З,

Incidence of insect pests of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) in northern Karnataka, India¹

Y K Kotikal & K A Kulkarni²

Department of Agricultural Entomology College of Agriculture University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad - 580 005, India.

Received 22 December 1998; Revised 28 December 1999; Accepted 28 January 2000.

Abstract

A roving survey conducted during 1996–97 at three phases of crop growth in turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) in northern districts of Karnataka (India) revealed the incidence of major insect pests like rhizome fly (*Mimegralla coeruleifrons*), shoot borer (*Conogethes punctiferalis*), lacewing bug (*Stephanitis typicus*), leaf roller (*Udaspes folus*), thrips (*Panchaetothrips indicus*) and scale insects (*Aspidiotus curcumae*) in all the areas and minor pests like various species of leaf beetles, caterpillars and grasshoppers. The population of rhizome fly was high (up to 0.88 adults per m²) in Raibag, Athani, Mudhol, Indi, Chincholli and Basavakalyan taluks at all stages of crop growth. However, most of the rhizomes that contained maggots of rhizome fly were rotten. Shoot borer was predominant in early vegetative phase (45–60 days) and grand growth stage (100–120 days) of the crop in Raibag, Chikodi, Jamakhandi, Indi and Humanabad taluks.

Key words: Curcuma longa , insect pests, survey, turmeric.

Introduction

Among the various production constraints in turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) in India, infestation by insects is a major one. Several insect pests are reported to inflict damage to turmeric at different stages of growth at various places of its cultivation (Koya et al. 1991; Premkumar et al. 1994; Kotikal 1998). Scale insects (Aspidiella hartii Ckll.), lacewing bug (Stephanitis typicus Dist.), thrips (Panchaetothrips indicus Bagn.), shoot borer (Conogethes punctiferalis Guen.) and leaf roller (Udaspes folus Cram.) are reported from Karnataka (Puttarudraiah 1983). In northern Karnataka, turmeric is prone to attack by several insect pests and a survey was conducted in five districts of this region to record the incidence of various insect pests during different phases of crop growth.

During 1996, a roving survey was conducted by

Material and methods

selecting five locations in each taluk of Gokak, Raibag, Athani, Hukkeri and Chikodi of Belgaum District; Mudhol, Jamakhandi and Indi of Bijapur District; Aland and Chicholli of Gulbarga District and Basavakalyan, Humananabad and Bidar of Bidar District. Three visits were undertaken during different stages of crop growth namely, early vegetative phase (45–60 days after planting), grand growth stage (100–120 days after planting) and at maturity (210–230 days after planting). The incidence of insect pests was recorded using suitable techniques for different insects as explained below.

Shoot borer (*Conogethes punctiferalis*): The number of plants showing row of holes across the leaf blade after unfurling or dead hearts were counted as infested plants from a randomly selected area of 1 m^2 (6-8 clumps) at five spots.

Rhizome fly (*Mimegralla coeruleifrons*): As adults were not swift fliers, it was possible to count them

¹Part of Ph D Thesis submitted by the senior author to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, under the guidance of the second author.

²Present address : Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi - 591 310, Karnataka, India.

on plants while resting than net sweeping. Hence the flies were counted from roughly 1 m^2 (6–8 clumps) area and such five randomly selected spots formed replications. Maggots and pupae were counted by splitting the rhizomes of plants from the same area of 1m^2 (6–8 clumps).

Leaf roller (*Udaspes folus*) : The incidence of leaf roller was recorded by counting the leaf rolls per clump on 10 randomly selected clumps in a plot of 10 guntas ($10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$).

Other caterpillars (*Catopsila pomona* F., *Spilarctia obliqua* (Wlk.), *Spodoptera litura* F.): The number of live larvae from 10 randomly selected clumps were counted in a plot of 10 guntas

Leaf beetles and weevils (*Lema* spp. *Epilachna* sp., *Myllocerus* spp.): The number of adult beetles and weevils were counted from 10 randomly selected plants.

