Journal of Applied and Advanced Research 2026, 11: 1-12
https://doi.org/10.25081/jaar.2026.v11.10101

Review Article

Building sustainable low-cost buildings from building waste materials in the United States: A review of the present practices and future potential

Sheak Niaz Mahmud[1]*, Md. Sayadul Mursalin[1], S. M. Mehedi Hasan Himel[1], Nusrat Zahan[2]

[1]*Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Rajshahi University of Engineering and Technology, Rajshahi-6204, Bangladesh*
[2]*Management & Information Technology Department, St. Francis College, Brooklyn, NY 11101, United States of America*

(Received: June 01, 2026; Revised: August 27, 2026; Accepted: August 28, 2026; Published: September 01, 2026)
*Corresponding Author: Sheak Niaz Mahmud, E-mail: niazmahmud.nahid@gmail.com

Abstract

In America, the construction and demolition (C&D) waste volume equated to 600 million tons in 2018, which is over 50% of total municipal solid waste. This figure is dominated by concrete, wood, asphalt, and metal. The majority of this waste ends up in landfills, which poses grave environmental hazards like greenhouse gas emissions along with leachate production, rapid depletion of landfill space, and increasing economic costs. This paper argues that recycling C&D waste into reusable building materials is environmentally and economically more conducive. Through the repurposing of C&D waste, construction spending can be lowered while protecting natural resources and promoting affordable housing utilizing wood, glass, plastic, as well as asphalt and concrete. The advancement of sustainable construction coupled with alleviating waste management issues is made possible through this form of mitigation. This paper evaluates the technical characteristics alongside the economic value to build a case on the approach's environmental impacts while examining its limited application reasons and proposing ways to improve advocacy towards it. The U.S. construction industry has a remarkable opportunity at the intersection between economics, the environment, and sustainable building practices to fundamentally reconsider its waste handling and infrastructure development for the future.

Keywords: Construction and demolition (C&D) waste, Waste-derived building materials, Circular economy, United States

Introduction

The construction industry not only ranks high as an environmental offender, but it is also a leading consumer of natural resources. The problem with traditional construction approaches in the United States has come along with the rising challenge of resource depletion and the associated environmental concern. There is construction and demolition (C&D) waste, which poses both an environmental challenge and opportunity simultaneously. The magnitude of this problem is astounding; C&D materials constitute nearly 25 percent of the total waste stream in the country (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). As highlighted by Clem (2024), "in 2018, approximately 600 million tons of construction and demolition debris were produced." This figure denotes that this type of waste outpaces municipal solid waste, which amplifies the case for recycling building materials. This incredible amount of materials reflects an almost constant and greatly increasing waste being released into a country's economic system, which predominantly shows the use of a linear model for resource consumption.

The construction sector's wastes and inefficiencies are linked with the linear economic model, which follows a 'take-make-dispose' cycle. This slowly fills landfills, releases harmful chemicals, and is accompanied by the emission of greenhouse gases (Faye, 2024). According to Pacific Sanitation (2021), "over 75 percent of C&D wood, interior drywall, brick, and clay tiles are sent straight to landfills." Economically speaking, from this approach, the construction business not only loses out on material recovery but faces increased costs on waste disposal. Alongside incurring expenses based on dwindling available space for landfill sites, organic materials such as decomposing wood release greenhouse gases alongside the energy required for transportation and compaction adds to the eco-harm burden directly linked with construction debris. In addition, the waste stored in a landfill produces leachate, which forms clear toxic liquid substances that have the potential to contaminate water sources. Not only does it harm the environment, but an immense amount of Construction and Demolition (C&D) Waste also occupies space in landfills, which are limited. Although the waste accumulated within landfills may be termed as pollution, developing cost-efficient resources remains elusive. All these factors demonstrate how harmful the construction and demolition (C&D) waste can be to the environment, which emphasizes the negative externalities of the linear approach 'take-make-dispose' economy.

This research focuses on the use of construction waste as a resource for creating low-cost and sustainable buildings in the United States. Additionally, focusing on available and sustainable local materials, including those that are reusable, like regionally situated sustainable materials (HomeSight, 2025). This is in complete compliance with the "circular economy" system, which advocates for effectiveness in resource use, waste production, and subsequent reuse of materials within the industry. Materials intended to be used for construction that can be recycled or reused reduce waste while enhancing sustainability (Eli, 2024). In particular cases such as affordable housing construction, implementing these measures can tremendously aid in enhancing ecological efficiency. Cost-effective construction using recycled materials would greatly reduce expenses while improving the environmental footprint of the project. This calls for the conclusion that at least partially reintegrating the situation where these materials are thrown away into the construction industry could help avoid excessive use of new materials, lower pollution, and stabilize structural material market prices. Turning C&D debris from waste requires shifting from resource recovery to waste management and is critical for long-term resource sustainability and securing them. For this objective, my goal is to explore the diverse sustainable impacts and shifts, including: benefits and costs from business, geopolitical considerations, environmental impact recovery options, and valuation of C&D debris. Moreover, this study intends to identify the greatest barriers that need to be overcome in order to implement the paradigm shift and develop actionable strategies to promote change within the context of the United States.

The landscape of construction and demolition waste in the United States

The construction and demolition (C&D) waste management system in the United States has several aspects. It generates large amounts of waste, is made up of various components, and contains predominant practices that are damaging to the environment as well as the economy. There is a pressing need for more proactive efforts towards sustainable alternatives in C&D waste management systems.

Overview of C&D waste generation and composition

The C&D waste produced in the United States poses a challenge due to its magnitude in volume, which reveals a national issue. The construction and demolition (C&D) industry alone produces upwards of 600 million tons of waste and debris each year. For example, C&D materials contribute over 3 billion square yards of landfill space annually. Most countries are in the middle of a construction boom, and the C&D waste created is increasing year on year (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). This shows how much the construction industry drives national waste management systems. In 2018, it was estimated that construction and demolition generated approximately 600 million tons of C&D waste in the United States, which surpasses municipal solid waste figures by twofold, suggesting these materials were highly reusable or recyclable (Clem, 2024). This suggests that as an economy, we have chosen a flawed approach to resource flow where resources are poorly managed without considering long-term efficiency and sustainability.

