## Major nutrient requirement of mango-ginger (Curcuma amada Roxb.)

K R MRIDULA & B K JAYACHANDRAN

College of Agriculture

Kerala Agricultural University

Vellayani, Thiruvananthapuram - 695 552, Kerala, India.

## Abstract

Field trials conducted at Vellayani (Kerala, India) with factorial combinations of three levels each of nitrogen (15, 30 and 45 kg N ha¹), phosphorus (15, 30 and 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha¹) and potassium (30, 60 and 90 kg  $K_2O$  ha¹) revealed that N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  @ 30 : 30 : 60 kg ha¹ was optimum for obtaining maximum net returns and benefit-cost ratio in mango-ginger (*Curcuma amada*).

Key words: Curcuma amada, major nutrients, mango-ginger, yield.

Mango-ginger (Curcuma amada Roxb., Zingiberaceae) is an under exploited and lesser known spice crop. It grows wild as a perennial and is also cultivated as an annual for its thick underground rhizome that is used as a condiment and vegetable and also in indigenous and Unani systems of medicine. However agronomic trials on this crop is very scanty. The present investigation was undertaken to standardize the major nutrient requirements of mango-ginger.

The field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Vellayani (Kerala, India) from June to December 1995. The soil of the experimental area was lateritic red loam containing 158.8, 16.4 and 128.6 kg ha<sup>1</sup> of available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O respectively, with a pH of 5.2. The

experiment was laid out in  $3^3 + 1$  Factorial Randomised Block Design with 3 replications. The treatments consisted of factorial combinations of three levels each of N (15, 30 and 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $P_2O_5$  (15, 30 and 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_2O$  (30, 60 and 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) plus absolute control (no fertilizers). Farm yard manure (0.3% N, 0.3%  $P_2O_5$  and 0.2%  $K_2O$ ) @ 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was uniformly applied as basal dose, in small pits taken for planting seed rhizomes at a spacing of 30 cm x 30 cm, in beds of 1.2 m x 3.0 m size.

The fertilizers were applied in the form of urea (46% N), super phosphate (16%  $P_2O_5$ ) and muriate of potash (60%  $K_2O$ ). Full dose of P and half dose of K as basal dose, 2/3 N at 30 days after planting (DAP) and 1/3 N plus remainin K at 60 DAP were applied as per treatments.

The fertilizers were applied by broadcasting at the time of bed formation, 30 DAP and 60 DAP. Mulching was done with green leaves @ 15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> immediately after planting and was repeated after 50 days with the same quantity. Hand weeding was done at 30, 60 and 120 DAP and earthing up at 60 DAP. The crop was raised as rainfed, which received 875 mm rainfall during the cropping period. After 180 days of planting, the crop was harvested and the projected yield per ha was calculated. Net return, benefit cost ratio and return per rupee invested on fertilizers were also calculated. Response function model, represented by the quadratic response function of the form  $Y = b_0 +$ 

 $b_1N + b_2P + b_3K + b_4NP + b_5NK + b_6N^2 + b_7P^2 + b_8K^2$  where Y is the predicted yield and N, P and K are the nutrient doses, was used to predict the optimum response. The economic dose was estimated by equating the partial derivatives to the respective input/output price ratios.

The rhizome yield of mango-ginger increased by application of varying levels of nutrients (Table 1). N at 30 kg ha<sup>1</sup> gave maximum yield (28.95 t ha<sup>1</sup>) and the yield increase was 89.2% over control. Significant increase in yield with increasing levels of nitrogen was also reported in turmeric (Govind *et al.* 1990; Singh *et al.* 1992). Significant

Table 1. Effect of N, P,O, and K,O on yield and economics of mango-ganger

Nutrient level	Fresh rhizome yield (t ha ')	Economics of cultivation			
		Net returns Benef ('000 Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> ) cost ra	<del>-</del>		
Nitrogen (N kg ha-1)					
15	25.93	55.17 <b>1.</b> 55	5 44		
30	28.95	73.17 1.73	50		
45	27.47	64.15 1.64	41		
F test	$\mathbf{S}$	$\mathbf{S}$	$\mathbf{S}$		
Phosphorus (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
15	27.19	62.94 1.63	3		
30	28.59	71,07 1.70	) 47		
45	26.56	58.49 1.58	34		
F test	S	S S	S		
Potassium (K <sub>2</sub> O kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )					
30	25.55	53.09 1.53	} <sup>\$</sup> 45		
60	30.04	79.69 1.79	54		
90	26.76	59.70 1.59	36		
F test	$\mathbf{S}$	S S	$\mathbf{S}$		
SE	0.25	1.48 0.02	1.12		
CD (P=0.05)	0.70	4.20 0.04	3.21		
Control	15.30	7.18 0.93	g medicine i kristing libraria		
Treatment		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	grafts of the first production of the second		
vs	S	S S	Control of the second		
Control	• · ·				

Table 2. Effect of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O combination on yield and economics of cultivation of mange-ginger\_\_\_\_\_\_

