration and strength of basal medium on conversion of somatic embryos in *Asparagus officinalis* L. Scientia Horticulture. 84: 15-26.
- [18] Lou H and Sako S. 1995. Role of high sugar concentration in inducing somatic embryogenesis from cucumber cotyledons. Scientia Horticulture. 64: 11-20.
- [19] Thomson M. and Thorpe T. 1987. Metabolic and nonmetabolic roles of carbohydrates. In Bonga, J.M. and Durgan, D.J., Ed. Cell and tissue culture in forestry. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht. 89-112.
- [20] Romano A., C. Norohna, M.A. Martins-Loucao. 1995. Role of Carbohydrates in micropropagation of cork oak. Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult., 40(2): 159-167.