

Is the sensitivity to ammonium nutrition related to nitrogen accumulation?

Faouzi Horchani, Rim Hajri and Samira Aschi-Smiti

UR d'Ecologie Végétale – Département des Sciences Biologiques – Faculté des Sciences de Tunis – Campus Universitaire – 1060 Tunis – Tunisie

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

Ammonium, Nitrate, Nitrogen nutrition, Wheat, Tomato, Lucerne

CURRENT BOTANY

CORRESPONDENCE

Faouzi Horchani, UR d'Ecologie Végétale – Département des Sciences Biologiques – Faculté des Sciences de Tunis – Campus Universitaire – 1060 Tunis – Tunisie

E-mail: faouzih20056@yahoo.fr, Tel.: +216 97 70 40 87, Fax: +216 71 88 54 80

Editor

CB Volume 2, Year 2011, Pages 18-22

Introduction

Plants can absorb and use various forms of nitrogen (N) from soils, most importantly the inorganic ions ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) , and in legumes, N can be obtained by N2 fixation by means of a symbiotic relationship of rhizobial species (Munoz and Weaver, 1999). The N source affects numerous physiological processes including not only Nassimilation, but also other processes such as root respiration (Matsumoto and Tamura, 1981), water relations (Ragab, 1980), photosynthesis (Shelp and Taylor, 1990), and secondary metabolism (Wang and Below, 1996). Although most plants use either or both forms as a source of N, NO_3 is generally the preferred source for crop growth (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). However, excessive NO3⁻ application can have detrimental effects such as contamination of ground water via NO3⁻ leaching and gaseous losses of N as N2O, a factor leading to deterioration of ozone layer (Barker and Mills, 1980). Considering the high ability to accumulate NO3 in leaves (Santamaria et al., 1998) and the high toxicity of NO3⁻ to human (Gangolli et al., 1994) and animal health (Bruning-Fun and Kaneene, 1993), NH4⁺ fertilization can be a desirable source of N nutrition under certain conditions (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). Furthermore, NH4+ application would seem to be a factor in establishing best management practices since the NH4+ ion is not readily subject to leaching and denitrification losses (Xiaoyang and Jinfeng, 2007).

Despite the fact that NO₃⁻ assimilation consumes more energy than NH₄⁺ assimilation, only a few species perform well when NH₄⁺ is the sole N source (Marschner, 1995). Indeed, many plant species develop symptoms of toxicity when subjected to high concentrations of NH₄⁺, which are not detected when plants are grown with the same concentration of NO₃⁻ or in mixed N nutrition (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). Although NH₄⁺ is an important intermediate in many metabolic reactions, it has been reported that high concentrations of NH₄⁺ in the soil or in the

Nitrate and ammonium can be used as nitrogen sources by most plant species although plant response to continuous ammonium nutrition is species dependent. In the present study, the effect of the nitrogen source (nitrate and ammonium) on growth, photosynthetic parameters, nitrogen content and nitrogen assimilatingenzymes (nitrate reductase, glutamine synthetase and glutamate dehydrogenase) was investigated in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) and lucerne (Medicago truncatula L.). Obtained results showed that these plant species vary in their sensitivity to $NH_{4^{+}}$ nutrition, with wheat to be highly sensitive, tomato moderately sensitive and lucerne tolerant to ammonium nutrition. For the three plant species, the growth reduction was correlated closely to ammonium accumulation in leaves. Moreover, contrary to that was observed for wheat plants, glutamine synthetase and glutamate dehydrogenase activities were higher in roots than in leaves, for tomato and lucerne plants. Taken together, these data suggest that the site of ammonium assimilation is a key factor controlling tolerance to ammonium nutrition in the different plant species, with plants being more tolerant when ammonium is assimilated in roots

> nutrient solution may lead to an "NH₄⁺ syndrome", which may include leaf chlorosis, lower plant yield production and root/shoot ratio, lower cation content, acidification of the rhizosphere, and changes on several metabolite levels such as amino acids or organic acids (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). Since NH₄⁺ is a photophosphorylation uncoupler (Peltier and Thibault, 1983), its accumulation can decrease net photosynthesis and therefore reduces plant growth (Goyal *et al.*, 1982; Britto *et al.*, 2001).

> Plant response to NH₄⁺ nutrition varies according to growth conditions and plant species (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). For example, species such as carob prefer NH₄⁺ as the Nsource (Cruz et al., 1993), whereas wheat is tolerant only to low NH₄⁺ concentrations (Cox and Reisenauer, 1973). NO₃⁻ is reduced to NH4+ which, like root-absorbed NH4+, is used in amino acid synthesis. This reduction can occur in roots as well as in shoots of higher plants depending on the species and on the growth conditions (Britto et al., 2001). If the supply of a particular N form results in more uptake than that is needed for optimum growth, the accumulation of amino-containing compounds will occur (Millard, 1988). It is well known that NH4⁺ accumulation can produce toxic effects and reduce growth rate, whereas, in contrast, most plants tolerate large excesses of NO3⁻ and accumulate it within their tissues (Britto et al., 2001; Britto and Kronzucker, 2002).

