

# Effect of humic acid (potassium humate) on growth and yield of turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) in an alfisol

K Baskar & K Sankaran

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry Agricultural College and Research Institute Killikulam – 628 252, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: kolappanbaskar@rediffmail.com

Received 15 July 2004; Revised 22 September 2004; Accepted 27 January 2005

## Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Mattuvarayapuram (Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu) to evaluate the effect of lignite humic acid (HA) on growth and yield of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) in an *alfisol*. The study revealed that application of 100% NPK (150:60:108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with HA applied to soil (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + foliar spray (HA 0.1%) + rhizome dipping (HA 0.1%) significantly enhanced the growth and yield attributes, fresh and cured rhizome yield of turmeric.

Key words: Curcuma longa, humic acid, potassium humate, turmeric, yield.

#### Introduction

Turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) being a long duration crop, consumes greater amount of nutrients both from the soil and applied fertilizers and also requires heavy application of organic manures. Sadanandan & Hamza (1998) and Krishnamurthy et al. (1999) reported that the productivity of turmeric and its quality can be enhanced by application of organic manure. However, scarcity of farmyard manure (FYM) and other organic manures necessitates the use of other alternative sources in conjunction with chemical fertilizers for supplementing plant nutrients. The present investigation was therefore conducted to study the effect of humic acid (HA) as a supplementary source of nutrient, on turmeric, in an alfisol.

## Materials and methods

The study was conducted at a farmer's field

at Mattuvarayapuram (Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu) during 2001. The soil at the site was sandy clay loam with pH 8.3 and EC of 0.23 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, low in organic carbon (0.47%) and available nitrogen (200 kg ha-1), medium in available phosphorus (11 kg ha-1) and high in available potassium (420 kg ha-1). The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three levels of fertilizers as main plot treatments (M,-control, M,-100% recommendation of N, P2O5 and K2O (150:60:108 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and M<sub>3</sub>-75% recommendation of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O) and nine levels of HA treatments (S<sub>1</sub>-control, S<sub>2</sub>-0.1% HA as foliar spray (FS) on 90 and 120 days after sprouting (DAS),  $S_3$ -0.1% HA as rhizome dipping (RD),  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ , S<sub>6</sub> and S<sub>7</sub> as soil application of HA @ 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg ha-1 respectively, S<sub>s</sub>-combination of S2 and S4 and S9-combination of S2 S3 and S4 as sub-plot treatments with three replications. The turmeric variety BSR-2 was sown

in the last week of May 2001. One sixth of N and  $K_2O$  and full dose of  $P_2O_5$  were applied as basal and the remaining quantities of N and K were top dressed @ 25 and 18 kg ha¹ respectively, at 30, 60, 90,120 and 150 days after planting. Light and frequent irrigations were given till the rhizomes sprouted and subsequent irrigations were given as per crop need.

The application of four graded levels of HA as potassium humate was applied to soil prior to sowing after mixing with sand. Rhizome dipping was done with potassium humate 0.1% solution for 30 min prior to sowing. Foliar spray of HA 0.1% was given during 90 and 120 DAS by dissolving the required quantity of potassium humate in water.

The data on yield and yield attributes, number and weight of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes were recorded at harvest. Fresh weight of rhizomes was recorded immediately after harvest and curing percentage of rhizomes and the cured rhizome yield were also calculated.

## Results and discussion

Among the treatment combinations, 100% NPK + soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> +

0.1% foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS + 0.1% rhizome dipping  $(M_2S_9)$  was significantly superior to other treatment combinations in improving plant height (Table 1).

Treatments receiving HA in combination with NPK fertilizers recorded higher range of yield attributes with respect to number of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes plant<sup>1</sup> (2.70 to 3.56, 8.40 to 11.93 and 13.70 to 17.35 rhizomes plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) than those receiving NPK fertilizer treatments only (2.53 to 3.02, 7.55 to 9.40 and 13.20 to 14.34 rhizomes plant<sup>-1</sup> respectively) (Table 2).

The weight of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes of turmeric was significantly influenced by the application of HA fertilizers and their interactions (Table 3). The best treatment combination was M<sub>2</sub>S<sub>9</sub> in which 195, 426 and 181 g plant<sup>-1</sup> of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes, respectively, were obtained. These results may be attributed to the formation of carbonic acid owing to the dissolution of CO<sub>2</sub> in soil moisture which might have loosened and flocculated the soil leading to better aeration with greater water availability and encouraging plant growth. Similar findings were also reported by Velmurugan (2002).

