Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 8 (2) : 179-183 (1999)

Studies on nutritional requirement for aswagandh (*Withania somnifera* Dunal) in Shevroy hills of Tamil Nadu, India

D MUTHUMANICKAM & G BALAKRISHNAMURTHY

Horticultural Research Station Yercaud - 636 602, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

Nutritional trials were conducted at Yercaud (Tamil Nadu, India) with the objective of increasing root yield and to determine the optimum nutrition level in aswagandh (*Withania somnifera*). The results indicated that a dose of 40, 60, 20 kg N, P_2O_5 and $K_2O/$ ha (entire dose of P_2O_5 , K_2O and 50 % N basal + 50% N top dressing) recorded the highest dry root yield of 770.37 kg ha⁻¹. There was also improvement in the length of roots, number of root primaries and total withanolides content due to the nutrient application.

Key words : aswagandh, nutrition, Withania somnifera.

Aswagandh (Withania somnifera Dunal) is widely used for the preparation of indigenous drugs. The leaf and root extract of the plant contain withanolides which exhibit marked activity against various diseases especially, bacterial infections. Among the several alkaloids present in aswagandh, withanine and somniferine are considered to be important and are used in the treatment of carbuncles, ulcer and painful swellings. To determine the yield potential of aswagandh, a nutritional trial was conducted at Shevroy hills of Tamil Nadu, India, which is situated at elevations ranging from 1200 to 1500 m above MSL and the annual rainfall ranging from 1300 to 1600 mm.

The study was carried out at Horticul-

tural Research Station, Yercaud during 1996 and 1997. The soil is sandy clay loam in texture, with a pH of 5.58 and electrical conductivity of 0.121 d Sm⁻¹. The available nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium contents of the soil were 212 kg, 8.66 kg and 166.66 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. The experimental site was thoroughly ploughed and beds of 7.2 m² size were prepared.

Thrity day old seedlings were planted in the main field with a spacing of 45 cm x 45 cm. The treatments imposed were N (20, 40, 60 kg ha⁻¹), P_2O_5 (60, 80, 100 kg ha⁻¹) and K₂O (20, 40, 60 kg ha⁻¹) and their combinations. The treatments were replicated twice in a factorial randomised block design. At the time of planting, 50% N and entire dose of P_2O_5 and K₂O

