

Effect of rooting media on germination and seedling growth of nutmeg (Myristica fragrans Houtt.)

R G Khandekar, L K Dashora¹, G D Joshi, P M Haldankar, U A Gadre, M C Jain, P C Haldavnekar & V S Pande

Department of Horticulture College of Agriculture Dapoli – 415 712, Maharashtra, India.

Received 01 April 2005; Revised 22 October 2005; Accepted 06 June 2006

Abstract

Experiments conducted on germination of nutmeg (*Myristica fragrans*) seeds at Dapoli (Maharashtra) indicated that there were significant differences in germination of seeds sown in different media. The mean germination was significantly higher in seeds sown in rice bran (82.3%) which was on par with sand (82.0%) and sand + rice bran (81.7%). These media also recorded significantly lesser time for first emergence namely, 27.17 days (rice bran), 28.10 days (sand + rice bran) and 28.50 days (sand), respectively. The time taken for final emergence was also significantly lower in seeds sown in rice bran (80.83 days), sand + rice bran (81.60 days) and sand (82.17 days), respectively. The vegetative growth of seedlings namely, shoot length, shoot diameter, number of leaves and leaf area were also significantly higher in these media namely, rice bran, sand + rice bran and sand at 30, 90 and 180 days after germination.

Keywords: germination, *Myristica fragrans*, nutmeg, seedling growth.

Introduction

Nutmeg (Myristica fragrans Houtt.) is usually propagated through seeds, and the germinated seedlings are also used for vegetative propagation methods like epicotyl grafting and softwood grafting. The seeds after extraction are sown either immediately or not later than 3-4 days (Flach 1966). However, germination of nutmeg seeds is reported to be low (40–50%) (Prabhu 1978). The present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different media on seed germination and seedling growth of nutmeg under coastal hot humid conditions Maharashtra.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted for two consecutive years namely, 2002 and 2003 at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Dapoli (Maharashtra) in a randomized block design. The experiment consisted of 11 treatments (media) namely, T₁-sand, T₂-rice bran, T₃-sphagnum moss, T₄-saw dust, T₅-coconut coir waste, T₆-soil (control), T₇-sand + rice bran (1:1, v/v), T₈-sand + sphagnum moss (1:1, v/v), T₉-sand + saw dust (1:1), T₁₀-sand + coconut coir waste (1:1) and T₁₁-sand + soil (1:1, v/v) which were replicated thrice.

Tree-ripe harvested medium-sized nutmeg seeds weighing 10–12 g, collected from female

Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.

nutmeg trees of 25-35 years age at College Farm were used for the experiment. Twenty seeds were sown on 15 July during 2002 and 2003 under each treatment in nursery beds of 0.80 m x 0.60 m x 0.20 m size. The sowing was done by keeping the seed in a vertical position about 1 inch deep in different media as per treatments. The beds were irrigated immediately after sowing, which was repeated every 2 days till final emergence. The sprouted seedlings (1 month old) were transplanted to polythene bags of 20 cm x 15 cm size containing potting mixture of soil + farm yard manure in 3:1 proportion. The seedlings were irrigated immediately after transplanting and thereafter irrigated at an interval of 4 days till last observation.

Observations on germination and time taken for initial and final emergence were recorded. The growth characters of seedlings like shoot length, shoot diameter and leaf area of five randomly selected seedlings were recorded at 30, 60, 120 and 180 days after emergence (DAE). Shoot length was measured from the base to the apex of seedlings. Shoot diameter was measured 1 cm from the base of the stem with the help of vernier calipers. The leaf area of seedlings was measured by using a leaf area meter. The root characters, namely, length and number of primary and secondary roots of five randomly selected seedlings were recorded at 180 DAE. The data recorded were statistically analysed as per standard procedures (Panse & Sukhatme 1995). The media used for germination were analysed for water holding capacity, bulk density and major nutrient content as per standard analytical procedures (Chopra & Kanwar 1978) (Table 1).

