An epidemiological study of sheep and goat pox outbreaks in the Sudan

Ahmed Zein Elabdeen Mahmoud^{1,2*}, Abdelmalik Ibrahim Khalafalla^{3,4}, Muaz Magzob Abdellatif^{5,6}

¹Hail Veterinary Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture. Saudi Arabia, ²Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries, Khartoum, Sudan, ³Camel Research Centre, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia, ⁴Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, Sudan, ⁵Department of Biology (Microbiology), Faculty of Arts & Sciences, Northern Borders University, Saudi Arabia, ⁶Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Nyala, Sudan

Received: 20.01.2016 Accepted: 11.02.2016 Published: 23.03.2016

*Address for

correspondence: Ahmed Zeinelabdeen Mahmoud, Hail Veterinary Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture. Saudi Arabia. Postal Code; 81462, Saudi Arabia. Email:ahmedzein78@yahoo. com

ABSTRACT

Sheep pox (SP) and goat pox (GP) outbreaks occurred in the different geographic areas of Sudan and most strikingly, were highly species specific. Two outbreaks in Gedaref State in June 2013 affected no goats and outbreak in Khartoum state in March 2015 affected no sheep despite communal herding; affected goats were vaccinated with 0240 strain. Clinically, the disease was characterized by fever, depression, and eruption of generalized pox lesions. Mortality rate ranged between 5.2% and 6.7% with a mean of 6.1%. Isolation of viruses succeed on lamb testes cell culture at passage four; the diseases were diagnosed using virus neutralization test and polymerase chain reaction. SP and GP isolates grew well in lamb testes and vero cells. In Madin–Darby bovine kidney; however, both viruses induced slight cytopathic effect (CPE) that reached 60% in 9 days. On the other hand, both isolates induced no CPE in chick embryo fibroblast cells. Virus isolation attempts failed on chorioallantoic membrane of embryonated chicken eggs.

KEY WORDS: Capripox, Epidemiology, Isolation, PCR, Sudan

INTRODUCTION

Sheep pox (SP) virus (SPPV), goat pox (GP) virus (GTPV), and lumpy skin disease virus are the members of Capripoxviruses (CaPVs) genus of the Poxviridae family. They are responsible for economically important poxvirus disease in sheep, goats, and cattle, respectively (Bhanuprakash et al., 2006; 2010). SP is an OIE notifiable and transboundary disease of sheep and goats (Babiuk et al., 2008a). It have major impacts on small ruminant production in Africa (north of the equator), the Middle East, central Asia, and the Indian subcontinent (Babiuk et al., 2008a; Kitching and Carn, 2008b), due to the high morbidity and mortality associated with disease in susceptible sheep and goats (Garner et al., 2000; Bhanuprakash et al., 2005). In the Sudan, the presence of the disease had been confirmed since 1940 (Bennet et al., 1944), and it has remained enzootic as well as in most part of Africa. It was known to be a seasonal disease associated with the cold winter (Muzichin and Ali, 1979). However, in the last few years, outbreaks of the disease were observed at different times of the year. GP has also been confirmed in the Sudan and caused severe disease in both goats and sheep (Rao and Bandyopadhyay, 2000).

Diagnosis of SP and GP is usually based on highly characteristic clinical signs, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), virus isolation, and virus neutralization test (VNT) although protocols exist for the use of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, western blotting, and several other assays (OIE, 2008). Socioeconomic factors preclude the use of test and slaughter policy and it is difficult to monitor animal movement. Vaccination is an economically feasible alternative to control by eradication of the disease (Rao and Bandyopadhyay, 2000).

This study details; the characteristics of SP and GP outbreaks in Gedaref and Khartoum state, Sudan, isolation and identification of viruses and properties of isolates in spectrum of cell cultures were investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

In June 2013, a pox-like disease was noted in sheep by their herder at Shott and Abu Garra regions, Gedaref State, Eastern Sudan, and in goats vaccinated with 0240 strain at Hillat Kuku, Khartoum State, Sudan in March 2015. Clinical signs and epidemiological data were recorded.