Recognizing opportunities for recovering resources necessitates understanding the composition of such a spent material stream, which consists less partitioned dominant types. Construction waste is defined as the waste materials and debris that are produced during the construction, alteration, demolition, or dismantling of a building and associated civil engineering works (U.S. General Services Administration, 2025). C&D materials consist of the waste or byproducts generated from construction, alteration, and demolition (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). It includes wood, concrete, asphalt, gypsum, metals, glass, and even some parts of buildings that are used in a new construction project to reduce cost or lessen the negative impact on the environment (Clem, 2024). C&D materials include oversized and heavy waste items such as concrete and wood from buildings, asphalt and roofing shingles, gypsum, which is drywall, metals, bricks, glass, plastics, salvaged windows and plumbing and other furnishings, as well as trees, stumps, earth, and rock from clearing sites (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). The substantial ubiquity of these resources suggests a systematic, although effortless, supply for a self-sustaining renewable construction economy.

Environmental and economic impacts of current practices

The overwhelming predominant strategy for dealing with C&D waste is dump sites, also known as landfills. This approach is not only damaging to our economy, but it has detrimental effects on the ecosystem. Construction waste alone constitutes roughly 40 percent of global solid waste, filling landfills to capacity and leaching poisons into soil and water (Faye, 2024). Dealing with construction waste is not only detrimental to the environment but also costly to manage sustainably. Wood, drywall, brick, and clay tile construction waste have a landfill disposal rate greater than 75 percent. The cost of landfill disposal is skyrocketing for construction companies (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). As far as emissions of greenhouse gases go, putting large amounts of construction waste destroys significant ecosystem health; all organic matter such as wood generates fumes whilst being compacted and transported. Moreover, landfills contribute leachate, which is a hazardous liquid formed when water passes through waste that contaminates groundwater and soil. In addition to directly polluting hazardous materials, C&D waste contributes to ever-increasing mounds of hazardous material that take up valuable and costly landfill real estate. Environmental damage such as this is indicative of the growing unmanageable negative externalities resulting from a ‘take-make-dispose’ economic structure.

Only looking into eco-efficiency failures of any given enterprise would incur direct environmental costs, ignoring hidden and externalized costs that stem beyond surface-level figures. Mitigating the disposal of C&D materials helps reduce the impact of drawing resources from nature and the subsequent creation of materials (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Substituting construction materials for virgin ones lowers the energy spending placed towards extracting raw materials from Earth’s crust. The recycling process consumes less energy, hence the lower the amount of carbon dioxide released into the atmosphere (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Moreover, the use of recyclable materials diminishes the need for virgin materials and, in turn, reduces the impact of environmental construction (Eli, 2024). These lead to suggesting that direct cuts in material pricing alongside waste materials come at a low-cost benefit. The opposite, however, holds true as these are understated societal ramifications espoused within a linear economic model without an accurate systematized numerical appraisal. From an economic standpoint, justifying prioritization strengthens recycling and repurposing construction waste when framed within indirect ecological costs versus expenses incurred; expense involves direct spending, plus holistic computing strengthens justifying the chosen strategy.

Transforming waste into resources: material science and processing technologies

The advancements in materials science as well as processing technologies can be observed through the recycling of construction and demolition (C&D) waste into high-grade building materials. This part analyzes particular kinds of C&D waste alongside the technical and industrial processes needed to transform them into useful materials, detailing their properties and characteristics.

Recycled concrete aggregate (RCA)

Two of the most recycled materials within the construction industry are concrete and asphalt. During the demolition of buildings, roads, or sidewalks, the concrete and asphalt is often smashed into smaller pieces which can be incorporated into new construction projects. These actions reduce the consumption of new raw materials as well as the waste sent to landfills (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Recycled concrete aggregates (RCA) solve this problem by reclaiming crushed concrete from demolished buildings. This approach not only cuts down on the amount of waste sent to landfills, but also reduces the creation of new components (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). The aggregate attained from crushed concrete can be used instead of virgin rock (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). For example, glass that has been recycled can be integrated into concrete to improve its strength and durability. Importantly, RCA has demonstrated comparable structural performance to virgin aggregate in many applications, affirming its technical feasibility for widespread applications. The use of alternative binding agents or recycled materials tends to be more environmentally friendly than traditional materials because cement production, which is usually high in energy consumption and CO_2 emissions, can reduce its environmental impact (Eli, 2024).

Integrating RCA has powerful economic implications as well. Recycled materials are cheaper to purchase than unused components in numerous cases, which benefits builders and developers (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Reclaimed resources have lower pricing when compared to other raw resources (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Its application leads to significant savings primarily from acquiring other materials at lower costs. Most construction projects face high transportation costs; however, these expenses are significantly lower when RCA is procured from local demolition sites. This model of sourcing and processing materials is important for maximizing economic benefits because high transportation costs often cancel out savings on materials. The presence of local C&D waste processing plants impacts the business case for using recycled materials by lowering transportation charges, along with fostering minimized embodied energy.

Reclaimed wood

Reclaimed wood comes from old buildings, barns, factories, and even wine barrels. Unlike explosive demolition, deconstruction is gentle, which allows for wood to be reclaimed. It is often made of mature trees and thus is of superior quality than newly harvested timber (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). This wood has been previously used in other applications but is now being reused in construction (The Constructor, 2025). As such, reclaimed wood remains highly coveted because of its extraordinary appeal, reported ruggedness, and eco-friendliness (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Reclaimed wood can be sourced from various sources, including demolished buildings and deconstructed furniture (The Constructor, 2025). Reclaimed wood technology enables the extraction of lumber from older structures while retaining its original form (BigRentz, 2023). It can also be obtained from construction works, which incur charges for lowering the waste material left after the building is completed (HomeSight, 2025). The usage of plywood or soft boards made from shredded wood also helps lessen the deforestation of new lumber and timber, which decreases deforestation (Eli, 2024).

This highlights recycling wood waste as a sustainable practice for the environment. Recycling wood helps to alleviate the pressure of timber extraction and timber harvesting (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Because such materials are strong, lightweight, and economical, reclaimed and recycled wood is considered a sustainable building material. They also help minimize deforestation, landfill waste, and beautify structures. The demand for timber is indirectly reduced by using reclaimed wood in construction, thus promoting better forest stewardship, making construction using reclaimed wood supportive of biodiversity (Cemex Ventures, 2023). This showcases the twofold advantage of reclaimed wood in sustainable construction initiatives.