Results and discussion

There was a significant increase in germination percentage compared to control (soil) in both the years of experimentation (Table 2). The pooled analysis of data also indicated significant effect of media on germination percentage. The mean maximum germination percentage (82.3%) was significantly higher in seeds sown in rice bran which was on par with sand (82.0%) and sand + rice bran (81.7%) treatments. Minimum germination (38.3%) was recorded in control (soil). These findings are in accordance with the observations of Prabhu (1978) in nutmeg who observed maximum germination in sand (83.8%) followed by sand + soil medium (55.0%). The time required for first emergence was significantly lower (27.2 days) in seeds sown in rice bran which was on par with sand + rice bran (28.1 days) and sand (28.5 days) treatments.

The time required for final emergence was significantly lower (71.0 days) in seeds sown in sphagnum moss (Table 2). The probable reason for the same could be attributed to better aeration and good water holding capacity of sphagnum moss (Hartmann & Kester 1997).

The mean shoot length increased gradually during the period of growth at 30 to 180 DAE, irrespective of treatments (Table 3). The

Table 1. Water holding capacity, bulk density and nutrient content of media used for germination of nutmeg

| Media | Water holding | Bulk density | Major nı | utrient con | tent (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | capacity (%) | (g ml ⁻¹) | N | P | K |
| T_1 -Sand | 27.43 | 1.83 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.08 |
| T ₂ -Rice bran | 274.19 | 1.64 | 0.57 | 0.85 | 0.25 |
| T ₃ - Sphagnum moss | 1646.85 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.70 | 0.26 |
| T ₄ -Sawdust | 476.00 | 0.60 | 0.14 | 0.95 | 0.20 |
| T ₅ -Coconut coir waste | 2021.84 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.45 |
| T ₆ -Soil (Control) | 40.22 | 1.52 | 0.25 | 1.40 | 0.34 |
| T ₇ -Sand + Rice bran | 148.42 | 1.22 | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.16 |
| T _s -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 937.11 | 1.17 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.18 |
| T _o -Sand + Saw dust | 274.15 | 1.24 | 0.93 | 0.68 | 0.21 |
| T_{10} -Sand + Coconut coir waste | 1054.63 | 1.20 | 0.15 | 0.69 | 0.27 |
| T ₁₁ -Sand + Soil | 32.83 | 1.66 | 0.12 | 0.93 | 0.21 |

Table 2. Effect of media on germination of nutmeg seeds

| Treatment | Gern | Germination percentage | entage | Tin | Time taken for first | or first | Time | lime taken for final | final |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------|----------------------|----------|-------|----------------------|--------|
| | | | | em | emergence (days) | days) | eme | emergence (days) | days) |
| | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled |
| T ₁ -Sand | 82.7(65.4) | 81.3(64.4) | 82.0(64.9) | 29.0 | 28.0 | 28.5 | 82.0 | 82.3 | 82.2 |
| T,-Rice bran | 83.3(65.9) | 81.3(64.5) | 82.3(65.1) | 26.0 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 81.3 | 80.3 | 8.08 |
| T ₃ - Sphagnum moss | 69.3(58.4) | 69.3(58.4) | 69.3(58.4) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 |
| TjSawdust | 62.0(52.0) | 62.0(51.9) | 62.0(51.9) | 44.3 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 88.0 | 88.9 | 88.4 |
| T ₅ -Coconut coir waste | | 58.0(48.8) | 57.7(49.0) | 48.7 | 48.0 | 48.3 | 85.9 | 85.0 | 85.4 |
| TSoil (Control) | 38.0(38.1) | 38.8(38.5) | 38.3(38.3) | 50.7 | 51.0 | 50.8 | 112.0 | 112.9 | 112.4 |
| TSand + Rice bran | 82.0(64.9) | 81.3(64.4) | 81.7(64.7) | 27.3 | 28.7 | 28.1 | 82.3 | 80.9 | 81.6 |
| T _s -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 73.3(58.9) | 73.3(58.8) | 73.3(58.9) | 34.3 | 33.0 | 33.7 | 85.3 | 85.3 | 85.3 |
| To-Sand + Saw dust | 69. | 70.7(67.2) | 70.0(62.8) | 40.7 | 41.0 | 40.8 | 74.3 | 74.3 | 74.3 |
| Ti-Sand + Coconut coir waste | 70.0(58.8) | 68.7(56.0) | 69.3(57.4) | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 79.0 | 78.3 | 78.7 |
| TSand + Soil | 58.7(53.3) | 58.7(50.4) | 58.7(51.9) | 42.3 | 43.0 | 42.7 | 83.0 | 81.9 | 82.4 |
| Mean | 56.7 | 56.7 | 62.1 | 38.2 | 38.4 | 38.3 | 84.0 | 83.7 | 83.8 |
| SEm ± | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| | * | • | | | | | | | |