Collection of Samples and Processing

Skin scabs were collected aseptically in 50% glycerol for virus isolation and without a preservative for PCR and vesicle swabs. Skin scabs were homogenized using sterile mortars and pestle with the aid of sterile sand and physiological saline, 20% suspensions were made and then centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min. Vesicle swabs were resuspended in 2 ml of Glasgow modified Eagle's medium (GMEM) and then centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 min. Supernatant fluids were collected into sterile bottles and treated with antibiotics (1000 IU penicillin – 250 mg of streptomycin) and 5.000 IU of mycostatin; the supernatant fluids were left for ½-1 h at 4°C and then stored at -20°Cuntil used.

Virus Isolation

Primary lamb testis (LT) cell culture

Primary LT cell cultures were prepared from prepubertal lamb as described by Plowright and Ferris (1958).

Virus propagation and adaptation

Clinical sample suspensions (0.5 ml) were inoculated into semi-confluent LT in 25 cm² tissue culture flasks, incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Then, the inoculum was removed, and the semi confluent monolayer was washed twice with phosphate diluent and refed with GMEM monitored for 7 days for the appearance of cytopathic effect (CPE), the monolayer was then splitted using trypsin-versin solution, and the infected cells was reseeded again. This procedure was repeated for three passages; cultures were then harvested by freezing and thawing 3 times, centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was collected and preserved at -20° C till used.

Hyper immune serum

Hyper immune serum was kindly provided by the Central Veterinary Research Laboratories, Khartoum, Sudan.

Virus neutralization

The alpha neutralization procedure was performed as described by Beard (1980).

PCR

DNA extraction

PUREGENE[®] DNA isolation kit (Gentra System, Minneapolis, USA) was used according to the manufacturer instructions. Briefly, 500 μ l cell lysis solution was added to 100 mg scab in 2 ml microtube, and the mixture was homogenized, then 2 μ l of proteinase K solution (20 mg/ml) was added to the lysate and the suspension incubated at 55°C for 60 min, followed by addition of 1.5 μ l RNase solution (4 mg/ml). The procedure was then completed as described by the manufacturer.

Positive and negative DNA controls

SP vaccine (strain 0240) was used as positive control. Serial dilutions of the DNA were used to determine the optimum DNA concentration. Double distilled water (DDW) was used as negative control.

PCR

The PCR to amplify the *vap-gene* of CaPVs was as described by Ireland and Binepal (1998).

Analysis of PCR product

The amplicons were separated electrophoretically in 1.5% agarose gel (SIGMA) containing ethidium bromide (1 μ l/40 ml agarose) (PROMEGA, Madison, USA, 10 mg/ml). 5 μ l of 100 bp DNA Ladder (INVETROGEN) were loaded in the first slot of the gel. Then, 5 μ l of the PCR products were mixed with 3 μ l of dye and loaded on the rest of the wells.

Cell culture spectrum

Prepared LT and chicken embryo fibroblast (CEF) cells, vero and Madin–Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cell lines kindely provided by the Veterinary Laboratories Agency, United Kingdom were inoculated with clinical samples, splitted, reseeded, and harvested as described previously.

Inoculation of the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM)

Viral isolates (200 $\mu l)$ were inoculated on the CAM of chick embryos. Two blind passages were made for each before it was considered negative.

RESULTS

Clinical Signs

On clinical examination affected animals showed signs of a fever (40° C), labored breathing, depression, loss of appetite, eruptions on head, nostrils, lips, and areas of skin without wool. The vesicular stage was hemorrhagic with a tendency to generalize turned into

Table 1: Morbidity	and mortality rate	s of CaPVs outbreaks

Area	Animal species	Total animals	No. affected		Mortality (%)
Shott, east river Atbara	Sheep	400	30	25	6.3
Abu Garra, South of Showak	Sheep	550	45	37	6.7
Hillat Kuku	Goats	370	35	19	5.1
Total		1320	110	81	6.1

CaPVs: Capripoxvirus

Table 2: Case fatality rate of CaPVs outbreaks

Age group	Number of affected	Number of dead	Case fatality rate (%)
Young sheep (3-11 months)	45	40	88.9
Adult sheep (1-4 years)	32	25	78.1
Total	77	65	84.4

CaPVs: Capripoxvirus

pustules and scabs (Figure 1). The disease affects all age, sex, and breed groups. Morbidity and mortality rates vary in accordance with the area (Table 1), more than 50% of mortality was reported in young animals (Table 2).

