Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops Vol. 22 (1) : 95–100 (2013) www.indianspicesociety.in/josac/index.php/josac



Genetic divergence and path co-efficient analysis in kala zeera (*Bunium persicum* Boiss. Fedtsch.)

S K Gupta, S Kumar & M Sharma¹

Division of Agroforestry, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology-Jammu, Chatha, J & K -180 009, India. E-mail: sushilgupta67@rediffmail.com

Received 22 December 2011; Revised 26 April 2012; Accepted 06 July 2012

Abstract

Genetic variation and path coefficient analysis was carried out in seven genotypes of kala zeera (*Bunium persicum*). The genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlations were calculated by applying the technique of variance-covariance analysis in which total variability was split into treatments, replication and error. The results indicated that the values of genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in general, than the phenotypic ones. Number of seed umbellet⁻¹, number of seed plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, number of secondary and tertiary branches plant⁻¹, number of umbellets umbel⁻¹ and number of seed umbel⁻¹ recorded significant positive association with seed yield ha⁻¹ at the genotypic level. However, at phenotypic level, number of primary, secondary and tertiary branches plant⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, number of seed weight were strongly associated with seed yield ha⁻¹. The characters such as number of umbels plant⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, umbel diameter and number of primary branches plant⁻¹ contributed directly as well as indirectly in the expression of seed yield ha⁻¹. Residual effects was found to be significantly low (0.055) indicating that the number of primary branches plant⁻¹ was the most reliable trait for improving the seed yield of *Bunium persicum* through selection.

Keywords: kala zeera, Bunium persicum, genetic diversity, path analysis

Kala zeera (*Bunium persicum* Boiss. Fedtsch.) is an economically important medicinal spice, condiment as well as aromatic plant belonging to family *Apiaceae*. It is perennial, glabrous, branched herb native to Europe and Western Asia and has been found growing in dry temperate areas in the Western Himalayan region of the Indian sub-continent at an altitude between 2000–3000 m above MSL and extending up to Baluchistan and Afghanistan (Bhartiya 1967). High altitude regions of Kinnaur, Lahul Spiti, Pangi, Bharmaur of Chamba area in Himachal Pradesh, Paddar valley, Gurez and Drass areas of Jammu &

¹Division of Agricultural Economics and Statistics, Faculty of Agriculture, Chatha.

Kashmir are the potential areas of its production in India (Panwar et al. 1993). At present, crop is harvested only from the wild pockets by the local people and a little efforts to cultivate it as per improved agro-techniques have been made in Shong area of Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh with good economic returns. The plant is initially propagated through seed and subsequent regeneration is possible through tubers. Cultivation of kala zeera is quite remunerative as one hectare of crop yields net return of Rs. 13,680-1,05,277 between 4th-10th year of its establishment. Keeping in view the importance of the species, its use in ayurvedic formulations and being in the endangered category, its conservation, promotion of cultivation and yield improvement is the need of the hour. This requires evaluation and screening of germplasm to select elite material. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to find the correlation among growth and yield traits and path coefficient analysis in kala zeera collections from its natural zone of occurrence to develop high yielding cultivars.

A field experiment was conducted during 2007-08 at Potato Farm, Nathatop, Government of Jammu and Kashmir. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design comprising of seven treatments of collections of kala zeera from different sources (from Jammu & Kashmir and Himachal Pradesh) with three replications. Healthy tubers were planted in the plots of size $1 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m}$ with spacing of $25 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$. The tubers were sown on 15th November 2007 before the snowfall. The experimental site was located at an altitude of 2533 m MSL with average maximum temperature of 28°C and annual rainfall of 900 mm. Soil at the site was neutral in reaction with optimum ranges of available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Data on various growth and morphological characters was recorded on five randomly selected plants per replication in each genotype in May–June 2008. The crop was harvested in the 2nd week of July 2008. The genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlation was calculated by applying the technique of variance and covariance analysis in which total variability is Gupta et al.

split into treatment, replication and error components. The direct and indirect path effects were obtained by employing the method followed by Dewey & Lu (1959).