Recycled plastics (HDPE, PET)

Although plastic refuse creates overwhelming problems for ecosystems across the globe, it can be transformed into valuable construction materials (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). With technology and modernizations in construction, plastic waste can now be transformed into sheets, bricks, or even lumber (BigRentz, 2023). Siding, roofing, and insulation, along with more advanced structures like window frames, decking, and even lawn furniture, can all be crafted from recycled plastic parts and incorporated into a construction project (The Constructor, 2025). While single-use plastics present considerable risks to the ecosystem at large, they could serve beneficial purposes by transforming into slabs used for concrete pouring as well as bricks, floor tiles, PVC lumber including roofing structures (Table 1). This will aid in establishing a circular economy in addition to reducing building costs (Cemex Ventures, 2023). To perform a single use, recycled plastics may be put together with other materials in woven forms turned into stiff-shaped composites used in a plethora of engineering

Table 1: Common construction and demolition waste streams and their potential building material applications

Waste Type	Transformed Material/Application	Key Properties/Benefits
Concrete Debris	Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA) for new concrete mixes, road bases, fill materials (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Comparable structural performance, cost savings, reduced virgin material demand (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)
Wood Waste	Reclaimed structural lumber, flooring, decorative elements (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Superior strength, aesthetic qualities, forest conservation, carbon sequestration (Cemex Ventures, 2023)
Plastics (HDPE, PET)	Composite lumber, roofing tiles, insulation (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Moisture resistance, rot resistance, good insulation properties, lightweight (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)
Glass Waste	Glass Cullet for asphalt mixes, concrete, decorative finishes (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Enhances durability, appearance, improves concrete workability, asphalt skid resistance (Meyer, 2024)
Asphalt Shingles/ Roofing	Recycled asphalt pavement mixes (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Reduces need for virgin binder/aggregate, improves pavement performance (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)
Waste Tires	Tire-Derived Aggregate (TDA) for lightweight fill, drainage layers, rubberized asphalt (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)	Good shock absorption, thermal insulation (ConstructionPlacements, 2024)

worksites (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Diverting plastic waste through construction will improve the structural strength of materials and by doing so, will cancel out the use of landfills and oceans (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). Eli (2024) proposes that foam containers of low-density polyethylene plastic wastes could serve as insulation material or panels used for walls and other parts of buildings.

Using reclaimed plastic for construction has numerous benefits. Unlike wood, recycled plastic does not need to be treated with hazardous sealing preservatives, as lumber does, which helps save costs and increases safety (BigRentz, 2023). The impact of using recycled plastic sheets and plastic lumber is lessened further, especially when compared to the use of treated lumber, the required chemicals of which pose great danger to environmental sustainability, enabling sustainable construction framing these buildings (Meyer, 2024). Eco-bricks are composed of a combination of recycled plastic and other waste materials, which helps solve a variety of construction problems. The eco-friendly bricks are classed as lightweight, durable, and good in insulation properties. They are used as building blocks for walls and other structures (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Recycled plastic bricks not only travel via transportation at a lower cost, but they are also easier to move due to being lighter and less expensive overall. Additionally, similar to LEGOs, they can be snapped together, which reduces time and labor costs (Meyer, 2024). Their lightweight nature facilitates easy handling, reduces costs of transportation, and enables better mobility. Plastic waste, for instance, can be turned into new structural material (Eli, 2024).

Recycled glass

Recycled glass can be used in several different areas of construction. It is frequently crushed and transformed into cullet, which can either be mixed along with concrete for a decorative effect or be used in the making of new glass products (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Recycled glass is one of the most discarded materials in landfills. However, it can be implemented in sustainable construction by replacing natural aggregates such as sand, gravel, and crushed stone (Table 1) (Cemex Ventures, 2023). More recent studies suggest that construction projects can incorporate waste glass to replace natural aggregates like sand, gravel, and crushed stone (BigRentz, 2023). Glass is labeled as one of the easier building materials to be reused. As an example, concrete substitutes comprised of a fly ash blend with recycled glass create a material suitable for molds during construction as well as building blocks (Meyer, 2024).

The use of glass cullet also offers added benefits towards material development. Substituting conventional materials with glass cullet can mitigate water and air pollution by 50 and 20 percent, respectively (Meyer, 2024). The reclamation of glass not only diminishes landfill waste but transforms it into a creative design element in countertops, backsplashes, tiles, or even as decorative glass mulch (Meyer, 2024).

Recycled steel

Recycling is harnessed the most in steel globally (HomeSight, 2025). Steel ranks among the most recyclable materials; its reuse in construction surpasses 90% (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). For structural purposes, recycled steel is exceptionally suited as he keeps his strength and durability (Table 1) (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). The steel is also crucial for the fabrication of sustainable building materials (The Constructor, 2025). Different versions of recycled steel can be produced in different ways, depending on the specific requirements (The Constructor, 2025). An effective method is the construction of steel rods and rebar since structural steel is highly recyclable and provides exceptional strength throughout the construction phase (Meyer, 2024). Steel is one of the most recycled building materials, and this makes it available in large quantities, which also makes it an environmentally wise choice for building other structures (Meyer, 2024). For example, reprocessed steel scrap has new value added as replacement bars or structural parts (Eli, 2024).

To reduce construction costs, using steel scrap lowers the expenditure for fresh natural resources as well as energy and fuel (HomeSight, 2025). Using recycled steel in new construction projects minimizes energy usage and reduces the carbon footprint of the project (BigRentz, 2023). Recycled steel, which comes from scrap metal, considerably lessens the environmental impacts of producing steel (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). The use of recycled steel in the creation of sustainable building materials enables architects and building owners to lower construction energy expenditures while helping to make the world more sustainable (The Constructor, 2025).

Composite materials

Those composites created from two or more substances are more useful, lower-cost housing construction materials and have a lot of potential. Timber, steel, and concrete have been replaced with jute, sisal, and coir as they are identified as eco-friendly and cost-effective. Coir fiber-reinforced composite doors made with natural resin are economically viable and sustainable for door construction. Steel composite materials manufactured by pultrusion are very cheap, but at the same time they have high durability and are corrosion resistant (Eli, 2024).