Table 1. Influence of humic acid and NPK fertilizers on plant height of turmeric

Treatment							Plar	it heigh	ht (cn	1)							
	90 DAS					120 DAS				150 DAS				180 DAS			
	M,	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_{_{\rm I}}$	M,	$M_3$	Mean	M,	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_{1}$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	
S,	41.8	54.0	53.0	49.6	46.4	58.5	58.2	54.3	53.9	63.3	61.3	59.5	57.2	70.8	68.5	65.5	
S <sub>2</sub>	41.8	54.0	53.4	49.7	50.7	60.0	59.5	56.7	54.8	65.5	63.3	61.2	59.5	74.0	71.5	68.3	
S,	43.8	54.5	54.0	50.8	51.3	61.6	59.0	57.3	55.0	65.8	63.4	61.4	59.9	74.7	71.3	68.6	
S <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	44.5	55.6	55.0	51.7	52.5	60.6	60.1	57.7	55.5	66.3	64.4	62.0	60.8	76.3	72.5	69.9	
$S_5$	44.8	56.0	55.2	52.0	53.0	61.2	60.5	58.2	54.7	65.6	64.5	61.6	60.6	76.4	73.1	70.0	
$S_6$	44.7	55.8	55.2	51.9	52.9	61.0	60.3	58.1	55.5	66.4	64.4	62.1	59.3	75.7	72.9	69.3	
S <sub>7</sub>	44.2	55.1	54.5	51.3	52.1	60.3	59.7	57.4	55.3	66.1	63.8	61.7	60.3	75.4	71.6	69.1	
S <sub>8</sub>	44.9	56.1	55.5	52.1	53.2	61.4	61.0	58.5	55.9	66.6	64.6	62.4	61.2	76.8	73.4	70.4	
S,	45.0	56.5	55.8	52.4	53.7	61.9	61.3	59.0	56.3	67.2	64.9	62.8	61.4	77.5	74.3	71.0	
Mean	43.9	55.3	54.6	51.3	51.7	60.7	60.0	57.5	55.2	65.8	63.8	61.6	60.0	75.3	72.1	69.1	
	M	S	MxS		M	S	MxS		M	S	MxS		М	S	MxS		
CD (P=0.05)	0.7	0.3	0.8		0.8	0.4	0.8		1.0	0.5	1.2		1.1	0.6	1.3		

M<sub>1</sub>=Control; M<sub>2</sub>=100% NPK; M<sub>3</sub>=75% NPK

 $S_1$ =Control;  $S_2$ =0.1% HA foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS;  $S_3$ =0.1 % HA as rhizome dipping;  $S_4$ =Soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_7$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_8$ = $S_2$ + $S_4$ ;  $S_9$ = $S_2$ + $S_3$ + $S_4$ 

M=Main plot; S=Sub plot; DAS=Days after sprouting

Table 2. Influence of humic acid and NPK fertilizers on production (number) of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes in turmeric

Treatment		No	o. of			No	o. of		No. of				
	motl	ner rh	izomes	plant-1	prim	ary rhi	zomes	plant-1	secondary rhizomes plant-1				
	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	
S,	1.00	3.02	2.53	2.18	2.85	9.40	7.55	6.60	4.10	14.34	13.20	10.55	
S <sub>2</sub>	1.28	3.29	2.72	2.43	3.70	10.62	8.42	7.58	5.27	15.45	13.72	11.58	
$S_3^2$	1.24	3.26	2.70	2.40	3.68	10.58	8.40	7.55	5.24	15.25	13.70	11.40	
$S_4$	1.30	3.37	2.77	2.48	3.80	10.89	9.55	8.08	5.43	16.00	14.90	12.11	
S <sub>5</sub>	1.39	3.47	2.83	2.56	3.91	11.24	10.72	8.62	5.60	16.88	15.25	12.58	
S.	1.34	3.42	2.80	2.52	3.86	11.06	9.64	8.19	5.52	16.41	15.06	12.33	
S <sub>6</sub> S <sub>7</sub>	1.28	3.35	2.73	2.45	3.71	10.75	9.49	7.98	5.35	15.75	14.81	11.97	
S <sub>8</sub>	1.43	3.50	2.88	2.60	4.00	11.59	10.84	8.81	5.69	17.10	15.42	12.74	
S	1.48	3.56	2.91	2.65	4.10	11.93	10.95	8.99	5.80	17.35	15.65	12.93	
Mean	1.30	3.36	2.76		3.73	10.90	8.51		5.33	16.06	12.97		
	M	S	MxS		М	S	MxS		M	S	MxS		
CD (P=0.05)	0.36	0.12	0.36		0.83	0.40	0.91		1.38	0.37	1.51		

