## Transfer of clustered and upright fruit characters into two popular chilli cultivars of Tamil Nadu

KP M Dhamayanthi<sup>1</sup> & VR K Reddy

Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany Bharathiar University Coimbatore-641 046, India.

Received 10 November 2000; Revised 14 September 2001; Accepted 12 November 2001.

## **Abstract**

Transfer of two agronomically desirable characters from CA-33 and CA-219 to two popular chilli cultivars, G-4 and CO-2, was attempted. The  $F_1$  hybrids and their segregating population,  $F_2$ , BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> were raised to determine the gene action in respect of the fruit orientation and clustered fruit character. The results revealed that genes responsible for the clustered fruit character are monogenic while, the upright fruit character is digenic, controlled by two genes with dominant and recessive epistasis.

Key words: chilli, digenic, gene action, monogenic, segregation.

Intervarietal transfer of genes for desirable characters has been a standard practice in several crops. This approach enables the breeder to determine the inheritance of specific characters and to manipulate the genes. Commercial chilli varieties, G-4 and CO-2 are high yielders and popular in Tamil Nadu with dichotomous growth pattern resulting in the production of solitary fruit at each node which have to be harvested individually, requiring more time and labour force, ultimately increasing the cost of cultivation. CA-33 (KAU-cluster) and CA-219 (Ujwala) are cluster fruit bearing accessions and fruits of these genotypes are upright and borne mainly in the periphery and suited for mechanical harvest (Saccardo 1984; Syed & Bagavandass 1980). In the present study an attempt was made to transfer these characters from the chilli varieties CA-33 and CA-219 to popular chilli varieties and to study the nature of their inheritance.

Field experiments were carried out during 1999-2000, at Department of Botany, Bharathian University, Coimbatore. The seeds of G-4 and CO-2 varieties were procured from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore and that of CA-33 and CA-219 from Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Kerala. Crosses involving these four parents G-4, CO-2 (female parents) and CA-33, CA-219 (male parents) were made during May- June 1999. Part of the F<sub>1</sub> was utilized to raise BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> by crossing the F, and BC, hybrids with respective recurrent parents during October-January 1999-2000 and May-June 2000. The F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> generations were studied to know the inheritance of these characters and the data are presented in Table 1.

All the  $F_1$  plants in the four cross combinations namely, G-4 x CA-33, G-4 x CA-219, CO-2 x CA-33 and CO-2 x CA-219 were solitary fruit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Present address: Indian Institute of Spices Research, Calicut – 673 012, India.

Table 1. Inheritance of fruit characters in chilli (Capsicum annuum L.)

| Cross      |                   | Observe    | d number of  | number of plants |       | X <sup>2</sup> | P*         | Observed  | number o | plants | Genetic | $X^2$ | P*           |
|------------|-------------------|------------|--------------|------------------|-------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------|--------|---------|-------|--------------|
|            | So                | litary     | Clustered    | Total            | ratio |                |            | Pendulous | Upright  | Total  | ratio   |       |              |
| G-4 x CA-3 | 3 F <sub>1</sub>  | 52         | 0.           | 52               | -     | -              |            | 28        | 0        | 28     | -       | -     | -            |
|            | $\mathbf{F_2}$    | 281        | 92           | 373              | 3:1   | 0.0223         | 0.80-0.90  | 176       | 61       | 237    | 3:1     | 0.069 | 0.70-0.80    |
|            | BC <sub>1</sub>   | 197        | 6            | 203              | 1:0   | -              | -          | 155       | 7        | 162    | 1:0     | -     | <del>-</del> |
|            | BC <sub>2</sub>   | 145        | 127          | 272              | 1:1   | 1.191          | 0.20-0.30  | 121       | 98       | 219    | 1:1     | 2.415 | 0.10-0.20    |
| G-4 x CA-  | 219F <sub>1</sub> | 57         | · <b>0</b> . | 57               | -     | <b>-</b> .     | • •        | 62        | 0        | 62     | -       | -     | -            |
|            | F <sub>2</sub>    | 247        | 76           | 323              | 3:1   | 0.3725         | 0.50-070   | 306       | 91       | 397    | 13:3    | 4.536 | 0.02-0.05    |
|            | BC <sub>1</sub>   | 311        | 14           | 325              | 1:0   | -              | -          | 277       | 6        | 283    | 1:0     | -     | -            |
|            | BC <sub>2</sub>   | 188        | 136          | 324              | 1:1   | 8.344          | 0.001-0.01 | 99        | 116      | 215    | 1:1     | 1.344 | 0.20-0.30    |
| Co-2 x CA  | -33F <sub>1</sub> | 22         | 0            | 22               | -     | -              | -          | 39        | 0        | 39     |         | -     |              |
| ·          | F <sub>2</sub>    | 135        | 44           | 179              | 3:1   | 0.016          | 0.80-0.90  | 148       | 43       | 191    | 3:1     | 0.629 | 0.30-0.50    |
|            | BC <sub>1</sub>   | 117        | 9            | 126              | 1:0   | -              | -          | 116       | 0        | 116    | 1:0     | -     | -            |
| •          | BC <sub>2</sub>   | 90.        | 51           | 141              | 1:1   | 10.78          | 0.001-0.01 | 103       | 102      | 215    | 1:1     | 0.377 | 0.50-0.70    |
| Co-2 x CA  | -219 F            | <b>4</b> 6 | . 0          | 46               |       | -              | <b>-</b>   | 50        | . 0      | 250    | -       | -     | -            |
|            | $F_2$             | 195        | 64           | 259              | 3:1   | 0.012          | 0.90-0.95  | 278       | 72       | 350    | 13:3    | 0.762 | 0.30-0.50    |
| ٠.         | BC <sub>1</sub>   | 108        | 5            | 113              | 1:0   | -              | -          | 253       | 4        | 257    | 1:0     | -     | -            |
|            | BC <sub>2</sub>   | 126        | 134          | 260              | 1:1   | 0.246          | 0.50-0.70  | 116       | 121      | 237    | 1:1     | 0.105 | 0.70-0.80    |