The genotypic, phenotypic and environmental correlation coefficients for growth and yield contributing characters viz., plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of secondary branches plant⁻¹, number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, number of umbellets umbel⁻¹, number of umbels plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, number of seed umbellet⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, number of seed plant⁻¹, seed yield ha⁻¹ and 1000-seed weight are presented in Table 1. The genotypic correlation coefficients were lower as compared to the phenotypic values. Out of total 78 combinations, 32 combinations showed significant positive correlation whereas, plant height vs number of umbellets/ umbel and number of umbels plant⁻¹ vs number of seed umbellet⁻¹ exhibited significant negative correlation. Number of umbellets umbel-1, number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, 1000-seed weight, number of seed plant⁻¹, number of umbels plant⁻¹, seed yield, number of seed umbellet⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, number primary branches plant⁻¹ and number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ showed significant positive correlations among themselves. These characters also showed high heritability coupled with moderately high genetic gains, thus indicating additive genetic components present in them. Therefore, selection is the most suitable method for the improvement of these traits to get desired high seed yield ha-1 and all these characters can be exploited for getting desired improvement in seed yield ha⁻¹. Similar findings have also been reported by Panwar & Badiyala (1990); Singh & Kaith (1990); Chauhan et al. (2006) and Chauhan (2003) in kala zeera.

At the phenotypic level, out of total 78 combinations, 39 were found to have significant positive association with each other. The characters *viz.*, number of umbels plant⁻¹, seed yield ha⁻¹, 1000-seed weight, number of seed plant⁻¹, number of umbels plant⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, number of seed umbellet⁻¹, number of s