M,=Control; M,=100% NPK; M,=75% NPK

 $S_1$ =Control;  $S_2$ =0.1% HA foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS;  $S_3$ =0.1 % HA as rhizome dipping;  $S_4$ =Soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_7$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_8$ = $S_7$ +  $S_4$ +  $S_4$ +  $S_4$ +  $S_4$ +  $S_5$ +

M=Main plot; S=Sub plot

Table 3. Influence of humic acid and NPK fertilizers on production (weight) of mother, primary and secondary rhizomes in turmeric

h <del>-</del>		We	ight of			Weig	ght of		Weight of secondary rhizome plant-1 (g)				
	moth		ome pla	nt <sup>-1</sup> (g)	primary	rhizoi	ne plan	t-1 (g)					
	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	M <sub>1</sub>	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	
S,	95	169	140	135	175	365	290	277	. 80	154	135	120	
S <sub>2</sub>	96	175	144	138	186	395	318	300	83	162	144	126	
$S_3$	97	176	146	140	188	397	316	300	83	161	145	126	
$S_4$	100	180	150	143	189	403	323	305	85	166	150	130	
$S_5$	103	184	154	147	199	412	309	307	89	172	155	135	
S <sub>6</sub>	101	182	152	145	196	410	314	307	87	169	153	133	
$S_7$	98	178	148	141	191	403	320	305	84	163	147	128	
S <sub>8</sub>	105	185	155	148	200	413	330	314	90	171	157	136	
S <sub>o</sub>	106	195	158	153	208	426	338	324	95	181	162	139	
	100	180	150		193	403	318		86	166	139		
	M	S	MxS		M	S	MxS		М	S	MxS		
CD (P=0.05	5)7	4	9		10	7	12		7	3	8		

M,=Control; M,=100% NPK; M,=75% NPK

 $S_1$ =Control;  $S_2$ =0.1% HA foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS;  $S_3$ =0.1% HA as rhizome dipping;  $S_4$ =Soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_6$ =Soil application of HA @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_7$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_8$ = $S_2$ + $S_4$ ;  $S_9$ = $S_2$ + $S_3$ + $S_4$ + $S_5$ = $S_5$ + $S_4$ + $S_5$ +

M=Main plot; S=Sub plot

The fresh rhizome yield of turmeric was significantly influenced by the application of HA and fertilizers (Table 4). In HA treatments,  $S_9$  (soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.1% foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS + 0.1% rhizome dipping) recorded the maximum mean

yield of fresh rhizome (21.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), followed by  $S_8$  (soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.1% foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS) (21.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_5$  (soil application of HA @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (21.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). These three treatments were significantly superior to other HA treat-

Table 4. Influence of humic acid and NPK fertilizers on yield of fresh rhizomes, curing percentage and cured rhizome yield of turmeric

Treatment	F	resh yi	eld (t l	1a-1)		Curi	ng %		Cured	rhizome	yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	M,	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean	$M_1$	$M_2$	$M_3$	Mean
S,	9.8	21.7	20.3	17.3	18.6	20.3	19.2	19.3	1824	4401	3898	3374
S <sub>2</sub>	10.5	23.5	21.6	18.5	19.0	20.9	20.0	20.0	1989	4908	4311	3736
S <sub>3</sub>	10.5	23.7	21.8	18.7	19.1	21.0	20.0	20.0	2007	4984	4364	3785
S <sub>4</sub>	11.0	26.2	22.6	19.9	19.4	21.5	20.4	20.4	2138	5640	4618	4132
S.	11.5	27.5	24.6	21.2	19.5	21.9	20.7	20.6	2249	6023	5092	4455
S <sub>5</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	11.3	26.3	23.6	20.4	19.5	21.5	20.5	20.5	2204	5663	4852	4240
S,	10.9	24.7	22.4	19.3	19.3	21.3	20.2	20.3	2104	5261	4525	3963
S <sub>8</sub>	11.6	27.8	24.9	21.4	19.6	21.9	21.0	20.8	2274	6088	5222	4528
S <sub>q</sub>	11.8	28.1	25.2	21.7	19.7	22.0	21.2	21.0	2318	6182	5342	4614
Mean	11.0	25.5	23.0		19.3	21.3	20.4		2124	5454	4695	
	М	S	MxS	,	M	S	MxS		М	S	MxS	
CD (P=0.05)	0.5	0.3	0.7		0.5	0.4	0.5		103	43	135	