Significant concern implied by any waste stream should yield consideration of their redesign so that these materials need not be relegated to 'substitute' status whereby regardless of reasons including inferior performance-properties pass unappreciated. In a majority of cases, they provide exceptional performance characteristics which can either be better than conventional materials for certain applications or offer functionalities that cannot be provided by virgin materials. This shifts their value proposition away from merely achieving lower expenses or ecological benefits toward marketing them as sophisticated alternatives devised to fill specific design or functional voids, thereby broadening their appeal. The area for creation, processing, and utilization is highly sensitive to geography when it comes to the economic viability of turning waste into resources. The funding for transporting bulk waste or worked on materials greatly outmatches any savings that could come from material acquisition. This suggests that smaller, region based processing plants may be more cost effective and environmentally friendly compared to large national ones. This promotes regional closed-loop economies.

Applications and performance of waste-derived building materials in low-cost construction

In building construction, the incorporation of various waste by-products is especially critical for economic efficiency in low-cost construction projects. Their effectiveness in other applications is crucial. This chapter evaluates these materials in their practical dimensions and benchmarks them against accepted standards.

Structural and non-structural applications

The application of waste derived materials is ever expanding to include non-structural fillers as well as essential load bearing components of building structures, marking the numerous advancements within the sector. ConstructionPlacements (2024) identifies concrete and asphalt as two of the most recycled materials in post-industrial society in construction. C&D recycling stands for construction and demolition recycling, which refers to reusing obsolete structures. During the demolition of structures such as buildings, sidewalks, and roads, concrete and blacktop can be reclaimed and shredded for use in new construction projects, which is referred to as COB recycling. Rubble is composed of a variety of new raw materials and waste products thus reducing construction debris and landfill waste (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA) are part of the solution to the concrete waste problem since they enable the reuse of concrete debris obtained from demolished buildings (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). The technique claims less than a quarter's worth of new material waste from buried trash (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). It's surprising how the stone-like material produced through concrete breakdown serves as an aggregate, replacing new rock (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Correspondingly, ConstructionPlacements (2024) claims that ageing infrastructures such as barns also mark the origin of reclaimed wood along with various other wine containers like barrels and factories. This wood is usually of higher quality than newly harvested timber because it comes from mature trees. For these reasons, 'reclaimed wood' became popular due to its less harmful eco attributes alongside distinct nature and powerful structural benefits (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Now being applied in construction, reclaimed wood has previously been utilized for other purposes (The Constructor, 2025). Reclaimed wood can be obtained from multiple sources, including dismantled furniture and demolished buildings (The Constructor, 2025).

Construction projects use recycled plastic siding, roofing, and insulation along with window frames, decking, and even outdoor furniture (The Constructor, 2025). Various building applications can benefit from durable composites made from recycled plastics when these materials are mixed with others (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). According to Keter Environmental Services (2024), utilizing plastic waste can help divert it from landfills and oceans while simultaneously helping with the strength and durability of construction materials. While non-structural applications are the focus for most, the moisture- and rot-resistant nature, along with good insulation properties, enable various semi-structural and protective uses where strong durability and resistance to degradation is needed. The ability of these materials to impact aesthetics also portrays their value, alongside reinforcing structural integrity and improving building resilience, making them compelling.

Performance metrics and durability

Acceptance and widespread use will depend on performance metrics of such materials derived from waste. These studies show that Recycled Concrete Aggregates (RCA) manufactured from crushed concrete of demolished structures outperform traditional materials in a number of critical attributes (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). This practice diverts waste from landfills and reduces the need for new materials (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). Green concrete is made using recycled materials such as fly ash, slag, or silica fume as a partial replacement for cement (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). This reduces the carbon footprint of concrete production and enhances the final product's durability and performance (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Reclaimed wood comes from old-growth timber, indicating it has ancient, dense, slow-growth characteristics, which provide its enduring strength, making the wood stronger because it ages seamlessly over time.

Recycled plastic can serve several functions within the construction industry, such as in the roofing, insulation, and structural elements of buildings (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). The use of different types of lumber is potentially harmful to the environment as it requires harmful chemical treatments, unlike the plastic sheets and plastic lumber building components, which use recycled materials. Plastic lumber does not require preservatives and sealants such as treated wood (Meyer, 2024; BigRentz, 2023). In addition to windows and doors, glass is applicable in numerous construction materials. Glass can be cut into pieces called cullets and used to create new glass products or be added to concrete as a decorative feature (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Substituting virgin materials with glass allows for a reduction of 50% in water pollution and 20% in air pollution. Also used is rubber, which is recycled from old tires. The rubber is cut and shaped into floor panels which are durable, slip-resistant, easy to maintain, and ideal for gyms, playgrounds, and commercial spaces (Meyer, 2024). In the absence of these materials' performance characteristics, one might assume that their quality is substandard (ConstructionPlacements, 2024).

Case studies and examples

While there were no specific case studies provided by the authors, the thorough, diverse applications of waste streams illustrate successful real-world construction. Beginning with the first fully solar-powered apartment community in California, Solara is located in Poway near San Diego. The property has the perspective of being the first in the state multifamily community solar-powered blueprint: it has 56 affordable one- to three-bedroom apartments with a 2100 sq. ft. community center. Besides solar power, the project has other green features, which include the Use of recycled materials throughout the building and in public art (ULI–the Urban Land Institute, 2008). The SOS Children's Villages Lavezzorio Community Center was built to serve both foster care families and the surrounding community (Figure 1). It aims for optimal sibling placement while training foster parents geared towards reunification. Due to budget constraints, the project used concrete aggregate that they recycled from different construction sites around Chicago, giving the facade an appearance of bands (Rethinking The Future, 2023).