Lacewing bug (*Stephanitis typicus*) : The nymphs and adults from three leaves selected one each from top, middle and bottom portions of five randomly selected plants were counted from a plot of 10 *guntas*.

Grasshoppers (Orthacris simulans B., Cyrtanthacris ranacea Stoll., Letana inflata Brunner, Phenoroptera gracilis Burm.): The nymphs and adults of grasshoppers from 25 randomly selected plants were counted in a plot of 10 guntas.

Thrips (*Panchaetothrips indicus*): Total number of larvae per top 3 leaves from 10 randomly selected plants of 10 *guntas* was counted.

Scale insects (*Aspidiotus curcuma* Gr., *Aspidiella hartii*) : Scale insects were counted on 3 bottom leaves/all pseudostems/all rhizomes from 5 plants in 1 *gunta* area replicated 5 times and average per leaf/pseudostem or rhizome worked out.

Results and discussion

The incidence of insect pests at different locations during the surveys in northern Karnataka is presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Rhizome fly: Population (mean) of *M. coeruleifrons* was 0.46 ± 0.19 , 0.57 ± 0.18 and 0.07 ± 0.04 adults per m² at early vegetative phase, grand growth stage and at maturity, respectively. The mean incidence level was higher in Raibag, Athani, Mudhol, Indi, Chincholli and Basavakalyan taluks.

Location	Variety	Rhizome	fly (adults/	m²)	Per cent sho	oot borer infe	station/m ²
		I	II	III	I	II	III
Belgaum District							
Gokak	Local, Cuddapah	0.36±0.12	0.44±0.12	0.06±0.05	4.33±1.67	6.67±2.67	0.00±0.00
Hukkeri	Cuddapah	0.40±0.10	0.64±0.15	0.08 ± 0.05	5.00 ± 2.00	9.33±3.50	0.33±0.33
Chikodi	Cuddapah, Salem	0.60±0.17	0.72±0.17	0.08±0.05	10.00±2.50	7.33±3.50	3.33±0.33
Raibag	Salem	0.68±0.15	0.88±0.19	0.14±0.05	11.33±2.50	6.67±3.67	0.00 ± 0.00
Athani	Salem, Rajapuri	0.64±0.12	0.72±0.17	0.16±0.06	5.33±1.67	8.33±1.67	1.33±1.33
Bijapur District							
Mudhol	Cuddapah, Rajapuri	0.56±0.15	0.54±0.18	0.08±0.05	3.33±1.50	9.33±2.50	0.00±0.00
Jamakhandi	Cuddapah	0.48±0.15	0.76±0.16	0.04±0.04	7.00±1.50	14.00±2.83	0.00±0.00
Indi	Rajapuri	0.50±0.16	0.64±0.15	0.04±0.04	6.00±1.50	12.00±3.00	1.67±0.83
Gulburga District							
Aland	Local, Rajapuri	0.20±0.10	0.26±0.18	0.02±0.02	7.00±2.50	8.00±2.50	0.67±0.17
Chincholli	Cuddapah	0.84±0.15	0.64±0.18	0.04±0.04	6.67±2.00	7.00 <u>+2</u> .00	0.34±0.34
Bidar District							
Bidar	Rajapuri	0.20±0.10	0.36±0.10	0.06±0.05	7.67±2.83	14.67±2.50	2.00±1.02
Basavakalyan	Cuddapah	0.76±0.12	0.40±0.15	0.02±0.01	6.33±2.50	17.00±2.62	1.67±0.83
Humanabad	Kasturi,Cuddapah	0.30±0.15	0.44±0.16	0.06±0.04	10.33±2.67	10.33±2.50	2.00±1.02
Mean		0.46±0.19	0.57±0.18	0.07±0.04	7.00 ±2 .33	10.00±3.33	1.20 ± 1.00

Table 1. Incidence of rhizome fly and shoot borer on turmeric in northern Karnataka

Each value is an average \pm SD of 25 observations (5 each from 5 locations in each taluk)