Virus Isolation

LT

Virus isolation onto LT yielded virus in 2 out of 4 tissue homogenates; the CPE appeared in passage four 7 days post-inoculation (PI) that reached 90% 7 days at the 6^{th} passage.

VNT

Isolates were identified as CaPVs by VNT and designated SP1O and GP1.

PCR

All samples gave positive results for *vap-gene*. Bands were detected in the ethidium bromide stained gel that corresponds exactly to the expected size (192 bp) (Figure 2). No amplification product was detected when control negative (DDW) was used as a template.

Growth of CaPVs in Cell Cultures

SP and GP isolate replicated in vero cells and produced 90% CPE at day 7 PI characterized by rounding of cells and destruction of the whole cell sheet (Figure 3). MDBK cells inoculated with SP1O and GP1 showed a few round cell formation up to 60% 9 days PI. No CPE was observed when SP1O and GP1 were inoculated onto CEF cells for 2 passages.

Egg Inoculation

No lesions were produced by inoculation of the CAM with CaPVs isolates.




Figure 1: (a) Adult sheep affected by sheep pox showing pustules on the neck, (b) adult goat affected by goat pox (GP) showing scabs and ulcerations on the udder, (c) adult Saanen goat affected by GP showing ulcers, pustules, and scabs on the tail region




Figure 2: Ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel (1.5%). Lane M: 100 bp ladder, Lane 1: Control positive (vaccine strain 0240), Lane 2: Scab from goat, Lane 3: Scab from sheep, and Lane 4: Control negative (double distilled water)




Figure 3: A vero cells showing rounding of cells at day 7 postinoculation with, (a) sheep pox 10, (b) goat pox 1

DISCUSSION

Three natural outbreaks of CaPV disease have occurred in Sudan between 2013 and 2015. The most remarkable feature of these outbreaks was their species specificity. Despite communal herding of sheep and goats, the outbreaks in Gedaref state caused clinical disease in sheep only while the outbreak in Khartoum state affects goats only. This suggested that the outbreaks were caused by a highly species-specific strain and the third by an equally species-specific GTPV strains. CaPVs are generally considered to be host-specific, leading to outbreaks in one preferred host, but recent records indicated that some strains of SPPV or GTPV could infect both sheep and goats. The GTPV or SPPV strains isolated from outbreaks were usually classified and designated based on the host from which the virus was isolated (Babiuk *et al.*, 2008a; Bhanuprakash et al., 2006, 2010; Beard et al., 2010). However, there are increasing indications that the classifying method is inaccurate (Babiuk et al., 2009; Yan et al., 2012). In the phylogenetic tree analysis, some CaPVs strains were found outside the group corresponding to their host of origin (Le Goff et al., 2009; Lamien et al., 2011). Recently, the causative agent of one outbreak in goats in India was confirmed as SPPV (Bhanuprakash et al., 2010). In such cases, though it is not sufficient to confirm whether the CaPVs are separate entities with wider host spectrum, the possibility still exists that some strains naturally infect and cause disease in more than one animal species (Diallo and Viljoen, 2007).

Clinically, the disease was characterized by fever, labored breathing, depression and loss of appetite, eruptions on the head, nostrils, lips, and skin without wool. The vesicular stage which was hemorrhagic with a tendency to generalize followed by the development of pustules which later turned into scabs. These signs are similar to those described by Kitching and Taylor (1985). Mortality rate ranged between 5.2% and 6.7% with a mean of 6.1%. These mortality rates are lower than that described by Rao and Bandyopadhyay (2000) who reported 16% mortality rate in sheep in India, which may be due to the difference in herd's immunity, strain, and/or dose of the virus involved.