Figure 1: SOS children's villages lavezzorio community center, chicago

Designed to support healthy indoor air quality, which includes green label carpeting, carpet padding made from recycled fabrics, linoleum in kitchens, bathrooms, and entryways, and insulation and cabinet fronts made of formaldehyde-free materials. The 120-acre Hig Point mixed income in West Seattle, Washington, is a project under the federal HOPE VI housing program that aims to model the construction of sustainable affordable houses. Originally built as a World War II housing project, High Point was built with many features intended to foster a sustainable, healthy environment. Upon completion, the project will include 1,700 single-family residences, multi-family housing units, and rental apartments, of which 796 will be set aside as affordable rentals for family units earning between 30 and 80 percent of the region's median income, 744 will be market-rate for-sale units, and 160 will be designated for market-rate rental units for seniors. The developers and the project's architect reviewed the cost implications of the various green building options on the High Point project's budget. The projected cost for 'green elements' was $1.5 million or about 3% of the total $43 million set for the rental housing budget. Residential units include advanced heating systems, on-demand hot water heaters, whole-house fans, moisture-resistant drywall, energy-efficient windows, as well as high-efficiency washers and dryers, and floors made from recycled materials (ULI–the Urban Land Institute, 2008). The dismantling of an 18,000-ton concourse connector at Portland International Airport serves as an example of using existing structures in construction to improve the usefulness of a site while greatly minimizing materials and construction waste. Showcasing sustainable design innovation, the Kendeda Building for innovative sustainable design incorporates throughout the building two-by-fours and joists made from reclaimed wood thus highlighting reclaimed materials use. During the Gulf State Park Lodge project, 120 tons of gypsum board wastes were recycled by removing it as a soil amendment for peanut farming, showing clear economic and ecological benefits with material waste mitigation (Clem, 2024). These different types of work show complete evidence of successful performance in constructing lower-cost buildings using construction and demolition waste repurposed materials.

There is a notable gap between the public perception and scientifically proven performance of these materials, which are deemed, recycled. As for RCA, reclaimed wood, and certain plastics alongside glass cullet and TDA, they not only meet but often surpass expectations. Regardless of this fact, the perceived safety, aesthetic value, and durability of buildings crafted from reconstituted materials represent a major obstacle to market acceptance (Table 2).

Table 2: Comparative analysis of key properties: Conventional vs. Waste-derived building materials

Property	Conventional Concrete (Virgin Aggregate)	Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA)	Conventional Lumber (Virgin)	Reclaimed Wood	Virgin Plastics	Recycled Plastics (HDPE, PET)
Compressive Strength	High	Comparable	High	Often Superior	N/A (for structural)	N/A (for structural)
Thermal Conductivity	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low (Good Insulation)
Cost (Relative)	Medium-High	Low (Reduced Acquisition, Local Sourcing)	Medium	Medium-High (Processing)	Medium	Low (Material Acquisition)
Embodied Energy (Relative)	High	Low (Reduced Extraction/Processing)	Medium-High	Low (Reduced Harvesting/Processing)	High	Low (Reduced Manufacturing)
Durability/Other Benefit	Excellent	Excellent, Reduced Landfill Burden	Excellent	Excellent, Unique Aesthetics, Forest Conservation	Excellent	Moisture/Rot Resistance, Lightweight

It can be inferred that acceptance is not only driven by validation of the efficacy of resources used in construction. Focus must be given to trust-building, debunking myths, overcoming psychological barriers, and bolstering public perception through education campaigns and high-profile showcase initiatives aimed at lower-income housing areas, as perceptions of quality tend to dominate.

Economic and environmental benefits of sustainable waste-derived construction

The sustainable development approach combines economic advantages with a profound environmental impact within the framework of strategically using construction waste materials. This section highlights cost savings alongside reductions in environmental impact and the overall principles of circular economy.

Quantifying cost savings

The savings obtained from purchasing while using recycled materials as compared to virgin materials stand out because of their economic attractiveness. This is, indeed, because, in a good number of instances, recycled materials are cheaper than the virgin ones, which offers great value to construction undertakers and developers (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). The prevailing cost of recycled materials is lower (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Products made out of recycled materials are made from already existing materials, which would result to wastes which lessen the need for new materials that are expensive to procure, thus saving on construction expenditures (U.S. General Services Administration, 2025). Reduced access to materials, particularly old concrete structures, suggested added value in construction works. In addition to the direct material costs, the transportation expenses for construction projects are particularly noteworthy within the budget scope. Efficiency saves both on-site transport costs and reduces expenses overall, which has multiple financial and environmental impacts (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Waste procurement processes greatly benefit from being carried out close to processing facilities. This approach is both cost-effective and ecologically efficient as well. Aside from reduced emissions from local transport spending, emissions resulting from long-distance waste transport or delivery of virgin materials diminish immensely. This model also promotes the circulation of money within the region instead of the regional economy.

Avoidance of landfill tipping fees for C&D waste is another notable cost-saving measure. Construction companies have been facing prohibitive costs when disposing of construction waste. On the brighter side, however, recycling waste out of construction projects reduces operational expenses along with long-term maintenance costs associated with landfills. Decreasing the overall construction expenditures by reducing disposal costs reduces overall costs (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). The reduction in the disposal of construction and demolition (C&D) materials aids in lowering the overall building project costs due to the purchase and disposal cost savings, as well as the donation to 501(c)(3) charities, which gives tax benefits (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). The use of materials that would be landfilled provides savings on waste disposal fees (Keter Environmental Services, 2024). Reduction of these expenditures aligns with promoting sustainable construction, economically reinforced by the cumulative effect termed “low-cost” construction. The low-cost building materials aid in making affordable housing available to the low-income population. From these considerations, the construction sector has an opportunity to give homeowners even more affordable, eco-friendly, and energy-efficient materials while also preserving the environment. These materials not only reduce housing costs but are also significant in promoting a greener and sustainable built environment (Eli, 2024). These construction cost reductions can doubly benefit the low-cost housing initiatives through stronger performance on environmental measures.

Environmental footprint reduction

The preservation and protection of the environment through recycling of construction and demolition (C&D) waste forms one of the most important aspects by addressing the solution towards the challenge of primary construction materials. Construction products use less energy, and much construction entails an enormous amount of mining, which requires energy (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Utilizing existing waste through recycling lowers the new material's extraction, such as aggregates, timber, mining, and logging to harvest natural resources. This modification significantly reduces energy related to extracting, refining, and hauling freshly mined materials.

Research into Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) substantiates that buildings constructed with these recycled C&D parts have a smaller footprint compared to buildings constructed using wholly virgin materials. This translates to higher efficiency from such buildings in emissions, water usage, and overall environmental impact. Recycling C&D materials is less carbon intense, so the energy consumption along with carbon emissions is substantially lower (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). Such as, in the case of reclaimed wood, these practices divert wood waste from landfills, assist in forest preservation, and enhance carbon sequestration because the carbon within the repurposed wood is stored instead of released into the atmosphere. Mitigating the disposal of C&D waste restrains the negative effects on the environment caused by the extraction and processing of new materials and the recovery of new resources (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Aside from these materials lowering expenditures for construction, they also mitigate the environmental impact (HomeSight, 2025). These advantages boost the need for utilizing waste in climate change mitigation strategies as well as achieving ecological equilibrium.

