



REGULAR ARTICLE

INFLUENCE OF HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTROSTATIC FIELD (HVEF) ON VIGOUR OF AGED RICE (*ORYZA SATIVA* L.) SEEDS

Wang Guixue^{1*}, Huang Junli¹, Gao Weina¹, Li Jian^{2*}, Liao Ruijin²

¹Research Centre of Applied Biotechnology, College of Biological Engineering,
Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China

²Key Laboratory of High Voltage Engineering and Electrical New Technology, Ministry of Education,
College of Electrical Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400044, China

SUMMARY

The vigour restoration of aged rice seeds is of great significance in agriculture. This paper studied the biological effects of high voltage electrostatic field (HVEF) on aged rice seeds, including dry seeds and wet seeds soaked in sterile deionized water for 24 hours. The results showed that HVEF slightly affected the vigour of the aged dry rice seeds while the seed vigour and seedling growth of the aged wet rice seeds were significantly improved. The germination rate and germination potentiality also showed moderate improvement after exposure to HVEF with electric intensity less than $t 450 \text{ kV} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$. Compared to control, the vigour index of aged wet rice seeds was increased 31.96%. No significant effects of HVEF on dry aged rice seeds were found.

Keywords: Aged seeds , High voltage electrostatic field (HVEF), Rice, Vigour.

Wang Guixue et al. Influence of High Voltage Electrostatic Field (HVEF) on Vigour of Aged Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Seeds. J Phytol 1 (2009) 397-403

*Corresponding Author, Email: guixue_wang@126.com

1. Introduction

During storage all seeds undergo aging and deterioration, with the rate dependent on storage temperature, seed moisture, and crop species, and some measures have been taken to try to alleviate the susceptibility to deterioration and increase storage life or germination [5,13]. However, present restoration techniques require lower temperatures and accurate moisture content and sophisticated technology and it is very difficult to apply on large scale. It is, therefore,

urgent to explore new avenues for restore seed viability.

Recent years have witnessed a growing interest in high voltage electrostatic field (HVEF) treatment applications for food processing, inhibition of microorganisms and fruit preservations [7,9,10,16] and other aspects. For example, deterred photosynthesis, slow metabolism and growth, and decreased resistance to stresses happened in growing plants when they were removed from the

electrostatic field by the metal net [12]. Some studies also showed that HVEF could increase seeds viability including earlier germination, higher germination rate, faster growth and stronger resistance of seedlings to stress [1,2,18,20,21]. There are also reports on the effects of HVEF on dormant seeds such as corn, carrot, lettuce, brasicca, radish, purple clover [19], evening primrose [17] and tomato [14] and the vigour indexes of aged cucumber seeds were increased by 29.50% -59.70% when the seeds were treated by HVEF of 167 kV•m-1 [22]. However, up to now there are fewer reports on the application of HVEF on aged rice seeds [11].

In our study, the biological effects of HVEF on aged dry rice seeds and aged wet rice seeds were investigated, respectively. Results from this study can provide information on the application of HVEF in aged plant seeds, allow deeper insights into the healing mechanisms of cell membranes, and provide some theoretic base for application of HVEF in agriculture in the near future.

2. Materials and Methods

Materials and sample preparation

Rice seeds Super-II 725 were obtained from Chongqing Seeds Co., Ltd. They were surface sterilized with 10% sodium hypochlorite solution on a magnetic stirrer for 10 min, washed with deionized water thoroughly, and then air-dried at 30°C. Sterilized rice seeds were subjected to the condition of 40°C and 100% relative humidity for 8 d to accelerate seeds aging process by the procedure proposed by Delouche [3].

HVEF experimental apparatus

The experimental setup for HVEF is shown Fig.1. It mainly consists of two round aluminum boards each with a diameter of 40 cm. The voltage is adjustable and the electric intensity is equal to the ratio of electric voltage between the two boards to the distance between them. For treatment with HVEF, seeds were placed on the down board (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. The equipment implementing the HVEF treatment on rice seeds

Determination of growth parameters

The effect of HVEF on aged seeds was related to the humidity of the seeds [8]. In our study, two groups, wet aged rice seeds and dry aged rice seeds, were used to study the effect of HVEF and the relationship between seed humidity and the effect of HVEF was also study. For wet aged seeds, the aged rice seeds were soaked in sterile deionized water at 30°C for 24 h and then exposed to HVEF with different electric intensity (Table 1). For dry aged seeds, non-soaked in sterile deionized water, the aged rice seeds were directly subjected to HVEF under the same conditions (Table 1). After exposure to HVEF, both the aged dry seeds and aged wet seeds were soaked in water for 24 h at 30°C to accelerate germination, then 100 seeds were germinated at 30°C for 10 days. From day 1 to day 10, the number of germinated seeds was recorded and 15 10-day-old seedlings were randomly selected to measure the length of root and seedling in each treatment. They were then

placed in an aerated oven at 80°C for 72 h, and the dry weights were determined. Germination potentiality, germination rate, germination index (GI), and vigour index (VI) of seeds were calculated based on the following formula:

