

## Effect of major nutrients on yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) intercropped in coconut garden<sup>1</sup>

K Ajithkumar <sup>2</sup> and B K Jayachandran

College of Agriculture, Vellayani  
Thiruvananthapuram – 695 522, Kerala, India.

Received 25 October 2000; Revised 15 October 2001; Accepted 12 November 2001.

### Abstract

The effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on the yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) grown as an intercrop in a coconut garden was studied in a field experiment conducted at Coconut Research Station, Balaramapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. Significant increases in leaf production, leaf area index and dry matter production, crop growth rate and uptake of nutrients were resulted from higher dose of fertilizers. Fertilizer recommendation for ginger grown under open condition (N:P:K @ 75:50:50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) is insufficient for ginger intercropped in coconut gardens. A higher fertilizer dose of N:P:K @ 150:100:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> is necessary for increasing the yield of ginger grown as an intercrop in coconut garden.

**Key words:** ginger, inorganic fertilizers, intercropping, yield, *Zingiber officinale*.

**Abbreviations:** DAP : Days after planting; CGR : Crop growth rate; DMP : Dry matter production

### Introduction

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) is one of the important spices cultivated in Kerala. It is cultivated in an area of 14568 ha with a production and productivity of 49946 tonnes and 3428 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (FIB 2001). Possibility of area expansion under monocropping in Kerala is limited. Therefore, utilizing the interspace in coconut gardens is a feasible approach to achieve the target production at 12 per cent annual growth rate in ginger (Chadha & Rethinam 1994). However, information on the influence of inorganic nutrients on growth parameters, uptake of nutrients and rhizome yield of ginger grown as intercrop in coconut garden is scanty. The

investigation was undertaken to study the effect of different levels of N, P and K on growth and rhizome yield of ginger grown as an intercrop in coconut garden.

### Materials and methods

The field experiment was conducted at Coconut Research Station, Balaramapuram, Kerala during 1996-97 and 1997-98. The soil of the experimental site was red loam with a pH 4.5 and low in available N (195 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (24.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and low in K<sub>2</sub>O (94.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The ginger cv. Rio-de-Janeiro was used for the study. Farm yard manure @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of cowdung and green leaf mulch @ 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied as per the recommendation (KAU 1996).

<sup>1</sup>Part of the Ph.D thesis submitted by the senior author to Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Kerala.

<sup>2</sup>Present address : Farming Systems Research Station, Kottarakkara, Kerala, India.

The experiment comprising three levels each of nitrogen ( $N_0=0$ ,  $N_1=75$ ,  $N_2=50$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus ( $P_0=0$ ,  $P_1=50$ ,  $P_2=100$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium ( $K_0=0$ ,  $K_1=50$ ,  $K_2=100$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was laid out in 3 x 3 factorial experiment in randomized block design and replicated thrice. For all treatments, full dose of  $P_2O_5$  and half dose of  $K_2O$  were given as basal dressing, half the dose of N at 60 days after planting and remaining portions of N and  $K_2O$  were applied at 120 days after planting. Leaf number, leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter production (DMP) were recorded at 180 days after planting. LAI was computed using the formula suggested by Williams (1946). Crop growth rate (CGR) was determined by the method of Watson (1958). Nutrient contents in leaf, pseudostem, rhizome and root were estimated by adopting the procedures suggested by Jackson (1973). Total uptake was estimated by multiplying nutrient content and total dry weight and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The crop was harvested at 240 days after planting and rhizome yield (dry) was recorded.

## Results and discussion

### Number of leaves

Increasing rate of N up to 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $P_2O_5$  up to 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased number of leaves per plant (Table 1). However, the increasing rate of  $K_2O$  had no significant effect on leaf production. Among the interactions (Table 2), when N was applied at 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, significantly higher number of leaves was obtained with 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. In general, higher levels of N, P and K enhanced the production of leaves. Enhanced vegetative growth as a result of higher levels fertilizers was reported in ginger grown under artificial shade (Ancy & Jayachandran 1996).