I = At early vegetative phase (45-60 days); Π = At grand growth stage (100-120 days); III = At maturity (210-230 days)

Tuble 2, meldence e	и прест рера	of fulficate fi	i noracini Rai	Indunu				•	
Location	L	acewing bugs	s/leaf		Thrips*/leaf		I	Leaf beetles*/	plant
	I	II	III	I	ш	III	I	II	
Belgaum District									
Gokak Hukkeri Chikkodi Raibag	$\begin{array}{c} 0.33 \pm 0.15 \\ 0.26 \pm 0.11 \\ 0.24 \pm 0.13 \\ 0.24 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.20 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	1.53 ± 0.27 2.52 ±0.43 1.64 ±0.35 1.84 ±0.32	0.00±0.00 0.20±0.05 0.06±0.07 0.19±0.11	1.60 ± 0.11 1.56 ± 0.17 2.05 ± 0.19 1.06 ± 0.15	2.69±0.23 1.80±0.32 2.28±0.37 1.22±0.18	0.46±0.17 0.07±0.08 0.46±0.12 0.00±0.00	0.42 ± 0.21 0.48 ± 0.18 1.02 ± 0.16 1.34 ± 0.15	0.52±0.21 0.80±0.15 0.76±0.14 0.96±0.14	1.04±0.16 0.80±0.15 1.40±0.15 1.40±0.15
Athani	0.00+0.00	2.26±0.55	0.46 ± 0.12	0.86±0.09	0.79 ± 0.12	0.00 ± 0.00	1.60 ± 0.12	0.60 ± 0.16	1.12 ± 0.16
Bijapur District Mudhol Jamakhandi Indi	0.66±0.14 0.33±0.09 0.26±0.08	2.53±0.57 1.46±0.31 1.53±0.17	0.00±0.00 0.33±0.11 0.00±0.00	0.24±0.18 0.44±0.15 1.06±0.21	0.71±0.11 1.10±0.09 2.28±0.22	0.00±0.00 0.26±0.11 0.46±0.12	0.48 ± 0.08 0.52 ± 0.11 0.62 ± 0.12	0.60±0.11 1.28±0.21 0.96±0.20	0.88±0.15 1.20±0.18 1.00±0.25
Gulburga District Aland Chincholli	0.00±0.00 0.26±0.09	1.39±0.21 2.79±0.35	0.00±0.00 0.00±0.00	0.66±0.23 0.33±0.18	1.97±0.13 0.67±0.11	0.00±0.00 0.53±0.15	0.56±0.12 0.36±0.10	1.20±0.23 0.84±0.11	1.80±0,36 1.20±0.30
Bidar District Bidar Basavakalyan Humanabad Mean	0.13±0.07 0.26±0.08 0.00±0.00 0.21±0.18	0.66±0.07 1.44±0.18 0.53±0.13 1.70±0.68	0.06±0.07 0.06±0.07 0.00±0.00 0.11±0.15	0.46±0.12 0.46±0.11 0.46±0.12 0.86±0.56	1.59±0.17 1.86±0.18 1.79±0.15 1.59±0.65	0.00±0.00 0.13±0.05 0.13±0.08 0.19±0.21	0.28±0.09 0.30±0.08 0.28±0.08 0.63±0.42	0.68±0.11 0.88±0.12 0.64±0.11 0.82±0.23	1.40±0.25 1.04±0.15 1.24±0.16 0.98±0.29

Table 2. Incidence of insect pests of turmeric in northern Karnataka

Each value is an average \pm SD of 25 observations (5 each from 5 locations in each taluk)

I= At early vegetative phase (45–60 days), II = At grand growth stage (100-120 days), III = At maturity (210–230 days)