Germination potentiality= number of the germination seeds (0-4d)/number of the total seeds

Germination rate = number of the germination seeds (0-10 d)/ number of the total seeds

$GI = \sum Gt / Dt$ (Dt: days for germination, Gt : number of germinated seeds corresponding to Dt)

$VI = GI \times L$ (GI: germination index ,L: length of the seedling).

Table 1. Factors and levels for testing the effects of HVEF on aged rice seeds

| Levels | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| HVEF intensity | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 600 |
| Factors (kV·m ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time (min) | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 |

Table 2. Test project for uniform design

| Treatment number | Control | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Levels of HVEF (kV·m ⁻¹) | 0 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 600 |
| Levels of time (min) | 0 | 25 | 50 | 15 | 40 | 5 | 30 | 55 | 20 | 45 | 10 | 35 |

Experimental design

Uniform design is an experimental method provided by Fang [6]. The design provides information on how to scatter the design spots within the experiment range uniformly, which allows one to gain the most information with less design spots. Since 1978 uniform design has been widely applied in such fields as medicine, chemistry, biotechnology, and environmental protection with plentiful achievements and great benefits having been obtained. In our study two factors (electric field intensity and exposure time) were involved, each of which contained 11 levels. Different

factors and levels are showed in Table 1 and experiment scheme in Table 2.

Table 1 Factors and levels for testing the effects of HVEF on aged rice seeds

Table 2 Test project for uniform design

Statistical analysis

Each data point was the mean of three replicates. All data obtained were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the mean differences were compared by lowest standard deviations (LSD) test. Comparisons with $P < 0.05$ were considered significantly different.

3. Results and Discussion

Biological effects of HVEF on wet aged seeds

Biological effects of HVEF on aged wet rice seeds were investigated (Table 3). Compared to control, the germination potentiality of wet aged rice seeds was increased, however the negative effect appeared when the electric intensity is more than 400 kV·m⁻¹. Compared to control, the germination rate was higher, except in treatment of 600 kV·m⁻¹/35 min. Length of seedlings, length of root, and dry weight of each seedling were remarkably higher than that of control. Length of seedlings was increased by 5.13%-22%, length of roots by 1.0%-14.78%, and dry weight per plant by 7.07%-18.3% (Table 3). Both germination index and seed vigour index of wet aged rice seeds were significantly increased after exposure to HVEF. Germination index was increased by 1.0%- 12.48% and vigour index by 7.88%-31.96%. Most treatments reached remarkable or highly remarkable levels in vigour index.

Table 3. The effect of HVEF on the wet aged rice seeds

| HVEF Treatments | Germination potentiality (%) | Germination rate (%) | Length of seedling (cm) | Length of root (cm) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 100kV·m ⁻¹ /25 min | 85.51±2.28 | 89.35±3.19 | 8.41±0.80 | 9.46±1.40 |
| 150kV·m ⁻¹ /50 min | 79.67±9.50 | 87.33±4.73 | 9.33±0.29 | 10.17±0.67 |
| 200kV·m ⁻¹ /15 min | 83.13±7.40 | 85.78±5.12 | 9.68±0.95* | 9.83±0.73 |
| 250kV·m ⁻¹ /40 min | 81.81±1.11 | 86.54±1.06 | 8.64±1.07 | 9.04±0.98 |
| 300kV·m ⁻¹ /5 min | 81.00±10.54 | 89.33±4.16 | 8.87±0.95 | 9.31±0.77 |
| 350kV·m ⁻¹ /30 min | 85.61±5.40 | 88.42±3.80 | 8.96±0.60 | 9.16±0.61 |
| 400kV·m ⁻¹ /55 min | 83.80±4.41 | 90.92±3.62 | 9.05±0.46 | 9.94±0.63 |
| 450kV·m ⁻¹ /20 min | 77.95±3.71 | 88.42±2.44 | 8.90±0.83 | 10.33±0.40 |
| 500kV·m ⁻¹ /45 min | 74.83±4.20 | 86.21±2.04 | 8.40±0.67* | 9.63±0.64 |
| 550kV·m ⁻¹ /10 min | 74.77±5.23 | 83.83±3.44 | 9.26±0.53 | 9.99±0.68 |
| 600kV·m ⁻¹ /35 min | 47.31±4.91 | 56.65±1.89 | 9.76±0.17* | 9.98±0.44 |
| Control | 81.29±0.04 | 84.96±6.90 | 8.00±0.13 | 9.00±0.64 |