### Leaf Area Index (LAI)

Nitrogen at 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was found to increase the LAI of ginger (Table 1). Significantly higher LAI was recorded at 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> each of  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$ . The interactions indicated that if N is increased there should be a proportionate

**Table 1.** Effect of N, P and K on number of leaves, leaf area index and dry matter production in ginger at 180 days after planting

Treatment	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>		Leaf area index		DMP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	
	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98
$N_0$	109.71	111.97	9.30	9.71	32.89	31.46
$N_1$	151.75	152.32	12.85	13.39	53.47	53.87
$N_2$	159.96	153.03	12.94	13.31	81.92	80.15
F test	S	S	S	S	S	S
$P_0$	128.78	135.64	10.82	11.83	52.11	51.29
$P_1$	142.53	137.94	11.83	12.07	54.61	54.42
$P_2$	150.10	143.74	12.43	12.52	61.56	59.77
F test	S	NS	S	NS	S	S
$K_0$	138.19	142.24	11.55	12.35	51.93	52.17
$K_1$	137.99	134.76	11.47	11.82	57.34	55.87
$K_2$	145.24	140.32	12.07	12.24	59.01	57.44
F test	NS	NS	S	NS	S	NS
SEm ±	3.106	4.404	0.18	0.37	1.332	1.599
CD (0.05)	8.798	12.476	0.51	1.04	3.773	4.530

S = Significant; NS = Non significant; DMP = Dry matter production.

**Table 2.** Effect of N, P and K interactions on number of leaves, leaf area index and dry matter production in ginger at 180 days after planting

Nutrient level	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>		Leaf area index		DMP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	
	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	102.30	115.28	9.05	9.96	30.52	28.86
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	118.11	116.53	9.89	10.13	31.64	29.66
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	108.71	104.09	8.95	9.03	36.51	36.03
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	134.38	144.67	11.66	12.73	47.86	50.14
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	160.48	157.34	13.65	13.93	52.71	52.67
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	160.39	154.96	13.24	13.52	59.84	58.80
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	149.67	146.98	11.76	12.79	77.97	75.03
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	149.00	139.93	11.97	12.16	79.47	80.93
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	181.20	172.18	15.10	15.00	88.32	84.48
F test	S	S	S	S	NS	NS
N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	112.29	122.29	9.85	10.65	30.04	29.13
N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	107.87	107.91	9.02	9.35	34.26	32.50
N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	108.97	105.70	9.02	9.12	34.38	32.74
N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	143.93	146.36	11.94	12.70	50.68	52.46
N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	151.87	152.57	13.23	13.58	55.42	54.27
N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	159.44	158.04	13.39	13.91	54.31	54.87
N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	158.33	158.07	12.85	13.71	75.06	74.90
N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	154.22	143.80	12.17	12.54	82.36	80.83
N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	167.31	157.22	13.81	13.68	88.34	84.72
F test	NS	NS	S	NS	NS	NS
P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	140.01	148.51	11.15	12.88	46.79	50.18
P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	130.47	141.29	11.21	12.20	55.84	52.45
P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	115.87	117.12	10.10	10.39	53.71	51.22
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	128.32	132.38	10.77	11.49	52.57	50.72
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	143.04	132.32	11.88	11.84	56.80	57.84
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	156.22	149.11	12.85	12.88	54.46	54.70
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	146.22	145.82	12.71	12.69	56.42	55.59
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	140.44	130.67	11.33	11.43	59.39	57.30
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	163.63	154.73	13.26	13.43	68.87	66.41
F test	S	S	S	S	S	NS
SEm ±	5.379	7.629	0.31	0.64	2.307	2.769
CD (0.05)	15.238	21.609	0.89	1.80	6.535	-

S = Significant; NS = Non significant; DMP = Dry matter production.

increase in P and K for better LAI (Table 2). Similar finding was reported when ginger was grown under shaded condition (Ancy & Jayachandran 1996).