* = Population irrespective of species

 \mathbb{m}^2 rhizome fly was comparable to the present Maharastra and the incidence level of the (1983) gots and pupae were affected by disease and Most of the rhizomes which contained magtively, during various stages of the study. were in a rotting condition. Ghorpade et al. The mean number of maggots and pupae per was conducted 1.70-31.75 and נק similar 1.30-30.20, study respeccrop. 5

maturity, respectively. The Shoot borer : The mean percentage of plants infested by the shoot borer was 7.00 ± 2.33 , areas incidence Indi and growth stage. Raibag, Chikodi, Jamakhandi, was higher at vegetative phase and grand vegetative phase, 10.00 ± 3.33 and 1.20 ± 1.00 per m² at early of the Humanabad grand growth stage and pest compared to other taluks pest incidence had higher 2.33,

stage. They Maharastra (Patil et al. 1988). Basavakalyan areas during Hukkeri, and maturity stages of the crop, respectively. 0.11 ± 0.15 per plant in early, grand growth Lacewing bug : The mean population of lacewing bug was 0.21 ± 0.18 , 1.70 ± 0.68 and Sangli, were This pest was also Satara Mudhol, particularly and Kolhapur Chincholli predominant grand reported from districts of growth and 5

Thrips : The mean population of thrips was 0.86 ± 0.56 , 1.59 ± 0.65 and 0.19 ± 0.21 per plant during early, grand growth and maturity stage of the crop, respectively. The population of thrips was higher in Gokak, Indi, Aland and Basavakalyan taluks.

and maturity stage of the crop, respectively 0.16 ± 0.16 per plant at early, grand growth species numbered 0.20 ± 0.09 , 0.27 ± 0.13 and 0.23 and 0.98 \pm 0.29 per plant during first, irrespective of species was 0.63 ± 0.42 , $0.82 \pm$ Grasshoppers: Grasshoppers were seen spo-Humanabad taluks The incidence of Hukkeri, Gokak, Caterpillars : The caterpillars irrespective of Athani, Jamakhandi, Aland and Bidar taluks Their activity was comparatively higher in second and third observation, respectively. Leaf beetles : The population of leaf beetles caterpillars Mudhol, Chincholli was higher in and

Grasshoppers: Grasshoppers were seen sporadically in all the areas surveyed with an mean population of 0.05 \pm 0.02, 0.13 \pm 0.13

Location		aterpillars*/1	eaf	Grassh	oppers*/leaf		S	cales*	
	I	П	III	I	Π	Ш	Ia	٩IJ	IIIe
Belgaum District									
Gokak	0.02±0.02	0.24±0.12	0.10±0.05	0.06±0.04	0.08±0.04	0.16 ± 0.04	0.02 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.12	0.32 ± 0.12
Hukkeri	0.12±0.06	0.24 ± 0.10	0.18 ± 0.16	0.02±0.02	0.04±0.04	0.11 ± 0.05	0.06±0.04	0.20 ± 0.10	0.36 ± 0.13
Chikkodi	0.20 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.06	0.08 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.06	0.08 ± 0.05	0.20±0.05	00.040.00	0.32 ± 0.12	0.48 ± 0.14
Raibag	0.12 ± 0.06	0.14 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.05	0.17±0.10	0.08 ± 0.04	0.64 ± 0.16	0.76 ± 0.14
Athani	0.12 ± 0.06	0.48 ± 0.16	0.26 ± 0.10	0.06±0.04	0.06±0.03	0.13 ± 0.10	0.12 ± 0.06	0.76 ± 0.18	0.80±0.20
Bijapur District									
Mudhol	0.32 ± 0.10	0.48 ± 0.16	0.64 ± 0.10	0.06±0.04	0.56 ± 0.15	0.40 ± 0.10	0.06±0.04	0.48 ± 0.15	0.76±0.15
Jamakhandi	0.16 ± 0.10	0.18 ± 0.16	00.0±00.0	0.05 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.10	0.02 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.10	0.36 ± 0.12
Indi	0.14 ± 0.09	0.18 ± 0.12	0.08 ± 0.04	0.02±0.02	0.15 ± 0.10	0.20 ± 0.10	0.040.00	00.0±00.0	0.48 ± 0.15
Gulburga District									
Aland	0.28 ± 0.11	0.18 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.06	0.03±0.02	0.06±0.04	0.15 ± 0.05	0.08±0.04	0.32 ± 0.12	0.52 ± 0.15
Chincholli	0.24 ± 0.12	0.44±0.12	0.28 ± 0.05	0.07 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.10	0.14 ± 0.07	0.08 ± 0.04	0.64 ± 0.18	0.48 ± 0.15
Bidar District									
Bidar	0.32 ± 0.10	0.40±0.10	0.12 ± 0.06	0.03±0.01	0.15 ± 0.10	0.15 ± 0.05	0.0±0.00	0.76 ± 0.19	0.52 ± 0.17
Basavakalyan	0.30 ± 0.10	0.33 ± 0.11	0.13 ± 0.05	0.03±0.02	0.15 ± 0.10	0.20 ± 0.10	0.06±0.04	0.48 ± 0.16	0.76 ± 0.18
Humanabad	0.30 ± 0.10	0.44 ± 0.12	0.02 ± 0.11	0.03±0.02	0.07 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.10	0.02±0.02	0.32 ± 0.12	0.48 ± 0.12
Mean	0.20±0.09	0.27 ± 0.13	0.16 ± 0.16	0.05±0.02	0.13±0.13	0.18 ± 0.07	0.05 ± 0.03	0.41 ± 0.23	0.54 ± 0.17
Each value is an averag	e ± SD of 25 o	bservations (5	each from 5 loca	ations in each tai	luk)				
I= At early vegetative p	hase (45-60 da	ys), $\Pi = At gr$	and growth stag	e (100–120 days)), III = At maturi	ity (210-230 days	~		
* = Population irrespect.	ive of species;	^a on leaves, ^b on	shoots, 'on rhiz	omes		• •			