In the third outbreak, GP affected a Saanen herd imported from Holland where SP and GP are eradicated. The affected goats were previously vaccinated with 0240 strain. Infection of this vaccinated herd may points to a vaccination failure that may be due to poor quality vaccine and/or poor application procedure (Tizard, 2004). Similar observations of vaccination failure were previously reported (Sheikh-Ali *et al.*, 2004). Rao and Bandyopadhyay (2000) stated that live vaccine is the best long-term solution, but Sometimes, their usage is limited because of severe pock reaction and/or death of vaccinates due to the generalization of the disease. Two isolates were obtained when four clinical suspensions were inoculated onto LT culture at passage four. Mangana-Vougiouka *et al.* (1999) declared that SPPV isolation is difficult; it grows slowly or requires additional passages, even if cultured in most sensitive LT. Isolates grew well on LT cell culture with no difference in virus yield or type of CPE. Isolates produced characteristic pox CPE. These findings agreed with Adlakha *et al.* (1971), Joshi *et al.* (1994). In vero cells, both viruses produced more than 90% CPE within 7 days PI. In MDBK cells; however, both viruses induced slight CPE that reached 60% in 9 days. On the other hand, both viruses induced no CPE in CEF, results agreed with Ved Parkash *et al.* (1994) and Joshi *et al.* (1995).

Viral isolation attempts on the CAM of chick embryos failed. This result agrees with Onar (1972), Sen and Uppal (1972), Sharma and Dhanda (1972) and Bhatnagar and Gupta (1974), who unable to propagate it in chick embryos. SPPV isolates differ significantly in their growth behavior in embryonated chick eggs (Adlakha *et al.*, 1971; Tantawi and Al Falluji, 1979; Rao and Bandyopadhyay, 2000).

CONCLUSION

Outbreaks of *Capripox* were recorded. Molecular characterization of capripoxvirus strains, with accompanying clinical data, would assist the development of accurate molecular designations of SPPV and GTPV, and help define the species specificity determinants of these viruses. The present situation highlights the need for vaccines that allow differentiation between infected and vaccinated animals. Since serological tests are the only ones which reveal past exposure to the viruses, vaccination prevents epidemiological investigations tracing back the introduction of the virus to the country, hampers surveillance for new outbreaks of the disease, and makes it very difficult to prove freedom from CaPV disease.

REFERENCES

Adlakha SC, Bansal MP, Malik BS. Studies on sheep and goat poxviruses. 1. Adaptation of the viruses in laboratory animals and tissue culture. Indian JAnim Sci 1971;41:171-5.Babiuk S, Bowden TR, Boyle DB, Wallace DB, Kitching RP. Capripoxviruses: An emerging worldwide threat to sheep, goats and cattle. Transbound Emerg Dis 2008;55:263-72.

- Babiuk S, BowdenTR, Parkyn G, Dalman B, Hoa DM, Long NT. Yemen and Vietnam *Capripoxviruses* demonstrate a distinct host preference for goats compared with sheep. J GenVirol 2009;90:105-14.
- Beard CW. Serological procedure. In: Hichner SB, Domermuth CH, Purchase HG, Williams JE, editors. Isolation and Identification of Avian Pathogens. 2nd ed. Kenett Square: American Association of Avian Pathologists; 1980. p. 129-34.
- Beard PM, Sugar S, Bazarragchaa E, Gerelmaa U, Tserendorj SH, Tuppurainen E. A description of two outbreaks of *Capripoxvirus* disease in Mongolia. Vet Microbiol 2010;142:427-31.
- Bennet SC, Horgon ES, Munsur MA. The pox diseases of sheep and goats. J Comp Pathol Ther 1944;54:131-60.
- Bhanuprakash V, Indrani BK, Hosamani M, Singh RK. The current status of sheep pox disease. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2006;29:27-60.
- Bhanuprakash V, Moorthy AR, Krishnappa G, Srinivasa Gowda RN, Indrani BK. An epidemiological study of sheep pox infection in Karnataka State, India. Rev SciTech 2005;24:909-20.
- Bhanuprakash V, Venkatesan G, Balamurugan V, Hosamani M, Yogisharadhya R, Chauhan RS. Pox outbreaks in sheep and goats at Makhdoom (Uttar Pradesh). India: Evidence of sheep pox virus infection in goats. Trans Emerg Dis 2010;57:375-82.
- Bhatnagar A, Gupta BM. Affinity of sheep pox virus (SP8 strain) for heterologous system. Curr Sci 1974;43:254-5.
- Diallo A, Viljoen JG. Genus *Capripoxvirus*. In: Mercer AA, Schmidt A, Weber O, editors. Poxviruses. Basel, Switzerland: Birkhauser; 2007. p. 167-82.
- Garner MG, Sawarkar SD, Brett EK, Edwards JR, KulkarniVB, Boyle DB. The extent and impact of sheep pox and goat pox in the state of Maharashtra, India. Trop Anim Health Prod 2000;32:205-23.
- Ireland DC, Binepal YS. Improved detection of *Capripoxvirus* in biopsy samples by PCR. J Virol Methods 1998;74:1-7.
- Joshi RK, Chandra R, Rao VD, Garg SK. Cytopathogenicity of goat pox virus. Indian J Comp Microbiol Immunol Infect Dis 1994;15:35-6.
- Joshi RK, Garg SK, Chandra R, Sharma VD. Growth and cytopathogenicity of goat pox virus in MDBK cell line. Indian J Virol 1995;11:31-3.
- Kitching RP, Carn VM. Sheep pox and goat pox. In: Office International des Epizooties, Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (Mammals, Birds and Bees). Paris: OIE; 2008b. p. 1058-68.