| No. | Treatment (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | Available | soil nutrients (kg ha-1) To | | Total nutrients in shoot (%) | | Total nutrients in root (%) | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| | N | P,05 | K,0 | Nitrogen | Phosphoru | s Potassium | Nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium | Nitrogen | Phosphorus | Potassium |
| T1 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 222.3 | 9.16 | 177.5 | 1.54 | 0.70 | 1.26 | 1.29 | 0.63 | 1.19 |
| T2 | 20 | 60 | 40 | 321.6 | 14.0 | 230.8 | 2.57 | 1.19 | 2.00 | 2.36 | 1.14 | 1.86 |
| T3 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 315.3 | 13.8 | 223.0 | 2.49 | 1.14 | 1.93 | 2.27 | 1.11 | 1.83 |
| T4 · | 20 | 80 | 20 | 301.3 | 12.3 | 198.3 | 2.18 | 0.99 | 1.78 | 2.00 | 0.92 | 1.63 |
| T5 | 20 | 80 | 40 | 294.0 | 12.8 | 208.3 | 2.39 | 1.02 | 1.77 | 2.09 | 0.99 | 1.73 |
| T6 | 20 | 80 | 60 | 288.0 | 12.5 | 216.6 | 2.41 | 1.09 | 1.90 | 2.18 | 1.04 | 1.79 |
| T 7 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 293.3 | 12.3 | 213.3 | 2.38 | 1.03 | 1.83 | 2.13 | 1.00 | 1.78 |
| T8 - | 20 | 100 | 40 | 283.3 | 12.5 | 202.5 | 2.25 | 0.92 | 1.79 | 2.05 | 0.90 | 1.48 |
| T9 | 20 | 100 | 60 | 275.6 | 12.6 | 222.5 | 2.26 | 0.98 | 1.74 | 2.01 | 0.94 | 1.67 |
| T10 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 370.6 | 17.1 | 266.6 | 3.10 | 1.46 | 2.44 | 2.85 | 1.32 | 2.27 |
| T11 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 355.3 | 16.1 | 255.8 | 3.01 | 1.39 | 2.30 | 2.76 | 1.24 | 2.17 |
| T12 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 352.0 | 15.5 | 252.8 | 2.95 | 1.32 | 2.27 | 2.70 | 1.22 | 2.10 |
| T13 | 40 | 80 | 20 | 343.6 | 15.0 | 249.1 | 2.87 | 1.30 | 2.22 | 2.61 | 1.20 | 2.07 |
| T14 | 40 | 80 | 40 | 336.3 | 14.3 | 243.3 | 2.74 | 1.29 | 2.18 | 2.53 | 1,19 | 2.04 |
| T15 | 40 | 80 | 60 | 333.0 | 14.1 | 241.6 | 2.66 | 1.25 | 2.08 | 2.46 | 1.18 | 1.97 |
| T16 | 40 | 100 | 20 | 325.0 | 13.5 | 238.3 | 2.37 | 1.22 | 2.05 | 2.39 | 1.13 | 1.91 |
| T17 | 40 | 100 | 40 | 287.6 | 12.8 | 211.6 | 2.17 | 1.00 | 1.75 | 1.88 | 0.96 | 1.63 |
| T18 | 40 | 100 | 60 | 266.6 | 11.8 | 207.5 | 2.11 | 0.92 | 1.68 | 1.85 | 0.88 | 1.61 |
| T19 | 60 · | 60 | 20 | 263.6 | 11.1 | 203.3 | 2.02 | 0.94 | 1.64 | 1.79 | 0.89 | 1.51 |
| T20 | 60 | 60 | 40 · · | 253.6 | 10.6 | 198.3 | 1.93 | 0.92 | 1.60 | 1.67 | 0.84 | 1.48 |
| T21 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 237.3 | 11.3 | 195.8 | 1.90 | 0.91 | 1.59 | 1.62 | 0.87 | 1.45 |
| T22 | 60 | 80 | 20 | 254.6 | 10.5 | 190.8 | 1.83 | 0.86 | 1.46 | 1.58 | 0.82 | 1.43 |
| T23 | 60 | 80 | 40 | 249.6 | 10.5 | 184.1 | 1.80 | 0.85 | 1.42 | 1.54 | 0.79 | 1.39 |
| T24 | 60 | 80 · | 60 | 244.6 | 9.5 | 182.6 | 1.74 | 0.86 | 1.43 | 1.51 | 0.86 | 1.37 |
| T25 | 60 | 100 | 20 | 246.3 | 9.3 | 175.8 | 1.66 | 0.78 | 1.41 | 1.47 | 0.77 | 1.34 |
| T26 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 242.0 | 9.0 | 172.5 | 1.65 | 0.79 | 1.37 | 1.43 | 0.73 | 1.28 |
| T27 | 60 | 100 | 60 | 239.3 | 8.8 | 160.8 | 1.23 | 0.77 | 1.33 | 1.36 | 0.71 | 1.24 |
| T28 . | Contro | 1 | | 174.3 | 3.1 | 102.5 | 1.06 | 0.38 | 1.06 | 1.13 | 0.57 | 0.80 |
| | S1 | | 1. S. | 258.96 | 12.3 | 196.87 | 2.043 | 0.969 | 1.831 | 2.107 | 1.026 | 1.721 |
| | S2 | | · · · · | 326.00 | 12.8 | 228.03 | 2.385 | 1.142 | 1.913 | 2.098 | 1.041 | 1.799 |
| | S3 | | | 273.00 | 10.8 | 200.44 | 1.992 | 0.833 | 1.540 | 1.752 | 0.761 | 1.460 |
| | | | | CD | CD | CD | CD | CD | CD | CD | CD | CD |
| Treatment (T) | | | 4.42 | 0.87 | 3.36 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.031 | 0.020 | 0.022 | |
| | Stages (S) | | 1.44 | 0.28 | 1.09 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.008 | 0.006 | 0.007 | |
| | TxS | | · | 7.66 | 1.51 | 5.87 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.039 |