Iable 1. Genory	/brc/ briene	rypre and											
Characters	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches plant ¹	Number of secondary branches plant ¹	Number of tertiary branches plant ¹	Number of leaves plant ¹	Umbel diameter (cm)	Number of um- bellets umbel ⁻¹	Number of umbels plant ⁻¹	Number of seed umbellet ¹	Number of seed umbel ⁻¹	Number of seed plant ¹	1000 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
Plant height (cm)	G 1.000 P 1.000 E 1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.423 \\ 0.385 \\ 0.309 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.387 \\ 0.223 \\ -0.223 \end{array}$	-0.333 -0.370 -0.479**	$\begin{array}{c} 0.392 \\ 0.137 \\ -0.051 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.470^{**} \\ 0.326 \\ 0.067 \end{array}$	-0.595° -0.222 0.053	$\begin{array}{c} 0.084 \\ 0.056 \\ -0.029 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.366 \\ 0.241 \\ 0.160 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.236 \\ 0.179 \\ 0.136 \end{array}$	-0.015 0.023 0.085	$\begin{array}{c} 0.122 \\ 0.055 \\ 0.257 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076 \\ 0.087 \\ 0.139 \end{array}$
Number of primary branches plant ¹	Счы	1.000 1.000 1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.047 \\ 0.768^{**} \\ 0.146 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.704^{**} \\ 0.502^{**} \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	0.936^{*} 0.568^{*} 0.392	$0.034 \\ 0.545^{**} \\ -0.221$	0.874° 0.279 -0.123	0.065 0.697* -0.125	$\begin{array}{c} 0.034 \\ 0.276 \\ -0.354 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.057 \\ 0.517 \\ -0.288 \end{array}$	$0.161 \\ 0.524^{**} \\ -0.320$	$0.010 \\ 0.732** \\ -0.183$	0.076 0.686* -0.200
Number of secondary branches plant ⁻¹	Осш		1.000 1.000 1.000	0.814* 0.612* -0.004	$\begin{array}{c} 0.014 \\ 0.460^{**} \\ 0.081 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.831^{**} \\ 0.605^{*} \\ 0.174 \end{array}$	0.451^{**} 0.273 0.186	0.942^{**} 0.707^{*} -0.072	$\begin{array}{c} 0.070 \\ 0.411 \\ -0.231 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.156 \\ 0.638 \\ -0.326 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \\ 0.168^{*} \\ -0.116 \end{array}$	0.853° 0.721° 0.134	0.955* 0.733* -0.679
Number of tertiary branches plant ¹	Счп			1.000 1.000 1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.980^{*} \\ 0.438 \\ 0.057 \end{array}$	0.675* 0.347 -0.324	0.990° 0.342 -0.191	0.969^{*} 0.616^{*} -0.596^{*}	$\begin{array}{c} 0.760^{*} \\ 0.298 \\ -0.161 \end{array}$	0.874^{*} 0.551 -0.057	0.054 0.597* -0.162	$\begin{array}{c} 0.821^{*} \\ 0.738^{*} \\ 0.384 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.948^{*} \\ 0.650^{*} \\ -0.536^{*} \end{array}$
Number. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Осш				$1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 0.$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.172 \\ 0.541^{**} \\ 0.192 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.063 \\ 0.214 \\ -0.051 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.317 \\ 0.461^{**} \\ -0.252 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.980 \\ 0.126 \\ -0.546 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.318 \\ 0.341 \\ -0.235 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.440 \\ 0.389 \\ -0.171 \end{array}$	0.284 0.513** -0.277	$\begin{array}{c} 0.314 \\ 0.478 \\ -0.361 \end{array}$
Umbel diameter (cm)	Осш					$1.000 \\ 1.00$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.619^{*} \\ 0.246 \\ 0.025 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.894^{*} \\ 0.701 \\ 0.322 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.155 \\ 0.330 \\ -0.284 \end{array}$	0.979° 0.429 -0.303	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ 0.474^{**} \\ -0.149 \end{array}$	0.981* 0.633* -0.378	$\begin{array}{c} 0.892 \\ 0.688 \\ 0.230 \end{array}$
Number of umbellets umbel ⁻¹	Осп						$ \begin{array}{c} 1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.251 \\ 0.371 \\ -0.435 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.304 \\ 0.093 \\ 0.015 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.436 \\ 0.208 \\ 0.083 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.705 \\ 0.690 \\ 0.724 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.039 \\ 0.594^{*} \\ 0.401 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.968^{*} \\ 0.594^{*} \\ 0.484^{**} \end{array}$
Number of umbels plant ⁻¹	Осп							$1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000$	-0.836^{*} 0.411 0.062	0.956* 0.557 -0.255	0.215 0.621* -	$\begin{array}{c} 0.177 \\ 0.852 \\ 0.198 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040 \\ 0.925^{*} \\ 0.387 \end{array}$
Number of seed umbellet ⁻¹	рч п								1.000 1.000 1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.197 \\ 0.821^{*} \\ 0.717 \end{array}$	0.925* 0.661* -	$\begin{array}{c} 0.747^{*} \\ 0.441 \\ 0.196 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.817^{*} \\ 0.539^{**} \\ 0.400 \end{array}$
Number of seed umbel ⁻¹	Осш									$1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.948^{*} \\ 0.847^{*} \\ 0.736^{*} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.818^{*} \\ 0.618^{*} \\ 0.180 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.902 \\ 0.725 \\ 0.398 \end{array}$
Number of seed plant ⁻¹	Очп										1.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.032 \\ 0.788^{*} \\ 0.418 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.065 \\ 0.860^{*} \\ 0.609^{*} \end{array}$
1000 seeds weight (g)	БЧл											$1.000 \\ 1.000 \\ 1.000$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024 \\ 0.916^{*} \\ 0.088 \end{array}$
Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	БЧн												1.000 1.000 1.000
*Significant @ 5%;	**Significant	t at 1%; G=ge	notypic corre	lation coeffi	cient; P=ph	lenotypic o	correlation	ı coefficier	nt; E=envir	onmental	correlatic	on coeffic	ient

Path analysis in Bunium persicum

97

of primary, secondary and tertiary branches plant⁻¹ have recorded significant positive correlations. Thus, these yield contributing characters having strong inter-relationships with other characters which can be effectively considered for their inter-dependence in their expression. The results are in line with those of Martin & Cabanillas (1967) in *Dioscorea floribunda*; Verma (1989) in *Glaucium flavum*; Singh & Kaith (1990); Panwar & Badiyala (1990); Chauhan (2003) in kala zeera and Rastogi (1993) in Swertia.