N : P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> : (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>2</sub> O	Cost of culti- vation (A)	Fresh rhizome yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross returns (B) ('000 Rs ha-1)	Net returns (B-A) ('000 Rs ha-1)	Bene- fit cost ratio B/A	Return per rupee invested on ferti-
	('0	000 Rs ha-1)	(0 114 )		(000 165 116 )	Luno Din	lizers (Rs.)
15:15:30		99.76	21.42	128.49	28.73	1.28	38
15:15:60		100.07	25.57	165.44	65.37	1.65	61
15:15:90		100.38	26.29	157.72	57.34	1.57	42
15:30:30		100.09	27.30	167.56	67.47	1.67	62
15:30:60		100.39	29.37	176.19	75.79	1.75	54
15:30:90		100.70	27.44	164.61	63.95	1.63	37
L5:45:30		100.42	23.29	139.79	39.38	1.39	28
15:45:60		100.72	26.88	161.27	60.54	1.60	35
15:45:90		100.00	23.16	138.97	37.94	1.39	38
30:15:30		99.89	27.74	166.45	66.55	1.67	74
30.15:60		100.20	30.65	183.92	83.71	1.83	70
30:15:90		100.51	28.68	172.06	71.55	1.71	47
30:30:30		100.22	23.35	140.07	39.85	1.39	33
30:30:60		100.53	33.92	203.49	102.96	2.02	67
30:30:90	٠	100.84	26.19	157.17	56.33	1.56	31
30:45:30	•	100.55	27.07	162.41	61.86	1.62	40
30:45:60		100.86	33.73	202.39	101.54	2.01	55
80:45:90		101.16	29.23	175.37	74.21	1.73	34
5:15:30		100.02	26.47	158.82	58.79	1.59	57
15:15:60		100.33	29.24	175.46	75.13	1.75	56
15:15:90		100.64	26.65	159.93	59.28	1.59	36
15:30:30		100.35	27.89	167.37	67.02	1.67	50
15:30:60		100.66	32.03	192.19	91.53	1.91	55
15:30:90		100.97	29.27	175.64	74.68	1.74	38
15:45:30		100.68	24.80	148.81	48.13	1.48	29
5.45:60	-	100.99	26.95	161.67	60.49	1.60	. 31
15:45:90		101.29	23.89	143.38	42.09	1.42	18
0:0:0		99.00	15.30	91.82	7.18	0.93	<u>-</u>
T test		-	$\mathbf{s}$	, <del>-</del>	S	S	S
SE		-	0.74	-	4.40	0.04	3.38
CD (P=0.0	)5)	_	2.1	_	12.61	0.13	9.92

Cost of cultivation excluding treatments = Rs. 99,000 Cost of inputs:

Seed - Rs. 8 kg<sup>-1</sup>
Nitrogen - Rs. 7.38 kg<sup>-1</sup>
Phosphorus - Rs. 20.31 kg<sup>-1</sup>

Plant protection chemicals Labour charges

Rs. 3000 ha<sup>-1</sup>
 Rs. 84 per head

Potassium - Rs. 8.85 kg<sup>1</sup> FYM - Rs. 350 t<sup>1</sup> Price of fresh mango-ginger

- Rs. 6 kg<sup>-1</sup>

increase in yield over control was noted at all P levels and 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum yield (28.59 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similar results were also reported by Gupta *et al.* (1990) in turmeric. Potassium application also increased the fresh rhizome yield and 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum value (30.03 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The yield increase was 66.96% over control. In turmeric, Muthuvel *et al.* (1989) reported that 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as adequate to get good yield.

The NP, NK and NPK interaction effects significantly influenced the yield of mango-ginger. Combined application of 30 kg N with 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and 30 kg N with 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum yield among the respective combinations. Among NPK treatment combinations, 30:30:60 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> produced maximum fresh rhizome yield (33.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with 30:45:60 and 45:30:60 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). This can be attributed to the favourable N/K ratio of 1:2 leading to better translocation of photosynthates to the rhizomes. This was in conformity with the findings of Sadanandan & Hamza (1996) in turmeric.

Considering the economics of cultivation, the application of 30 kg N, 30 kg  $P_2O_5$  and 60 kg  $K_2O$  ha alone and in combination produced maximum net returns and benefit cost ratio. The return per rupee invested on fertilizers was higher with individual application

of 30 kg N, 15 kg  $P_2O_5$  and 60 kg  $K_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. The combination 30:15:30 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded maximum return per rupee invested on fertilizers. The physical and economic optimum dose for high yields were worked out to be 33:28:63 kg N,  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2).

## References

- Govind S, Gupta P N & Ramachandran 1990 Response of N P levels on growth and yield components of turmeric in acid soils of Meghalaya. Indian J. Hort. 47: 79-84.
- Gupta C R, Singh P N & Singh U K 1990 Note on response of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) to phosphorus in acid soils. Veg. Sci. 17: 198-200.
- Muthuvel P, Rajakanna B, Selvaraj K V, Kulandaivelu R & Chamy A 1989 Irrigation, nitrogen and potash requirement of turmeric. South Indian Hort. 37: 61-63.
- Sadanandan A K & Hamza S 1996 Response of four turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) varieties to nutrients in an oxisol on yield and curcumin content. J. Plantn. Crops 24: 120-125.
- Singh V B, Swer B & Singh P P 1992 Influence of nitrogen and potassium on yield and quality of turmeric cv. Lakadong. Indian Cocoa Arecanut Spices J. 15: 106-108.