*Figures in parenthesis are transformed angular values

Table 3. Effect of media on shoot length of nutmeg seedlings

| | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|
| Treatment | | 30 DAE | | | 90 DAE | | | 180 DAE | |
| | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled |
| T_1 -Sand | 8.17 | 9.37 | 8.77 | 10.17 | 10.70 | 10.44 | 23.57 | 25.57 | 24.57 |
| T,-Rice bran | 9.87 | 10.00 | 9.94 | 10.50 | 11.53 | 11.02 | 25.03 | 26.20 | 25.62 |
| T _s -Sphagnum moss | 7.13 | 7.03 | 7.08 | 8.03 | 8.17 | 8.10 | 19.87 | 23.23 | 21.55 |
| T ₄ -Sawdust | 7.20 | 6.93 | 7.07 | 7.82 | 8.13 | 7.98 | 19.47 | 22.20 | 20.84 |
| T ₅ -Coconut coir waste | 7.17 | 6.73 | 6.95 | 7.93 | 8.00 | 7.97 | 20.40 | 23.23 | 21.82 |
| T ₆ -Soil (Control) | 8.77 | 6.10 | 7.44 | 7.40 | 7.27 | 7.34 | 18.30 | 22.33 | 20.32 |
| T-Sand + Rice bran | 10.00 | 10.36 | 10.18 | 10.70 | 12.27 | 11.49 | 25.50 | 26.87 | 26.19 |
| T _s -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 6.97 | 7.83 | 8.90 | 8.70 | 9.17 | 8.94 | 19.27 | 23.60 | 21.44 |
| T ₉ -Sand + Saw dust | 7.97 | 7.83 | 7.90 | 8.67 | 9.00 | 8.84 | 19.40 | 23.90 | 21.65 |
| T ₁₀ -Sand + Coconut coir waste | 7.47 | 7.50 | 7.49 | 8.00 | 8.93 | 8.47 | 18.97 | 23.67 | 21.32 |
| T ₁₁ -Sand + Soil | 7.17 | 7.20 | 7.19 | 7.93 | 8.53 | 8.23 | 19.27 | 23.30 | 21.29 |
| Mean | 8.26 | 7.90 | 8.08 | 8.71 | 9.25 | 86.8 | 20.82 | 24.01 | 22.42 |
| SEm ± | 0.10 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 10.17 | 10.70 | 10.44 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.63 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.29 | 1.26 | 1.73 | 10.50 | 11.53 | 11.02 | 0.84 | 0.89 | 1.98 |

DAE=Days after emergence; All values indicate cm.

mean shoot length was significantly higher (26.19 cm) in seeds sown in sand + rice bran at 180 DAE, which was on par with rice bran (25.62 cm) and sand (24.57 cm) treatments. Shoot diameter was also significantly influenced by different media at 30 to 180 DAE (Table 4). At 180 DAE, shoot diameter was significantly higher (0.67 cm) in seeds sown in sand + rice bran which was on par with

rice bran (0.66 cm), sand (0.65 cm), sand + sphagnum moss (0.62 cm) and sand + saw dust (0.62cm). Leaf area was also significantly influenced due to different media at 30 to 180 DAE (Table 5). At 180 DAE, leaf area was significantly higher (374.12 cm²) in seeds sown in sand + rice bran, which was at par with rice bran (367.95 cm²) and sand (364.08 cm²) treatments. Root growth was also signifi-