The environmental correlation co-efficient was in general of low order for almost all the character combinations. This indicated the minimal influence of environmental on the expression of these characters but some effect on association of these characters cannot be ruled out. The reports of Maurya & Sinha (1989) in fenugreek; Singh & Kaith (1990); Panwar & Badiyala (1990) and Chauhan (2003) in kala zeera; Rastogi (1993) in Swertia and Verma (1994) in Valeriana jatamansi are comparable with the present investigation. This suggested that plant with more number of primary, secondary and tertiary branches plant⁻¹, number of umbels plant⁻¹, number of umbellets umbel-1, umbel diameter, number of seed umbellet⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, number of seed plant⁻¹ should be considered for further improvement in seed yield.

The path co-efficient analysis was used to understand the direct and indirect causes by partitioning correlation co-efficient into the components of direct and indirect effects (Table 2). In the present study the residual effect was significantly low (i.e. 0.055) indicating the adequacy of the characters chosen for seed yield ha⁻¹ of *Bunium persicum*. The genotypic model depicted that the traits selected accounted for more than 99% of the genetic variability. The path coefficient analysis revealed that characters like plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, number of umbels plant⁻¹, number of seed plant⁻¹ had positive direct effect on seed yield, whereas, number of secondary branches plant⁻¹, number of leaves plant⁻¹, number of umbellets umbel-1, number of seed

Gupta et al.

umbellet⁻¹, number of seed umbel⁻¹, 1000 seed weight had negative direct effect on seed yield ha⁻¹. In addition these characters *viz.*, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter and number of seed plant⁻¹, the value of path coefficient (direct effect) is almost equal to the total effect (genotypic correlation). It may be concluded that these characters are sufficient to bring improvement in seed yield ha-1 of Bunium persicum. The present investigation, therefore, revealed that for the improvement of seed yield in Bunium persicum, characters like number of number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter and number of seed plant⁻¹ need greater emphasis in selection. These results are similar to the findings of Rao et al. (1981) in coriander, Rishi et al. (1984) in Dioscorea deltoidea, Sharma et al. (1990) in Digitalis lanata and Devi (2004) in kala zeera.

In brief, the number of primary branches plant⁻¹ had the highest indirect effect through number of tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, number of umbels plant⁻¹, and number of seed plant⁻¹. It is therefore, concluded that primary branches plant⁻¹ is the most reliable character on which the selection should be based. However, other characters *viz.*, tertiary branches plant⁻¹, umbel diameter, number of umbellets plant⁻¹ may also be considered for selection to get desired yield improvement in *Bunium persicum*.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Horticultural Technology Mini Mission, Government of India for providing financial support in undertaking the studies.

References

- Bhartiya S P 1967 Black zeera is a good bet. Ind. Farming 13: 30–32.
- Chauhan R 2003 Standardization of production technology of Kalazeera [*Bunium persicum* (Boiss.) Fedtch], Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Department of Forest Products, Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.