Contribution to circular economy principles

The complete use of C&D waste exemplifies embracing a "circular economy," which serves as the foundation for recycling granulated construction materials into the building industry. Circularity in construction pertains to strategies to extend the useful life of materials and curtail the volume of unused materials, which advances sustainability and cost-efficiency in construction practices (Clem, 2024). This model strives to minimize the resources needed, the waste created, and the materials used, as well as to reuse and renew repeatedly instead of following the traditional linear "take-make-dispose" model. Fostering the adoption of recycled construction materials helps to form a circular economy – an economy aimed at mitigating ecological harm by perpetually reusing resources, rather than discarding them (Eli, 2024). Value retention through halting waste streams and closing material loops helps transform construction industry waste into valuable materials.

The benefits extend to society when construction shifts towards a circular economy. Change is less vulnerable to the volatile supply of primary materials and creates a sustainable support backbone of resilient supply chains. This construction change stimulates new green jobs and waste management industries through innovative material science and processing technologies, increasing industrial productivity. Using C&D waste in building construction is not just an environmental endeavor, but marks a systemic shift towards an economic model where waste – operable stratum – is primarily viewed as a design flaw instead of an outcome. Circularity as a concept applicable in construction needs greater embrace within the architecture and construction disciplines to enable a sustainable, affordable future for the built world (Clem, 2024).

Challenges, barriers, and the policy landscape in the United States

The practicality of waste-derived building materials is evident, and their technical feasibility is compelling, along with a host of associated benefits; however, in the United States, their adoption faces considerable barriers. These barriers include complications with regulations, lack of market acceptance, issues with the supply chain, and context within policy frameworks.

Regulatory hurdles and standardization issues

The absence of a cohesive regulatory framework at a federal level is arguably the strongest barrier to adoption. It is a common occurrence within a given region that local building codes pay little to no attention to provisions for sub-clauses, guidelines, or frameworks on the inclusion of recycled content in building construction. This lack of uniformity poses major challenges to construction companies, manufacturers, architects, and other stakeholders who constantly deal with shifting regulations and standards that can vary greatly from one jurisdiction to another. Most state-of-the-art architectures deal with unstandardized testing processes for materials derived from waste. It becomes impossible to guarantee consistent quality, predict extended outcomes, and receive approval from building officials without universally accepted testing protocols and performance standards. Absence of these standards directly hampers market growth as compliance costs and financial risks for innovations are heightened. Recyclability has to be considered as a feature in building designs, requiring corresponding codes and benchmarks for the application of recycled materials in building construction, as noted in (Keter Environmental Services, 2024).

Market acceptance and public perception

Aside from the barriers set by regulation, there is a market barrier due to the acceptance of the concept, as well as public perception. On the market side, there is lingering belief, even among some construction industry circles, concerning the safety, long-term dependability, and aesthetic value of buildings made with recycled materials. Such sentiments often result from some not knowing, being misinformed, or simply viewing anything termed recycled as substandard. Changing this mindset requires considerable work within the public sphere using communication interfaces, the performance of the materials, their actual properties, and high-quality demonstration projects. In the absence of these efforts, technically strong and economically sound materials have little hope of finding market acceptance, no matter how sophisticated the construction technologies employed.

Supply chain inconsistencies and quality control

The practical circumstances surrounding the management of construction and demolition (C&D) waste pose notable obstacles to increasing the application of waste-derived materials. One of the challenges is the inconsistent availability and unreliable quality of C&D waste streams. The types and grades of construction and demolition (C&D) waste vary depending on the age, type of structure, and demolition techniques used. This variation complicates the large-scale production and processing of materials, which in turn affects the economic feasibility and assurance of the final goods. In order to achieve reliable outcomes, consistent performance standards, and adequate policies, significant funding for advanced sorting technologies, material processing systems, elaborate quality control systems, and automatic computerized monitoring systems is required. It is better for the environment if the processes of manufacturing construction materials aim at reducing waste and using energy-efficient production technologies (Eli, 2024).

These types of technological, perceptual, and regulatory obstacles have to be solved as a single challenge. For example, a negative public view concerning adoption can hinder even the most advanced sorting technologies for resolving consistency of quality issues owing to a lack of cohesion in governing laws. A solution to these issues seems to require a blend of addressing operational accomplishable, compliance alignment, acceptance by the market, and indeed the public. In the absence of a cohesive solution, there is a "chicken-and-egg" problem: without demand, manufacturers would be hesitant to invest; demand growth is stifled due to lack of quality and certainty in regulations, while regulations stall without validated market reasoning paired with public goodwill.

Current US policies and incentives

Some steps to encourage the utilization of recycled materials into construction are available within the policy framework in the US, but the impact of these policy instruments is inconsistent. EPA endorses Sustainable Materials Management (SMM) policy which advocates for the classification of certain C&D materials as commodities, thus eliminating the need to source and refine virgin resources (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Market supply is also stimulated through economic measures like tax subsidies for incorporating recycled materials, grants to fund construction of recycling facilities, and lower landfill admission fees for C&D waste. These policies are intended to enhance the economic viability of recycled materials and to help develop the appropriate processing facilities (Table 3).

However, these policies may not have a significant impact and scope. In many cases, it depends on funding, the design of the incentives, and political will to implement the incentives' enforcement. Taking into account the fundamental barriers identified, viable policies that are minimalistic or incremental in nature, on their own, fall short. Instead, the approach must be taken as a unidirectional force that accelerates market change. Policies cannot simply provide passive support. This suggests that they need to be proactive and strong enough to eliminate forces maintaining the same status quo, internalizing environmental externalities such as landfilling infrastructure costs, and economic ossification where recycled materials are not allowed to compete equitably to virgin materials. It is possible these policies will need to go further, by setting specific requirements for the amount of materials with recycled content required to be purchased in public tenders, or performance-based building codes that reward innovation in sustainable material usage. As asserted in (Pacific Sanitation, 2021), LEED guides the C&D recycling industry toward environmentally sound construction practices by ensuring LEED certified buildings consume less resources, reduce lifecycle waste, and undergo low maintenance. Green building certifications award the use of recycled materials, motivating further shifts in the construction industry (Keter Environmental Services, 2024).