| HVEF Treatments | Dry weight (mg) | Germination index (mg/d) | Seed vigour index (mg/d) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 100kV·m ⁻¹ /25 min | 9.20±0.23** | 40.32±0.81 | 370.89±10.51** |
| 150kV·m ⁻¹ /50 min | 9.37±0.48** | 39.00±3.01 | 364.79±25.60** |
| 200kV·m ⁻¹ /15 min | 9.17±0.40** | 38.30±3.42 | 350.23±16.72* |
| 250kV·m ⁻¹ /40 min | 8.48±0.53 | 40.24±0.73 | 341.44±23.32* |
| 300kV·m ⁻¹ /5 min | 8.93±0.88* | 40.92±4.16 | 366.73±61.29** |
| 350kV·m ⁻¹ /30 min | 9.23±0.53** | 40.41±1.42 | 372.61±8.80** |
| 400kV·m ⁻¹ /55 min | 9.30±0.25** | 41.72±1.83* | 387.73±9.28** |
| 450kV·m ⁻¹ /20 min | 9.10±0.54** | 39.99±1.95 | 363.24±7.01** |
| 500kV·m ⁻¹ /45 min | 8.70±0.41 | 36.41±1.19 | 316.99±23.19 |
| 550kV·m ⁻¹ /10 min | 9.30±0.33** | 37.14±1.52 | 345.70±25.21* |
| 600kV·m ⁻¹ /35 min | 8.67±0.26 | 23.15±2.20 | 201.00±25.20 |
| Control | 7.92±0.18 | 37.09±2.37 | 293.82±23.05 |

*and ** indicate that difference was significant at 95% and 99% levels of probability, respectively.

Regression analysis of the results from wet aged seeds

Regression equation for germination potentiality: $Y=56.9656+0.0863X_1-0.0002X_2-0.0001X_1 \times X_2$, here X_1 refers to intensity of electric field, and X_2 refers to the action time (the same as below). When $Y=83.67334$ (the maximum value of the regression equation, the same as below), $X_1=215$, $X_2=5$, and the maximum germination potentiality of rice seeds was increased 3%, compared to control.

Regression equation for germination rate: $Y=59.1909+0.0936X_1-0.0002X_2$. When $Y=88.4611$, $X_1=233.5$, and the maximum germination rate of rice seeds was increased 4%, compared to control.

Regression equation for germination index: $Y=28.4287+0.0903X_1-0.0001X_2+0.0023X_1 \times X_2$.

When $Y=47.7582$, $X_1=440$, $X_2=5$, and the maximum germination index of rice seeds was increased 28.76% compared to control.

Regression equation for seed vigour index: $Y=288.0796+0.6641X_1-0.0012X_2$. When $Y=379.9606$, $X_1=276.7$, and the maximum seed vigour index of rice seeds was increased 29.3%, compared to control.

The results above indicated that both the germination rate and the germination speed of wet aged seeds were improved when the seeds were exposed to electric intensity less than 400kV·m⁻¹. Appropriate electrostatic field intensity significantly promoted seedling growth and seed vigour, and only slightly increased the germination rate and potentiality. The result above indicated that HVEF with appropriate electric intensity had significant effects on the the vigour of wet aged rice seed.

Biological effect of HVEF on dry aged seeds

No significant effects were found after the dried seeds were exposed to HVEF except the germination of dry aged seeds was increased after the seeds were exposed to 100kV·m⁻¹ for 25 min (Table 4). The vigour of dry aged seeds was decreased when the intensity of electrostatic field was greater than 200 kV·m⁻¹. One possible reason is that cell water flow in dry seeds was restricted by the influence of the HVEF. In agreement with our study, Observation by laser confocal microscope showed that process of starch disassembling was restrained after treatment of HVEF, and further analysis by ¹H-NMR showed that

HVEF restricted cell water flow which is related to metabolism and nutrition supply [8].