#### Dry Matter Production (DMP)

Higher levels of N and P significantly increased DMP (Table 1). The interactions indicated that if N is increased there should be a proportionate increase in P and K for better DMP (Table 2). Higher levels of N produced a significant effect on DMP (Johnson 1978). A general trend of increase in DMP with increase in fertilizer dose, when ginger was grown under open and low shade levels (25 and 50 per cent), was reported by Ancy (1992).

#### Crop Growth Rate (CGR)

During the first phase (60 to 120 DAP) of crop growth, increase in levels of N and  $P_2O_5$  significantly increased the CGR (Table 3). During the second phase (120 to 180 DAP), the effect of N was significant on CGR during both

**Table 3.** Effect of N, P and K on crop growth rate

Treatment	Crop growth rate ( $g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ )			
	60 to 120 DAP		120 to 180 DAP	
	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98
$N_0$	0.25	0.23	0.34	0.33
$N_1$	0.32	0.35	0.71	0.70
$N_2$	0.43	0.44	1.19	1.16
F test	S	S	S	S
$P_0$	0.29	0.30	0.70	0.68
$P_1$	0.32	0.33	0.73	0.72
$P_2$	0.39	0.38	0.81	0.79
F test	S	S	S	NS
$K_0$	0.33	0.33	0.67	0.67
$K_1$	0.33	0.32	0.79	0.77
$K_2$	0.35	0.36	0.79	0.74
F test	NS	NS	S	NS
SEm $\pm$	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04
CD (0.05)	0.03	0.05	0.09	0.10

S = Significant; NS = Non significant; DAP = Days after planting

**Table 4.** Effect of N, P and K interactions on crop growth rate

Treatment	Crop growth rate ( $g\ m^{-2}\ day^{-1}$ )			
	60 to 120 DAP		120 to 180 DAP	
	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98
$N_0 P_0$	0.24	0.24	0.31	0.27
$N_0 P_1$	0.26	0.23	0.31	0.30
$N_0 P_2$	0.25	0.22	0.41	0.43
$N_1 P_0$	0.24	0.25	0.65	0.70
$N_1 P_1$	0.30	0.37	0.70	0.65
$N_1 P_2$	0.43	0.42	0.76	0.75
$N_2 P_0$	0.40	0.42	1.13	1.08
$N_2 P_1$	0.41	0.39	1.17	1.22
$N_2 P_2$	0.48	0.50	1.26	1.17
F test	S	S	NS	NS
$N_0 K_0$	0.23	0.22	0.29	0.29
$N_0 K_1$	0.27	0.25	0.36	0.34
$N_0 K_2$	0.24	0.21	0.38	0.37
$N_1 K_0$	0.31	0.33	0.66	0.69
$N_1 K_1$	0.31	0.32	0.77	0.75
$N_1 K_2$	0.35	0.39	0.69	0.67
$N_2 K_0$	0.44	0.44	1.04	1.04
$N_2 K_1$	0.40	0.38	1.24	1.23
$N_2 K_2$	0.46	0.48	1.29	1.19
F test	NS	NS	NS	NS
$P_0 K_0$	0.30	0.31	0.58	0.65
$P_0 K_1$	0.29	0.28	0.81	0.75
$P_0 K_2$	0.29	0.31	0.71	0.65
$P_1 K_0$	0.32	0.32	0.67	0.64
$P_1 K_1$	0.33	0.31	0.78	0.83
$P_1 K_2$	0.33	0.35	0.73	0.70
$P_2 K_0$	0.36	0.36	0.75	0.73
$P_2 K_1$	0.37	0.36	0.77	0.74
$P_2 K_2$	0.43	0.42	0.91	0.89
F test	NS	NS	NS	NS
SEm $\pm$	0.02	0.03	0.053	0.064
CD (0.05)	0.06	0.09	-	-