Opportunities and future directions for waste-derived building materials

While examining building materials derived from waste, both the obstacles and rich potential for innovation

Table 3: Overview of US policies and initiatives supporting waste-to-building material use

Policy/Initiative Name (Example)	Governing Level	Type	Description/Objective	Current Status/Effectiveness
Federal Procurement Requirements (e.g., EPA's CPG)	Federal	Regulatory Mandate	Requires federal agencies to purchase products with recycled content, including construction materials.	Active, drives demand in federal projects.
State-level C&D Waste Diversion Goals	State	Regulatory Goal	Sets targets for diverting C&D waste from landfills, often through recycling or reuse.	Varies by state, encourages infrastructure development.
Tax Credits for Recycled Content Use	State/Local	Economic Incentive	Provides financial incentives for businesses using recycled materials in construction.	Varies by jurisdiction, stimulates investment.
Grants for Recycling Infrastructure	Federal/State	Grant Program	Funds development of C&D recycling facilities and technologies.	Active, supports supply chain development.
Reduced Landfill Tipping Fees for Recycled Waste	Local	Economic Incentive	Lowers disposal costs for sorted C&D waste destined for recycling.	Active in some municipalities, encourages source separation.
Model Green Building Codes (e.g., IgCC, CALGreen)	National/State	Building Code	Incorporates provisions for recycled content and C&D waste management.	Adopted by various jurisdictions, promotes sustainable practices.

stand out. Adopting these technologies can become easier through emerging solutions, strategic partnerships, and proactive policies directed toward furthering these sustainable, low-cost options.

Emerging technologies and innovations

Novel applications for intricate and composite construction and demolition (C&D) waste streams are still being researched and developed. This is extremely nascent due to the barriers of material separation and processing, which often results in landfilling heterogeneous waste streams. Recycled construction practices (ConstructionPlacements, 2024) allow the design of unique building components through 3D printing, which can be created from waste materials. Arqlite, a recycling technology firm, produces artificial gravel made exclusively from recycled plastics. This product serves as a lightweight, insulating substitute for mineral gravel in construction (Cemex Ventures, 2023). This artificial gravel developed by Arqlite surpasses traditional mineral gravel by being lighter threefold and tenfold more insulative, making it an environmentally sustainable and economically beneficial material for infrastructure construction (Cemex Ventures, 2023). Cemex Ventures is investing in startups that aim to shift preconstruction and construction processes into a net-zero carbon workflow by developing building materials from construction waste or non-recyclable plastics (Cemex Ventures, 2023). Hempcrete emerges as a carbon-negative alternative material derived from hemp fiber and lime - it is striking in its resemblance to concrete while being lighter and offering thermal and acoustic insulation as well as fire resistance, making it a more environmentally-friendly Option (Cemex Ventures, 2023). Moreover, advancements in sorting technologies, including robotics and AI, are essential to enhance the grade and uniformity of the recovered materials. In conjunction with novel methods of material processing, these innovations serve to bolster the confidence and repeatability of the performance of building products manufactured from waste materials, thus broadening their scope and acceptance in the market. Effectively processing construction and demolition (C&D) waste could drastically transform the economics and environmental impact of C&D waste management. This new approach could forge entirely new categories of economical, renewable building materials.

Scaling adoption and market growth

As with any breakthrough, scaling the use of waste-derived materials in construction requires collaboration through all steps of the value chain. Strong collaborations among various relevant stakeholders such as waste management companies, material suppliers, manufacturers, architects, and contractors are supportive of effective supply chains, a steady inflow of materials and waste conversion into products, and installation of structures in a constant flow. Such collaborations deal with reliable partnerships that ensure the material is not only made from waste, but also is verifiably renewable, making the product's life cycle truly sustainable. These partnerships can also enable the sharing of innovations and facilitate the exchange of knowledge and best practices.

Moreover, addressing the issue of perception together with other acceptance barriers requires priority attention. This necessitates open engagement, verification, and showcasing projects that boost public perception. These materials' assumed advantage of being low-cost in general adds luster to public perception, especially for low-cost housing initiatives where budget-friendly construction is welcomed alongside better environmental performance. Framing this enhances wider market penetration and positions environmentally sustainable practices as economically sane rather than luxurious.

Recommendations for policy, industry, and research

The construction industry is facing new oportunities to close the circle by integrating policies, industries, and research to utilize post-consumer waste materials.

For policymakers

Harmonize Building Codes and Standards: Formulate and enforce model building codes at the national or regional level that include specific provisions and operational waste-to-energy standards for recycled content. This would eliminate regulatory ambiguity and enhance competition in the market.

Strengthen Economic Incentives: Introduce and improve economic incentives like tax rebates for incorporating recycled materials, funding recycling infrastructure, and subsidized landfill costs for already separated construction demolition and debris waste. These incentives should aim to level the playing field for materials with reclaimed market demand.

Promote Localized Processing: Adopt policy frameworks that promote local construction and demolition waste processing facilities after acknowledging their discrepancies in transport cost effects and energy consumption.

For industry

Invest in Advanced Technologies: Direct financial resources toward improving sorting equipment and new material processing techniques to increase the consistency and reliability of recycled constituents for building products.

Foster Collaborative Supply Chains: Engage in interdisciplinary outreach with all stakeholders such as waste processors, architects, contractors, and builders to create effective sustainable supply chains and promote sustainable practices within the industry.

Educate and Demonstrate: Remove perceptual barriers and address public and industry stakeholder concerns by demonstrating the safety, performance, and aesthetic appeal of recycled construction materials through proactive communication and demonstration projects.

Embrace Circularity: The highly adaptive and intentional waste and resource management practices coupled with flexible design makes the construction industry one of the most able sectors to reduce costs, waste, and natural resource consumption (Clem, 2024).

For researchers

Focus on Mixed Waste Streams: Shift focus from separation of materials to intermingled C&D waste streams and novel application cases: enhance R&D on processing mixed C&D waste streams to overcome diverse material limitations.

Develop Performance Data: Provide evidence of waste materials-derived structures to be environmentally sound, durable, and structurally strong through rigorous, long-term performance data generation studies.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Expansion: Broaden scope of available data on waste-derived building materials and building type using LCA and promote the use of waste-derived materials and support more granular data with expanding building types and materials.