Table 1. Effect of various nutrient levels on available soil nutrient content in shoot and root of aswagandh

180

| No. | Treatment | (kg ha ^{.1}) | Plant height | Length of root (cm) | No. of root primaries | Dry root weight | Total withanolides |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | N P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | (cm) | | /plant | (kg ha ^{.1}) | content (%) |
| T1 | 20 60 | 20 | 18.01 | 14.00 | 1.50 | 439.50 | 0.23 |
| T2 | 20 60 | 40 | 25.61 | 23.30 | 3.00 | 682.96 | 0.38 |
| T 3 | 20 60 | 60 | 25.30 | 23.35 | 2.50 | 680.98 | 0.36 |
| Т4 | 20 80 | 20 | 22.88 | 20.00 | 2.50 | 647.90 | 0.29 |
| T5 | 20 80 | 40 | 24.16 | 22.75 | 3.00 | 677.03 | 0.36 |
| Т6 | 20 80 | 60 | 24.78 | 21.30 | 3.00 | 670.61 | 0.34 |
| T7 | 20 100 | 20 | 24.38 | 21.05 | 2.50 | 667.16 | 0.33 |
| Т8 | 20 100 | 40 | 23.78 | 20.85 | 2.50 | 660.24 | 0.34 |
| T 9 | 20 100 | 60 | 23.16 | 20.20 | 2.50 | 653.82 | 0.29 |
| T10 | 40 60 | 20 | 30.40 | 26.00 | 4.50 | 770.37 | 0.49 |
| T11 | 40 60 | 40 | 28.28 | 25.60 | 4.00 | 746.17 | 0.42 |
| T12 | 40 60 | 60 | 24.48 | 25.45 | 4.00 | 722,96 | 0.41 |
| T13 | 40 80 | 20 | 27.00 | 25.15 | 3.50 | 705.18 | 0.38 |
| T14 | 40 80 | 40 | 26.83 | 25.05 | 3.50 | 708.64 | 0.38 |
| T15 | 40 80 | 60 | 26.45 | 24.08 | 3.00 | 707.16 | 0.37 |
| T16 | 40 100 | 20 | 26.03 | 24.75 | 3.00 | 694.32 | 0.38 |
| T1 7 | 40 100 | 40 | 22.68 | 19.70 | 2.00 | 644.44 | 0.27 |
| T18 | 40 100 | 60 | 22.41 | 19.05 | 2.50 | 631.60 | 0.29 |
| T19 | 60 60 | 20 | 21.65 | 18.70 | 2.00 | 627.16 | 0.28 |
| T20 | 60 60 | 40 | 21.35 | 18.45 | 2.00 | 622.71 | 0.27 |
| T21 | 60 60 | 60 | 21.43 | 17.30 | 2.50 | 619.25 | 0.27 |
| T22 | 60 80 | 20 | 21.41 | 17.20 | 3.00 | 611.85 | 0.27 |
| T23 | 60 80 | 40 | 20.90 | 17.10 | 2.50 | 603.95 | 0.28 |
| T24 | 60 80 | 60 | 20.70 | 16.20 | 2.00 | 599.01 | 0.25 |
| T25 | 60 100 | 20 | 20.48 | 16.15 | 2.00 | 594.56 | 0.26 |
| T26 | 60 100 | 40 | 20.30 | 15.85 | 2.00 | 509.62 | 0.26 |
| T27 | 60 100 | 60 | 20.08 | 15.35 | 2.00 | 582.71 | 0.24 |
| <u>T28</u> | Control | | <u>9.15</u> | 6.20 | 1.00 | 118.42 | 0.10 |
| | $\mathbf{S1}$ | · . | 8.76 | | | | |
| | S2 | | 24.17 | · · · | | | · · |
| | S3 | | 36.42 | | | | |
| | | | CD | CD | | ÇD | CD |
| | Treatment (T) |) | 0.749 | 1.02 | • • • | 15.85 | 0.04 |
| | Stages (S) | | 0.245 | NS | | NS | NS |
| | T x S | | 1.297 | NS | <i>i</i> | NS | NS |