Table 2. Direct and	a indire	ict effect of	different ci	haracters (on seed yi	ield (kg r	1a ⁻¹) 1n Ka	ıla zeera					
Characters	Plant height (cm)	Number of primary branches plant ⁻¹	Number of secondary branches plant ¹	Number of tertiary branches plant ¹	Number of leaves plant ¹	Umbel diameter (cm)	Number of um- bellets umbel ⁻¹	Number of umbels plant ¹	Number of seed umbellet ⁻¹	Number of seed umbel ⁻¹	Number of seed plant ¹	1000 seed weight (g)	Geno- type correla- ion with seed yield
Plant height (cm)	0.579	0.028	-0.140	-0.202	-0.038	0.163	0.277	0.198	-0.016	-0.404	-0.050	256	0.076
Number of primary branches plant ⁻¹	0.245	0.066	-0.378	0.428	-0.894	0.358	-0.407	0. 503	-0.044	-0.811	0.754	-0.629	0.076
Number of secondary branches plant ⁻¹	ء 0.224	0.069	-0.361	0.495	-0.097	0.288	-0.210	0214	-0.046	-0.981	0.472	-0.221	0.955*
Number of tertiary branches plant ⁻¹	-0.193	0.047	-0.294	0.608	-0.094	0.234	-0.461	0.276	-0.032	-0.498	0.409	-0.197	0.948*
Number of leaves plant ⁻¹	0.227	0.062	-0.366	0.596	-0.096	0.406	-0.495	0.096	-0.084	-0.259	0.656	-0.432	0.314
Umbel diameter (cm)	0.272	0.069	-0.300	-0.410	-0.112	0.346	-0.289	0.101	-0.049	-0.678	0.234	-0.009	0.892*
Number of umbellets umbel- ¹	-0.345	0.058	-0.163	0.602	-0.102	0.215	-0.466	0.941	-0.013	-0.748	0281	-0.263	0.968*
Number of umbels plant ⁻¹	0.049	0.071	-0.340	0.589	-0.126	0.310	-0.583	0.350	-0.036	-0.639	0927	-0.508	0.040
Number of seeds umbellet ⁻¹	0.212	0.069	-0.386	0.462	-0.189	0.400	-0.142	0.964	-0.043	-0.768	0989	-0.754	0.817*
Number of seeds umbel ⁻¹	0.137	0.070	-0.417	0.531	-0.126	0.339	-0.203	0.247	-0.044	-0.714	0065	-0.043	0.902*
Number of seeds plant ⁻¹	-0.009	0.077	-0.387	0.641	-0.138	0.346	-0.329	0.854	-0.039	-0.624	0234	-0.591	0.065
1000-seed weight (g)	0.044	0.071	-0.345	0.576	-0.126	0.309	-0.451	0.444	-0.035	-0.546	0.443	-0.372	0.024
*, **Significant at 5%	% and 1	1% respecti	ively; Resid	ual effect=	=0.055								

Path analysis in Bunium persicum

99

Chauhan R, Chauhan N S Thakur C L & Bawa R 2006 Effect of farm yard manure and chemical fertilizer levels on the growth and seed, yield of Kala zeera (*Bunium persicum* Boiss) under natural conditions in Himachal Pradesh, India. Eco. Env. Cons. 9: 101–104.

- Devi S 2004 Evaluation of *Bunium persicum* germplasm in Himachal Pradesh. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, Solan.
- Dewey D R & Lu K H 1959 Correlation and path co-efficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J. 51: 515–518.
- Martin F W & Cabanillas E 1967 Heritability of yields in *Dioscorea floribunda*. Mart. and Gal. Institute of Horticultural Research, Banglore. Ind. J. Hort. 33: 274–277.
- Maurya K R & Sinha R P 1989 Correlation and heritability studies in fenugreek. Ind. Cocoa Arec. Spices J. XIII: 55–57.
- Panwar K S & Badiyala D 1990 Identification of some factors influencing grain yield in Kala zeera [Bunium persicum (Boiss) Feldtsch.]. Himachal J. Agril. Res. 16: 44– 47.
- Panwar K S, Sagwal J C Sharma S K & Saroch K 1993 Economic viability of Kala zeera cultivation in high altitude dry temperate region of Himachal Pradesh. Agril. Situation in India 48: 151–154.

- Rao T S R, Babu M K & Bavaji J N 1981 Path coefficient analysis of seed yield and coriander. Ind. J. Agric. Sci. 51: 726–728.
- Rastogi D 1993 Chemical screening and genetic evaluation of *Swertia* in Himachal Pradesh. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to Department of Forest Products and Utilization, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.
- Rishi A K, Dhar P L & Bhan M K 1984 Genetic variability and component analysis for tuber yield of *Dioscorea deltoidea*. Herb Hung. 23: 41–48.
- Sharma S C Srivastava L J & Singh, J M 1990 Genetic evaluation of some selected characters in *Digitalis lanata Ehrh*. J. Rec. Adv. Applied Sci. 15: 104.
- Singh J M & Kaith D S 1990 Variability and correlation studies in some Kala zeera collections from Kinnaur (HP) for some zira yield contributing parameters. Ind. Cocoa, Arec. Spices J. 14: 109–111.
- Verma K C 1994 Genetic evaluation of some Valeriana jatarnansi Jones. collections from Himachal Pradesh. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Forest Product, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.
- Verma N 1989 Variability studies and evaluation of some *Glacium flavum* Crantz, lines through discriminant function. M.Sc. Thesis, Department of Forestry, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.

Gupta et al.