Table 4. Effect of media on shoot diameter of nutmeg seedlings

| Treatment | | 30 DA | E | | 90 DAE | | 18 | 30 DAE | |
|--|------|-------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled |
| T ₁ -Sand | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.65 |
| T ₂ -Rice bran | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.66 |
| T ₃ - Sphagnum moss | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.56 |
| T ₄ -Sawdust | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.60 | 0.54 |
| T ₅ -Coconut coir waste | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.56 |
| T ₆ -Soil (Control) | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.53 |
| T ₇ -Sand + Rice bran | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.67 |
| T ₈ -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| T ₉ -Sand + Saw dust | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.62 |
| T ₁₀ -Sand + Coconut coir waste | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.56 |
| T ₁₁ -Sand + Soil | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| Mean | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.59 |
| SEm ± | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |

DAE=Days after emergence; All values indicate cm.

Table 5. Effect of media on leaf area of nutmeg seedlings

| Treatment | | 30 DA | ΣE | | 90 DAE | | 18 | 30 DAE | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled |
| T ₁ -Sand | 19.13 | 19.47 | 19.30 | 145.70 | 149.30 | 147.50 | 365.83 | 362.33 | 364.08 |
| T ₂ -Rice bran | 22.45 | 20.47 | 21.46 | 151.13 | 153.27 | 152.20 | 365.17 | 370.73 | 367.95 |
| T ₃ - Sphagnum moss | 13.90 | 13.53 | 13.72 | 143.47 | 143.50 | 143.49 | 357.73 | 347.10 | 352.42 |
| T ₄ -Sawdust | 13.63 | 13.20 | 13.42 | 138.00 | 143.43 | 140.72 | 358.30 | 345.83 | 352.07 |
| T ₅ -Coconut coir waste | 14.23 | 12.27 | 13.25 | 139.13 | 143.93 | 141.53 | 357.73 | 342.03 | 349.88 |
| T ₆ -Soil (Control) | 13.40 | 14.17 | 13.79 | 138.00 | 140.80 | 139.40 | 337.70 | 340.13 | 338.92 |
| T ₇ -Sand + Rice bran | 23.30 | 22.27 | 22.79 | 152.47 | 151.90 | 152.19 | 372.77 | 375.47 | 374.12 |
| T ₈ -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 14.10 | 12.83 | 13.47 | 143.43 | 143.83 | 143.63 | 355.53 | 346.07 | 350.80 |
| T ₉ -Sand + Saw dust | 15.30 | 15.83 | 15.57 | 143.93 | 145.47 | 144.70 | 354.20 | 350.03 | 352.12 |
| T ₁₀ -Sand + Coconut coir waste | 16.63 | 17.20 | 16.92 | 143.47 | 143.77 | 143.62 | 362.70 | 342.03 | 352.37 |
| T ₁₁ -Sand + Soil | 15.87 | 18.10 | 16.99 | 143.83 | 142.23 | 143.03 | 356.37 | 343.70 | 350.04 |
| Mean | 16.54 | 16.30 | 16.43 | 143.87 | 145.58 | 144.73 | 358.55 | 351.40 | 354.98 |
| SEm ± | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.71 | 1.23 | 1.08 | 1.32 | 1.48 | 1.33 | 4.19 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 1.97 | 1.53 | 1.98 | 3.62 | 3.16 | 3.67 | 4.37 | 3.91 | 13.22 |
| Mean SEm ± | 16.54 0.37 1.97 | 16.30 0.52 1.53 | 16.43 0.71 | 143.87 1.23 | 145.58 1.08 | 144.73 1.32 | 358.55 1.48 | 351.40 1.33 | 354.98 4.19 |

DAE=Days after emergence; All values indicate cm.