Table 2. Effect of nutrient application on yield attributes and withanolides content in aswagandh

were applied as basal dose. The remaining 50% of N was applied as top dressing 30 days after the basal application. Soil and plant samples (shoot and root) were collected 15 days after basal application (S1), top dressing of nitrogen (S2) and at the time of harvest (S3). The samples were analysed for N, P and K contents (Tandon 1993) and the root samples were analysed for total withanolides content (Mishra 1989). Biometric observations were recorded at the time of harvest.

Significant differences were observed among the treatments both in soil nutrient status and nutrient content in shoots and roots (Table 1). Among the different treatments, application of 40, 60 and 20 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ respectively, recorded the highest amount of available N. P and K contents of 370.6, 17.1 and 266.6 kg ha⁻¹ respectively, followed by the treatment application of 40, 60, 40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The above treatment also recorded the highest total N, P and K contents of 3.10%, 1.45% and 2.24% in shoots and 2.85%, 1.32% and 2.27% in roots.

Among the stages, 15 days after top dressing of nitrogen and potassium fertilizer (S2) recorded the highest amount of available N, P and K_2O contents of 326.0, 12.8 and 228.0 kg ha⁻¹ respectively, followed by 15 days basal application of fertilizers (S1). The above stage also recorded the highest total N, P and K contents of 2.38%, 1.14% and 1.83% in shoots and 2.09%, 1.04% and 1.72% in roots.

Application of nutrients also had significant effects on plant height, yield attributes and root yield (Table 2). The treatment T_{10} (application of 40, 60, 20

Muthumanickam & Balakrishnamurthy

kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O ha⁻¹) registered the highest plant height (48.90 cm), length of root (26.00 cm/plant), number of root primaries per plant (4.50) and root yield (770.37 kg ha⁻¹).

The increase of available nutrients in soil may have helped the plant to uptake nutrients. A concomittant increase or decrease of nutrients in shoot and root depends upon the availability of nutrient in soil which is essential for better yield (Singh & Sarkar 1986). The interactions between various nutrient levels are known to influence N, P and K contents in both shoot and root (Roy & Seth 1971). These levels are known to increase plant height, number of root primaries and length of roots by way of better availability of nutrients in soil in aswagandh (Nigam *et al.* 1984).

The total withanolides content was also significantly affected by various treatments. The treatment T_{10} (application of 40, 60, 20 kg N, P_2O_5 and K_2O ha⁻¹) recorded the highest amount of total withanolides (0.47%) in root followed by the treatment T_{11} . In aswagandh, application of nutrients is known to increase withanolides content in root (Nigam *et al.* 1991).

References

- Mishra S N 1989 Analytical methods for anlyses of total alkaloids in root of *Withania* spp. Proc. All India Workshop on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Faizabad, 4-7 December 1989.
- Nigam K B, G S Rawat & Bhaywat Prasad 1984 Effect of methods of sowing, plant density and fertility level of aswagandh (*Withania* somnifera Dunal). South Indian Hort. 32 : 356-359.

Nutritional requirement of aswagandh

- Nigam K B, H Patidar, V S Kandalkar & M A Pothen 1991 A new promising prerelease variety, 'WS-20' of aswagandh (*Withania somnifera*). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 61 : 581-582.
- Roy R N & J Seth 1971 Nutrient uptake and quality of radish as influenced by levels of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium and methods of their application. Indian J. Hort. 28 : 144-149.
- Singh K P & M C Sarkar 1986 Effect of fertilizer, phosphorous and farmyard manure on inorgonic phosphorous transformation in soils. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 31 : 209-212.
- Tandon H L S 1993 Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plants and Water. Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organisation, New Delhi.