Table 4. The effect of HVEF on the dry aged rice seeds

| HVEF treatments | Germination potentiality (%) | Germination rate (%) | Length of seedling (cm) | Length of root (cm) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 100 kV·m ⁻¹ /25 min | 76.08±2.00 | 79.40±0.53 | 8.93±0.43 | 9.95±0.78 |
| 150 kV·m ⁻¹ /50 min | 73.09±6.91 | 77.61±4.85 | 8.53±0.51 | 10.98±0.46 |
| 200 kV·m ⁻¹ /15 min | 71.58±2.91 | 75.63±2.02 | 9.46±0.83 | 10.70±0.72 |
| 250 kV·m ⁻¹ /40 min | 71.63±2.16 | 78.03±3.26 | 9.22±0.64 | 12.10±1.13 |
| 300 kV·m ⁻¹ /5 min | 70.15±3.97 | 77.30±3.81 | 9.23±1.01 | 10.51±0.53 |
| 350 kV·m ⁻¹ /30 min | 67.72±2.83 | 71.83±1.67 | 8.85±0.37 | 11.14±0.57 |
| 400 kV·m ⁻¹ /55 min | 67.92±4.81 | 75.21±3.23 | 8.75±0.16 | 10.04±0.47 |
| 450 kV·m ⁻¹ /20 min | 71.48±1.54 | 76.55±3.21 | 8.58±0.82 | 10.32±0.59 |
| 500 kV·m ⁻¹ /45 min | 67.88±3.78 | 75.68±3.04 | 8.81±0.36 | 10.04±0.08 |

| HVEF treatments | Dry weight (mg) | Germination index (mg/d) | Seed vigour index (mg/d) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 100 kV·m ⁻¹ /25 min | 8.43±0.88 | 33.21±2.66 | 281.52±50.67 |
| 150 kV·m ⁻¹ /50 min | 8.53±0.32 | 32.40±3.40 | 277.15±38.86 |
| 200 kV·m ⁻¹ /15 min | 8.73±0.10 | 31.76±0.95 | 277.37±8.86 |
| 250 kV·m ⁻¹ /40 min | 8.38±0.63 | 32.10±0.68 | 269.13±20.96 |
| 300 kV·m ⁻¹ /5 min | 8.80±0.25 | 31.01±0.88 | 272.75±2.27 |
| 350 kV·m ⁻¹ /30 min | 8.28±0.26 | 31.04±1.77 | 256.84±6.52 |
| 400 kV·m ⁻¹ /55 min | 8.70±1.00 | 31.39±3.77 | 275.59±66.21 |
| 450 kV·m ⁻¹ /20 min | 8.08±0.29 | 30.90±0.36 | 249.85±11.37 |
| 500 kV·m ⁻¹ /45 min | 8.63±0.33 | 29.30±1.53 | 253.32±22.67 |
| 550 kV·m ⁻¹ /10 min | 8.50±0.35 | 30.49±1.01 | 258.92±4.30 |
| 600 kV·m ⁻¹ /35 min | 8.68±0.21 | 30.17±1.04 | 262.08±14.97 |
| Control | 8.97±0.36 | 32.40±1.72 | 290.90±26.75 |

Regression analysis of the results from dry aged seeds

Regression equation for germination potentiality: $Y=62.9733-0.0287X1+0.00003X12-0.00004X1 \times X2$, here X1 refers to the intensity of electric field, and X2 refers to the action time. When the maximum value of the regression equation $Y=75.5771$, $X1=100$, and $X2=5$, the maximum germination potentiality of rice seeds was increased 6% compared to the control. When $100 < X1 < 117$, $5 < X2 < 15$, germination potentiality will be greater than 75.

Regression equation for germination index: $Y=33.2514-0.0059X1+0.0017X2$ When $Y=32.7549$, $X1=100$, $X2=55$, and the maximum germination index was increased 1.0%. When $100 < X1 < 210$, $5 < X2 < 55$, germination index is greater than 32.

Regression equation for seed vigour index: $Y=314.3904-0.1753X1-1.2541X2+0.0002X12+0.0218X22$.

When $Y=295.8299$, $X1=100$, $X2=55$, and the maximum seed vigour index increased 2.0%. When $100 < X1 < 106$, $X2=55$, seeds vigour index is greater than 295. The results above indicated that HVEF has little effect on the dry aged rice seeds.

Comparison of the effects of HVEF on dry aged seeds and wet aged seeds

The effects of HVEF on vigour index of dry seeds and wet seeds were compared (Fig.2). Compared to the dry aged rice seeds, the vigour index of wet aged rice seeds was significantly higher after the seeds was exposed to HVEF with the electric intensity less than $400 \text{ kV} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$. Compared to dry aged seeds, when the wet aged rice seeds were exposed to HVEF, the physiological activities resumed and seeds gained energy from HEVF to form active molecules with high energy. This made water electrolyzed, basic nutrition substances dissolved quickly, and activities of enzymes changed causing seed vigour to increase [4]. This maybe explains why there is a remarkable difference between the wet and dry rice seeds exposed to HVEF.