To efficiently incorporate waste-derived materials into construction at an industrial scale, a new highly integrated, collaborative circular ecosystem needs to be adopted in place of the linear, siloed supply chain system. Waste managers must adapt to the needs of manufacturers, who require consistent high-quality feedstock. On the other hand, dependability in supply, installation expertise, and proven performance data, alongside design flexibility, is required by architects, contractors, and other key stakeholders. Without robust partnerships and open communication across the entire value chain, inconsistencies, along with regulatory and public perception issues, will perpetually stall progress. Collaboration, therefore, enables overcoming systemic barriers alongside building market confidence, as well as realizing the full potential of construction materials derived from waste.

Conclusion

According to estimations, the United States generates over 600 million tons of construction and demolition waste (C&D) annually, posing a critical issue to waste management because it represents a considerable percentage of the national waste. The prevalence of greenhouse gas emissions, leachate formation, and precious landfill space consumption are some of the environmentally damaging consequences associated with C&D waste's environmental sore thumb, C&D landfills. Nonetheless, the commanding flow of waste offers an incredible opportunity in terms of the construction of cost-efficient and sustainable buildings.

Based on the arguments discussed in this paper, it is evident that the merits associated with the innovative practice of value-addition in demolitions exceed any potential cons posed by lack of material-recycling infrastructure in C&D structures. Reusing materials is a more beneficial solution towards waste management and construction as it reduces expenses (Eli, 2024). Reducing construction-generated waste and the preservation of natural resources is achieved through utilizing recycled materials, which happens to be one of the most effective ways (ConstructionPlacements, 2024). Wood waste, plastic, and glass, to name a few, can be used as building components for masonry, flooring, and insulation, respectively (Eli, 2024). The recycling of asphalt and concrete, along with wood and metal, translates to tangible C&D waste resources that can significantly lessen the volume of C&D waste sent for landfilling, thus promoting sustainable development (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2025). Recycling helps construction companies save money in the short run and limits the long-term costs incurred for operating and maintaining landfills (Pacific Sanitation, 2021). There's Recycled Concrete Aggregate (RCA), which competes structurally with virgin aggregates; reclaimed wooden parts tend to have exceptional strength and beauty, and various forms of plastics are known to be moisture- and rot-resistant. Life Cycle Assessment studies always show that buildings that incorporate these recycled materials tend to have a smaller environmental footprint, which makes them truly sustainable in regard to circular economy principles. The construction industry has an undeniable economic reason for sustainability. If the industry proves that adopting sustainable practices is economically logical, especially for low-cost housing, this will expand the so-called "green" market into a more rational, economically-driven market.

Even with these benefits, there are still hurdles to widespread adoption. These barriers are often associated with public perception problems concerning the safety and visual appeal of recycled materials, the persistent segmented regulatory systems, and the incomplete standard methodologies for testing, as well as the inconsistent supply and quality of C&D waste streams that require sorting and advanced processing technologies. Furthermore, current market incentives remain insufficient to alter the state of the economy in a fundamental way.

To overcome the barriers stifling the uptake of waste-derived building materials and low-cost, flexible construction in the US, a purposeful, multi-level coalition strategy is required that incorporates all major stakeholders. This also requires a national framework that guides with model building codes and standardized testing alongside federal incentives, but also encourages and rewards local strategies for waste collection and processing at the regional level for easier access to materials. The motto "think nationally, act locally" guides those who want to spread C&D reuse across the diverse geographical and regulatory landscape of the US while still tuning every project to its community's economic goals and environmental needs.

References

BigRentz. (2023). 20 *sustainable building materials for a greener future*. Retrieved from https://www.bigrentz.com/blog/sustainable-construction?srsltid=AfmBOorpyNRUnOf31gWMRSSFZTgWO6AaKjswJAjkN-FcXjVyHgEltO_3

Cemex Ventures. (2023). *Top 10 sustainable building materials for eco-friendly construction*. Retrieved from https://www.cemexventures.com/sustainable-building-materials

Clem, S. (2024). *How circularity can reduce waste in construction*. Earth.Org. Retrieved from https://earth.org/op-ed-how-circularity-can-reduce-waste-in-construction

ConstructionPlacements. (2024). *Recycled materials for building construction: A sustainable approach for the future.* Retrived from https://www.constructionplacements.com/recycled-materials-for-building-construction/#google_vignette

Eli. (2024). *Low-cost building materials in affordable housing construction*. Build-Construct. Retrieved from https://build-construct.com/building/low-cost-building-materials

Faye, K. C. (2024). *Zero waste construction: A calculated approach.* Waste Cost Solutions. Retrieved from https://www.wastecostsolutions.com/zero-waste-construction-a-calculated-approach

HomeSight. (2025). *Revolutionizing affordable housing: Innovative materials & methods for cost-effective urban development*. HomeSight.org. Retrieved from https://homesight.org/effective-materials-and-methods-for-building-affordable-housing

Keter Environmental Services. (2024). *Recyclable building materials help construction companies reduce waste.* Retrieved from https://www.keteres.com/resource/recyclable-building-materials

Meyer, S. (2024). *15 green building materials for an eco-conscious home. The Zebra*. Retrieved from https://www.thezebra.com/resources/home/green-building-materials

Pacific Sanitation. (2021). *Sustainable management of construction and demolition materials*. Retrieved from https://www.pacificsanitation.com/sustainable-management-of-construction-and-demolition-materials

Rethinking The Future. (2023). *15 architectural projects made out of recycled materials.* Retrieved from https://www.re-thinkingthefuture.com/designing-for-typologies/a4102-15-architectural-projects-made-out-of-recycled-materials

The Constructor. (2025). *10 sustainable building materials for eco-friendly constructio*n. Retrieved from https://theconstructor.org/sustainability/10-sustainable-building-materials-for-eco-friendly-construction/569864

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2025). *Sustainable management of construction and demolition materials*. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/smm/sustainable-management-construction-and-demolition-materials

U.S. General Services Administration. (2025). *Materials & resources. Sustainable Facilities Tool*. Retrieved from https://www.gsa.gov/governmentwide-initiatives/federal-highperformance-buildings/highperformance-building-clearinghouse

ULI–the Urban Land Institute. (2008). *ULI Community Catalyst Report Number 7: Environmentally sustainable affordable housing*. Retrieved from https://uli.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/Report-7-Environmentally-Sustainable-Affordable-Housing.ashx_.pdf