S = Significant; NS = Non significant; DAP = Days after planting

**Table 5.** Effect of nutrients on uptake of N, P and K by plants and dry rhizome yield of ginger

Treatment	N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Yield (dry kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (pooled mean)
	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98	1996-97	1997-98	
N <sub>0</sub>	64.44	43.88	11.63	13.57	80.76	82.34	2245.59
N <sub>1</sub>	80.07	87.22	11.98	13.23	85.67	82.57	2896.39
N <sub>2</sub>	103.06	108.5	12.21	13.65	88.54	83.89	3087.28
F test	S	S	S	NS	S	NS	S
P <sub>0</sub>	81.93	78.96	8.93	9.45	83.88	83.90	2602.24
P <sub>1</sub>	82.96	80.44	11.34	15.11	84.52	82.90	2712.40
P <sub>2</sub>	82.67	80.27	15.54	15.89	86.57	82.01	2914.80
F test	NS	NS	S	S	NS	NS	S
K <sub>0</sub>	82.25	79.28	11.76	13.33	76.43	54.23	2620.00
K <sub>1</sub>	83.01	79.68	12.19	13.52	80.07	89.53	2730.61
K <sub>2</sub>	82.30	80.72	11.86	13.60	98.47	105.04	2878.65
F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S
SEm ±	0.795	1.009	0.159	0.126	1.065	1.370	37.44
CD (0.05)	2.252	2.859	0.451	0.358	3.016	3.882	105.38

S = Significant; NS = Non significant

years of study. In general, high levels of P and K<sub>2</sub>O exerted marked influence on CGR. N x P interaction was significant during both the years (Table 4). It is also evident that at higher levels of N, potassium enhanced the CGR.

#### *Uptake of nutrients*

The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was significantly increased with higher rate of application (Table 5). Higher uptake of nutrients under N 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K<sub>2</sub>O 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to better availability of nutrients, which reflected in better growth and rhizome yield. Since ginger is an exhaustive crop, it responds greatly to manure application (Sushama & Jose 1994). Higher K content in the leaf was found to be associated with higher yield in ginger (Muralidharan *et al.* 1974). No significant interaction was observed on the uptake of nutrients.

#### *Yield*

Higher levels of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O and its interactions significantly influenced rhizome yield (Tables 5 & 6). Enhanced nitrogen application, from 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased rhizome yield to 290 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar findings have been reported by Muralidharan *et al.* (1974), Lee *et al.* (1981) and Ancy & Jayachandran (1996). Application of phosphorus significantly increased the rhizome yield. Enhanced P application, from 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased rhizome yield to 202 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Aiyadurai (1966) reported increase in yield due to higher rates of P application. The increase in fresh rhizome yield due to enhancement of K from 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was to the tune of 148 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Positive influence of K application on increasing rhizome yield was reported by Singh *et al.* (1993). Sushama & Jose (1994) reviewed the nutrition of ginger and grouped

Table 6. Effect of interactions on yield of ginger

Nutrient level	Yield (dry kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Nutrient level	Yield (dry kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Nutrient level	Yield (dry kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	2204.17	N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2138.94	P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2506.06
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	2262.22	N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2304.33	P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2634.11
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	2770.39	N <sub>0</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	2293.50	P <sub>0</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	2666.56
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	2728.17	N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2776.44	P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2675.78
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	2980.39	N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2923.39	P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2777.50
N <sub>1</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	2980.61	N <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	2989.33	P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	2684.94
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>0</sub>	2874.39	N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2944.61	P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>0</sub>	2678.14
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>1</sub>	2895.61	N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2964.11	P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	2780.22
N <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	3491.83	N <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	3353.11	P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	3284.44
F test	S	F test	S	F test	S
SEm ±	64.86				
CD (0.05)	182.52				