- Kitching RP, Taylor WP. Clinical and antigenic relationship between isolates of sheep and goat pox viruses. Trop Anim Health Prod 1985;17:64-74.
- Lamien CE, Le Goff C, Silber R, Wallace BD, Gulyaz V, Tuppurainen E. Use of the *Capripoxvirus* homologue of vaccinia virus 30 kDa RNA polymerase subunit (RPO30) gene as a novel diagnostic and genotyping target: Development of a classical PCR method to differentiate goat pox virus from sheep poxvirus. Vet Microb 2011;149:30-9.
- Le Goff C, Lamien CE, Fakhfakh E, Chadeyras A, Aba-Adulugba E, Libeau G. *Capripoxvirus* G-protein-coupled chemokine receptor: A host-range gene suitable for virus animal origin discrimination. J GenVirol 2009;90:1967-77.
- Mangana-Vougiouka O, Markoulatos P, Koptopoulos G, Nomikou K, Bakandritsos N, Papadopoulos O. Sheep pox virus identification by PCR in cell cultures. JVirol Methods 1999;77:75-9.
- Muzichin SI, Ali BE. A study of sheep pox in the Sudan. Bull Anim Health Prod Afr 1979;27:105-12.
- OIE. Manual of Recommended Diagnostic Techniques and Requirements for Biological Products. 6th ed., Vol. 2. Paris: OIE; 2008.
- Onar (1971-72), Cited by Singh IP (1979). Sheep pox: A review. Vet Bull 1979;49:147.
- Plowright W, Ferris RD. The growth and cytopathogenicity of sheep-pox virus in tissue cultures. Br J Exp Pathol 1958;39:424-35.
- Rao TV, Bandyopadhyay SK. A comprehensive review of goat pox and sheep pox and their diagnosis. Anim Health Res Rev 2000;1:127-36.
- Sen Ak, Uppal Pk. Adapation of sheep-pox virus in embryonated eggs. Indian J Anim Sci 1972;42:427. Cited by Singh IP, Pandey R, Srivastava RN. Sheep pox: A review. Vet Bull 1979;49:145-54.
- Sharma SN, Dhanda MR. Cited by Singh IP (1979). Sheep pox: A review. Vet Bull 1972;49:147.
- Sheikh-Ali MA, Hamad ME, Ali BH, Ali AS. Alterations in some epidemiological patterns and virus heterogeneity recently observed in sheep pox outbreaks in the Sudan. Vet Arch 2004;74:341-50.
- Tantawi HH, Al Falluji MM. Laboratory characteristics of four strains of goat pox virus. Acta Virol 1979;23:455-60.
- Tizard IR. Veterinary Immunology: The Use of Vaccines. 7th ed. New York: WH Freeman; 2004. p. 260-71.
- Ved Prakash CR, Rao VD, Garg SK. Cultivation of goat pox virus in established cell line. India J Virol 1994;10:60-63.
- Yan XM, ChuYF, Wu GH, Zhao ZX, Li J, Zhu HX. An outbreak of sheep pox associated with goat poxvirus in Gansu province of China. Vet Microbiol 2012;156:425-8.