M,=Control; M,=100% NPK; M,=75% NPK

 $S_1$ =Control;  $S_2$ =0.1% HA foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS;  $S_3$ =0.1 % HA as rhizome dipping;  $S_4$ =Soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil application of HA @ 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $S_5$ =Soil app

ments. Among the interactions,  $M_2S_9$  (28.1 t ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $M_2S_8$  (27.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $M_2S_5$  (27.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly superior over other combinations.

Application of HA significantly improved curing percentage and  $S_9$  (soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.1 % foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS + 0.1% rhizome dipping) and  $S_8$  (soil application of HA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + 0.1% foliar spray at 90 and 120 DAS) recorded higher mean values (21.0% and 20.8%, respectively). Among the treatment combinations,  $M_2S_9$  (22.0%)  $M_2S_8$  (21.9%) and  $M_2S_5$  (21.9%) were significantly superior to other treatment combinations.

All the HA treatments were significantly superior to no HA in improving the cured rhizome yield. Among the treatment combinations, the yield of cured rhizome was significantly higher in  $\rm M_2S_5$  (6182 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $\rm M_2S_8$  (6088 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\rm M_2S_5$  (6023 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Sellamuthu (2002) reported similar higher yield in sugarcane with the combined application of NPK + soil application of HA in *alfisol* and *inceptisol*. Schnitzer (1978) reported that the favourable effect of humic substances in stimulating growth, yield and yield attributes could be attributed to the presence of auxin

like properties in HA. In the present investigation, the stimulated growth and yield attributes were observed at lower levels of HA (10 and 20 kg ha-1) beyond which (30 and 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) a negative effect was noticed even though the growth and yield attributes were enhanced. Similar findings were reported by Rao et al. (1987) in sorghum where an increased shoot weight with application of HA was observed at 20-30 kg HA ha-1 and though the highest level of HA application (40 kg ha-1) increased the shoot weight, it showed a significant negative effect when compared to that of 30 kg ha-1 level, indicating that the HA level of 30 kg ha-1 was optimum for sorghum.

It can be concluded that, application of 100% NPK with HA applied to soil (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + HA foliar spray (0.1%) + HA rhizome dipping (0.1%) in *alfisol* boosted the yield of cured rhizome in turmeric. Among the methods of humic acid application, soil application of HA was superior in improving the fertility status as compared to foliar spray and rhizome dipping. Therefore, the treatment that received 100 % NPK + 20 kg HA ha<sup>-1</sup> as soil application would be the best treatment for adoption.

## References

- Krishnamurthy K K, Rajkannan B & Kumar K 1999 Effect of organics on rhizome yield of turmeric. Spice India 12 (9): 21.
- Rao M M, Govindasamy R & Chandrasekaran S 1987 Effect of humic acid on *Sorghum* vulgare var. CSH-9. Curr. Sci. 56: 1273-1276.
- Schnitzer M 1978 Humic substances-Chemistry and reactions. In: Schnitzer M & Khan S V (Eds.) Soil Organic Matter (pp. 1-64). Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Sadanandan A K & Hamza S 1998 Effect of organic manures on nutrient uptake, yield

- and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa L.*). In: Mathew N M & Kuruvilla Jacob (Eds.) Proc. PLACROSYM XII, Developments in Plantation Crops Research (pp. 175-181). Allied Publishers Limited, New Delhi.
- Sellamuthu K M 2002 Response of fertilizer and lignite HA on sugarcane. Ph D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Velmurugan M 2002 Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L. cv. BSR 2). MSc (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.