S = Significant

ginger as an exhaustive crop which responds greatly to manure application. Interaction effects clearly indicated that when N is increased, there should be a proportionate increase in P and K for obtaining higher yield. A nutrient trial conducted under artificial shade revealed the necessity of increasing fertilizer dose to 150 per cent of the existing rate (Ancy & Jayachandran 1996) and higher uptake of N and K under shade was also reported in ginger (KAU 1992). Higher uptake of nutrients under shaded condition increased growth and rhizome yield. Several reports indicated an increase in rhizome yield when ginger was grown under artificial shade (Susan Varughese 1989; Jayachandran *et al.* 1991) and intercropped in coconut garden (Ravisanker & Muthuswami 1988; KAU 1992). Application of higher levels of N, P and K to intercropped ginger favoured increase in leaf number, LAI, DMP and CGR, which might have resulted in higher yield. The study highlights that the existing NPK recommendation for ginger for open condition is insufficient for ginger grown as intercrop in coconut garden. A fertilizer dose of 150 kg N : 100 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> : 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> can be adopted for ginger grown as an intercrop in coconut garden.

## References

- Aiyadurai S G 1966 A Review of Research on Spices and Cashewnut in India. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Ancy J 1992 Nutrient requirement of ginger under shade. M.Sc. Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Thrissur, Kerala, India.
- Ancy J & Jayachandran B K 1996 Nutrient requirement of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* R.) under shade. Indian Cocoa, Arecanut & Spices J. 20 : 115-116.
- Chadha K L & Rethinam P 1994 History of plantation and spice crops research in India. In: Chadha K L & Rethinam P (Eds.) Advances in Horticulture Vol. 9 Plantation and Spices Crops part I, Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Farm Information Bureau (FIB) 2001 Farm Guide 2001. Farm Information Bureau, Govt. of Kerala, Trivandrum.
- Jackson M L 1973 Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jayachandran B K, Meera Bai M, Abdual Salam M, Mammen M K & Kunjama P M 1991 Performance of ginger under shade and open conditions. Indian Cocoa, Arecanut and Spices J. 15 : 40-41.

- Johnson A T 1978 Foliar diagnosis, yield and quality of ginger in relation to N, P and K. M.Sc. Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Thrissur, Kerala, India.
- Kerala Agricultural University (KAU) 1992 Final Report of ICAR Ad-hoc scheme-Shade Studies on Coconut Based Intercropping Situations. Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala.
- Kerala Agricultural University (KAU) 1996 Package of Practices Recommendations. 'Crops' 1996. Kerala Agricultural University, Directorate of Extension, Mannuthy, Kerala
- Lee M, T Asher C J & Whiley A W 1981 Nitrogen nutrition of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) - Effect of sources, rates and time of nitrogen application. Pl. Soil. 62 : 23-24.
- Muralidharan A, Varma A S & Nair E V G 1974 Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on growth and yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). Indian J. Agron. 11: 102-104.
- Ravisankar C & Muthuswamy S 1988 Influence of light and temperature on leaf area index, chlorophyll content and yield of ginger. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 13 : 216-217.
- Singh K K, Aasi D J S & Sharma P P 1993 Effect of levels and time of potassium fertilizer application to ginger under mid altitudes of Himachal Pradesh. (Abstract) Golden Jubilee Symposium, Horticultural Research Changing Scenario, Bangalore.
- Susan Varughese 1989 Screening of varieties of ginger and turmeric for shade tolerance. M.Sc. Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, Kerala, India.
- Sushama P K & Jose A I 1994 Nutrition of ginger. In: : Chadha K L & Rethinam P (Eds.) Advances in Horticulture Vol. 9, Plantation and Spices Crops Part I, (pp 490-497). Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Watson D J 1958 The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf area index. Ann. Bot. 23 : 431-439.
- Williams R F 1946 The physiology of plant growth with special reference to the concept of net assimilation rate. Ann. Bot. 10: 41-72.