Khandekar et al.

| d | d

| genc |
|-----------------------|
| r emerge |
| after |
| days |
| 180 |
| _ |
| of nutmeg seedlings a |
| nutmeg |
| of |
| characters of |
| root |
| on |
| t of media on root ch |
| jo |
| Effec |
| 9 |
| Table |

| Table 6. Effect of media on root characters of nutmeg seedlings at 180 days after emergence | ot charact | ters of nu | tmeg seed | llings at | 180 day | /s after er | nergence | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|----------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------|
| Treatment | Len | Length of primary | imary | Num | Number of primary | rimary | Lengt | Length of secondary | ondary | Numbe | Number of secondar | ondar |
| | | roots (cm | m) | | roots | | | roots (cm | m) | | roots | |
| | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | 2003 Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled | 2002 | 2003 | Pooled |
| T ₁ -Sand | 13.50 | 13.47 | 13.49 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.70 | 4.87 | 4.79 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 9.9 |
| T,-Rice bran | 14.17 | 13.73 | 13.95 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 5.17 | 5.08 | 5.13 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 6.5 |
| T _z - Sphagnum moss | 9.63 | 9.17 | 9.40 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.9 |
| Te-Sawdust | 11.97 | 11.77 | 11.87 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 4.32 | 4.20 | 4.26 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| T _s -Coconut coir waste | 10.13 | 10.30 | 10.22 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.07 | 4.10 | 4.09 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| T ₆ -Soil (Control) | 10.70 | 9.47 | 10.09 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 3.10 | 3.40 | 3.25 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| T ₇ -Sand + Rice bran | 13.17 | 13.37 | 13.27 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 5.03 | 4.87 | 4.80 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| T _s -Sand + Sphagnum moss | 10.23 | 9.73 | 86.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.07 | 4.23 | 4.15 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| To-Sand + Saw dust | 12.60 | 12.83 | 12.72 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.42 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| T ₁₀ -Sand + Coconut coir waste | 12.00 | 11.63 | 11.82 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.50 | 4.27 | 4.39 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| TSand + Soil | 11.77 | 11.47 | 11.62 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 4.07 | 4.17 | 4.12 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 |
| Mean 1 | 11.87 | 11.54 | 11.67 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 4.33 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| SEm ± | 0.72 | 0.80 | 0.21 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 2.73 | 2.36 | 99.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

cantly influenced by different media at 180 DAE (Table 6). At 180 DAE, maximum length of primary roots (13.95 cm) was recorded in seeds sown in rice bran which was at par with sand (13.49 cm). Maximum number of primary roots (2.5) was recorded in sphagnum moss treatment. Maximum length of secondary roots (5.13 cm) was recorded in rice bran treatment, which was at par with sand + rice bran (4.80 cm) and sand (4.79 cm) treatments. Maximum number of secondary roots (6.9) was recorded in seeds sown in sand + rice bran treatment, which was at par with sand (6.6 cm) and rice bran (6.5 cm) treatments. Higher growth of nutmeg seedlings that germinated from rice bran, sand and sand + rice bran could be attributed to early germination in these media, which was responsible for quick establishment and better growth (Hartmann & Kester 1997).

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Dean, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur, Rajasthan, and the Dean, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Maharashtra, for permitting the senior author to do his PhD research work at the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Dapoli.

References

Chopra S L & Kanwar J S 1978 Analytical Agricultural Chemistry, Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, New Delhi.

Flach M 1966 Nutmeg Cultivation and its Sex Problem. Mededelingen Van De Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen 66-1. Veenman H. and Zomen N.V. Wageningen.

Hartmann H T & Kester D E 1997 Plant Propagation Principles and Practices, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New

Panse V G & Sukhatme P V 1995 Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.

Prabhu S D 1978 Studies on propagation of nutmeg (Myristica fragrans Houtt.) M Sc. Konkan (Agri.) Thesis, Vidyapeeth, Dapoli.