and 0.18 ± 0.07 per plant during early, middle and later state of crop growth, respectively. They were more devastating in Mudhol at later stages of the crop probably because of the absence of other field crops in the location. Scale insects : A. curcumae was seen on leaves in the early stage with a mean density of 0.05 ± 0.03 per leaf and later (both species) on pseudostems (0.41 ± 0.23) and rhizomes (0.34) \pm 0.17) at harvest. They were predominant in Athani, Mudhol, Chincholli and Bidar taluks. The survey indicated that insect pests like rhizome fly, shoot borer, lacewing bug and scale insects which were seen in all the areas surveyed could be considered as major pests of turmeric in northern Karnataka. Other insect pests like leaf beetles, caterpillars and grass-

Acknowledgement

The first author is grateful to the University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, for providing part time facilities to conduct Ph D studies.

hoppers could be considered as minor pests.

References

ŧ

- Ghorpade S A, Jadhav S S & Ajri B S 1983 Survey of rhizome fly on turmeric and ginger in Maharastra. J. Maharastra Agril. Univ. 8 : 292-293.
- Kotikal Y K 1998 Studies on the insect pests of turmeric, Curcuma longa L. with particular reference to the rhizome fly Mimegralla coeruleifrons Macq. (Micropezidae: Diptera). PhD Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Koya K M A, Devasahayam S & Premkumar T 1991 Insect pests of ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.) and turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) in India - a review. J. Plantn. Crops 19 : 1-13.
- Patil A P, Thakur S G & Mohalkar A R 1988 Survey and seasonal incidence of pests of turmeric and ginger in western Maharastra. Indian Cocoa Arecanut Spices J. 2 : 47-48
- Premkumar T, Devasahayam S & Koya K M A 1994 Pests of spice crops. In : Chadha K L & Rethinam P (Eds.) Advances in Horticulture Vol. 10. (pp. 792-873). Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Puttarudraiah M 1983 A Guide for Cultivation and Protection of Important Crops. S V Rangaswami & Co. Ltd, Bangalore.

Sengupta G C & Behura B K 1957 On the biology of *Lema praeusta* Fab. J. Econ. Ent. 50 : 471-47.

Table 3. Incidence of insect pests of turmeric in northern Karnataka

ī

I