Fig. 2. Vigour index of wet aged rice seeds and dry aged rice seeds

In China, approximately 20 million kilograms of aged rice seeds are used to cope with natural calamities every year. However, germination requirements are frequently not met because of low seed vigour and germination rate, and also affecting subsequent growth. Therefore it is very important to find appropriate measures to improve the vigour of aged rice seeds. Our results showed that the vigour of wet aged rice seeds could be improved by HVEF treatment, which is of great importance in agriculture.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant from the Key Laboratory of High Voltage Engineering and Electrical New Technology, Ministry of Education, China, and a grant from China Chongqing Municipal Natural Science Fund (No.8575).

References

1. Bai, X.Y. 1998. Biological effect of electrostatic field on plant seed. *Electrostatic field* 3: 7-12.
2. Cramariuc, R., V. Donescu, M. Popa, B. Cramariuc. 2005. The biological effect of the electrical field treatment on the potato seed: agronomic evaluation. *J Electrostatics* 63: 837-846
3. Delouche, J.C., C.C. Baskin. 1973. Accelerated aging techniques for predicating relative storability of seed slots. *Seed Sci Technol* 1: 427-452.
4. Denen, H. 1999. Effects of electrostatic field on seeds and plants. *Hubei Agric Sci* 44: 26-27.
5. Ellis, R.H., T.D. Hong, M.C. Martin, F. Perez-Garcfa, C. Gamez-Campo. 1993. The long-term storage of seeds of seventeen crucifers at very low moisture contents. *Plant Varieties and Seeds* 6: 75-81.
6. Fang, K.T. 1994. Uniform design and uniform design table. Science press, Beijing.
7. Hsieh, C.W., W.C. Ko. 2008. Effect of high-voltage electrostatic field on quality of carrot juice during refrigeration. *Food Sci Technol* 41: 1752-1757.
8. Isobe, S., N. shida, M. Koizum. 1999. Effect of electric field on physical states of cell-associated water in germinating morning glory seeds observed by 1H-NMR. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1426: 17-31.
9. Kharel, G.P., F. Hashinaga, R. Shintani. 1996. Effect of high electric field on some fruits and vegetables. *J Jap Soc for Cold Preservation of Food*, 22: 17-22.
10. Kondo, E., Y. Sakurauchi. 1983. Influence of high electric fields on germination of rice plant seeds. *Nippon Nogeikagaku Kaishi*, 57: 1199-1202.
11. Li Y., J.M. Ye. 1996. Study on the biological effect of high voltage electrostatic field on the stale rice seeds. *J Hunan Agric Univ* 22: 421-426.
12. Liang, Y.Z., Y.F. Yu. 1992. Progress of electrostatic study. Inner Mongolia University Press, Huhehaote.
13. Melkizedek, O.O., E.W. Gregory. 1996. Viability and vigor of osmotically primed muskmelon seeds after nine years of storage. *J Amer Soc Hort Sci* 121: 408-413

14. Moon, J.D., H.S. Chung. 2000. Acceleration of germination of tomato seed by applying AC electric and magnetic fields. *J Electrostatics* 48: 103-114.
15. Ri, N., F. Lu. 2003. Mechanism of the biological effects of electrostatics. *Physics* 32: 87-93.
16. Wang, S.H., X.D. Li, C.X. Song. 1991. Effect of HVEF on seedling growth and related chemical elements of wheat seeds. *Adv Biochem Biophys* 18: 392-394.
17. Wang, X. 1997. Effect of HVEF on characteristic of surface and observe of *oenothera biennis* L seeds during their sprouting period. *J Jilin Agric Univ* 19: 109-112.
18. Wu, X.R. 1995. The effect of electrostatic field on the germination rate and conductivity of aged maize seeds. *J Shanxi Agric Univ* 15: 224-226.
19. Zhang. H., F. Hashinaga. 1997. Effect of high electric fields on the germination and early growth of some vegetable seeds. *Jpn Soc Hort Sci* 66: 347-352.
20. Zhang, J., Y.J. Dai, C.M. Ju. 1996. Cytological studies on the effect of high volt electrical field on root rip tip of pea. *J Hubei Univ Nat Sci* 18: 329-332.
21. Zhang, Z.X., R.C. Wang. 1990. Biological effect of electrostatic field on germination of corn seeds. *J Hebei Agric Univ* 2:15-19.
22. Zhu, C., Z.N. Fang, W.G. Zeng. 2000. The effect of HVEF treatment on lipid peroxidation of aged cucumber seeds. *J Zhejiang Univ Agric & Life Sci* 26: 127-130.