<sup>\*</sup> Probability : P = 0.05%

bearing plants indicating the dominance of solitary fruit character over the clustered fruit character. In G-4 x CA-33 cross, the F, plants segregated into 281 plants with solitary and 92 plants with clustered fruit that fitted the expected genetic ratio of 3:1. In BC<sub>1</sub> generation, out of 203 plants, 197 plants were with solitary fruits and only 6 plants were with clustered fruits, which fitted the segregation ratio of 1:0. BC, generation segregated into 145 solitary fruited plants and 127 clustered plants fitting 1:1 genetic ratio. In case of the cross G-4 x CA-219, F, plants segregated in to 247 solitary and 76 clustered fruit plants, exhibiting the expected ratio of 3: 1. BC, plants segregated in to 311 solitary and 14 cluster fruit plants which fitted 1:0 ratio. In BC, generation the expected ratio of 1:1 was obtained from 188 solitary and 136 clustered fruit plants. In case of CO-2 x CA-33, F, plants segregated into 135 solitary and 14 clustered fruit plants giving the expected ratio of 3:1 while BC, plants segre-gated into 117 solitary and 9 cluster fruited plants exhibiting 1:0 ratio. The BC<sub>2</sub> generation showed 1:1 ratio with 90 solitary and 51 clustered fruited plants. In the cross of CO-2 x CA-219, F, plants expressed the genetic ratio of 3:1 from 195 solitary and 64 cluster fruited plants while in BC<sub>1</sub>, 108 solitary and 5 cluster fruited plants exhibited genetic ratio of 1:0. In BC, generation the segregation ratio obtained was 1:1 from 126 solitary and 134 cluster fruited plants. The present results confirmed monogenic recessive nature of clustered fruit bearing character. These results are in agreement with earlier reports of Mc Common & Honma (1984), Okitsu et al. (1984), Gopalakrishnan et al. (1989) and Ahamed et al. (1994).

Inheritance of the upright fruit character reveals that all the  $F_1$  hybrids obtained from the four cross combinations namely, G-4 x CA-33, G-4 x CA-219, CO-2 x CA33 and CO-2 x CA-219 were pendulous which indicated its dominance over the upright fruit character (Table1). In  $F_2$  generation of the cross G-4 x CA-33, a total 237 plants segregated into 176 pendulous and 61 upright fruited plants fitting the ratio

of 3: 1, while 162 BC, plants fitted the ratio of 1:0 from 155 pendulous and 7 upright fruit plants. In BC<sub>2</sub>, 121 pendulous and 98 upright fruit plants fitted the ratio of 1:1. However, in the cross involving G-4 x CA-219, out of 397 F, plants, 306 were pendulous and 91 were upright fruited plants expressing a digenic ratio of 13:3 indicating that the fruit habit in chilli is controlled by two genes with dominant and recessive epistasis. In CO-2 x CA-33, out of 191 F, plants, 148 were pendulous and 43 upright fruited exhibiting 3:1 ratio and all the 166 BC, plants were pendulous fruited plants thus fitting into the ratio of 1:0, while the BC produced 103 pendulous and 112 upright fruited plants fitting in to the ratio of 1:1. However, in the crosses involving CO-2 x 219, among the 350 F, plants, 278 were having pendulous and 72 were with upright fruits suggesting the genetic ratio of 13: 3, which again indicated the epistatic gene interaction and out of 257 BC, plants, 253 planting with pendulous and four were with upright fruits, clearly fitting in to the ratio of 1:0. The BC, fitted 1: 1 ratio from 166 pendulous and 121 upright fruits.

## References

- Ahamed N, Tanki M I & Bhat M Y 1994 Genetics of fruit habit and clusterness in chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). Veg. Sci. 21 (2): 148-150.
- Gopalakrishnan T R, Gopalakrishnan P K & Peter K V 1989 Inheritance of clusterness and fruit orientation in chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) Indian J. Genet. 49: 219-222.
- Mc Common K R & Honma S 1984 Genetics of the umbrella branching habit in *Capsicum annuum* L. Theor. Appl. Genet. 68: 541-545.
- Okitsu S, Honda F, Yamato M, Niiuchi K & Ota S 1984
  Breeding of new cultivars of hot pepper
  (Capsicum annuum L.). Veg.Ornamental Crop
  Res. Sta. C. Kurume Bull. 7: 25-33.
- Saccardo F 1984 The latest on breeding peppers for processing. Informat Agric. 37: 18287-18290.
- Syed S & Bagavandass M 1980 Inheritance studies in chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). South Indian Hort. 28: 31.