> In this paper, we compare the sensitivity to $\rm NH_{4^+}$ nutrition of three plant species (wheat, tomato, and lucerne) with the purpose of establishing a possible relationship between $\rm NH_{4^+}$ sensitivity and N-accumulation and partitioning in different plant organs.

Material and Methods Plant materials and growth conditions

Seeds of lucerne (*Medicago truncatula* L. cv. Jemalong), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Micro-Tom), and wheat

(*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Salambo) were germinated on filter paper moistened with distilled water for 1 week at 23 °C in the dark, and then grown hydroponically in growth chambers (16 h light at 23°C/8 h dark at 18°C with an irradiance of 350 µmol m² s⁻¹, and 75-80 % relative humidity). Each seedling was placed in a vermiculite plug on a polystyrene tray floating on the nutrient solution (Desbien *et al.*, 2004), with 6 plants per 7-L tank. At this time, N treatments were initiated. Plants were fed with either 2.5 mM NO₃ applied as Ca(NO₃)₂ or with 2.5 mM NH₄⁺ provided as (NH₄)₂SO₄. The macro and microelement solution compositions were as described in Horchani *et al.* (2010a). The nutrient solutions, continuously aerated, were renewed every 4 days to restore nutrients to their original concentrations and pH was controlled two times per day and restored to 5.8 as in Horchani *et al.* (2010a).

Vegetative growth and photosynthesis parameters analysis

At harvest (3 weeks after transplanting), six plants for each species and N treatment were separated into roots and shoots. Dry weights (DW) were obtained by weighing the plant material after drying at 80 °C until a constant mass was reached. Tissue water content (WC) and stomatal conductance were determined as in Horchani *et al.* (2008). Photosynthetis was measured as described in Horchani *et al.* (2010a).

Nitrogen compounds and enzyme activities measurement

For organic N analyses, dried samples were ground and sieved through a screen with 0.8-mm pores, and total N was determined by the Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1990). Nitrogen productivity was calculated as described by Ingestad (1981). Nitrate, ammonium and soluble proteins were extracted in fresh samples and assayed according to Horchani *et al.* (2010b).

Nitrate reductase (NR), glutamine synthetase (GS), and glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) were extracted and assayed as described in Horchani *et al.* (2010a).

Statistics

Statistical data analysis was made using the Student's ttest. The results are given as means with standard errors of at least six replicates per treatment. The significance of differences between the control and the treatment mean values was determined at the significance level of p<0.05. Experiments were replicated two to three times.

Results

Vegetative growth and photosynthesis parameters analysis

Dry matter production was significantly lower in NH_{4^+} fed plants than in NO_3 fed plants. However, reduction in the total biomass (root + shoot) was different for the three species, being 54, 29, and 27% for wheat, tomato and lucerne, respectively, relative to the NO_3 treatment (Table 1). The shoot/root ratio was not affected by the N source, except a slight decrease in wheat under NH_{4^+} based nutrition. Root water content was similar in the three species regardless of N-nutrition. Wheat plants grown with NO_3 had higher leaf water content than those grown with NH_{4^+} , whereas N-source did not affect leaf water content in tomato or lucerne (Table 1).

Net photosynthetic rate of wheat or tomato was significantly higher with NO_3 than with NH_4 nutrition. However, net photosynthetic rate of lucerne was not affected by N-source (Table 2). Stomatal conductance and transpiration rate were not affected by N-nutrition in wheat or lucerne plants. In tomato, however, both parameters increased slightly with NH_4 as compared to NO_3 nutrition (Table 2).

Table 1. Effect of nitrogen source: nitrate (2.5 mM) or ammonium (2.5 mM) on biomass production and water content of wheat, tomato and lucerne plants. Samples were taken from plants after three weeks of nitrogen treatment. Values are the mean of six replicates \pm S.E. Values denoted by different letters within columns for each parameter are significantly different (P< 0.05)

	N-Source	Wheat	Tomato	Lucerne
Root DW (g plant ⁻¹)	Nitrate	0.13 ± 0.03 a	0.23 ± 0.04 a	0.16 ± 0.02 a
	Ammonium	0.07 ± 0.02 b	0.15 ± 0.02 b	0.11 ± 0.02 b
Shoot DW (g_plant ⁻¹)	Nitrate	0.71 ± 0.07 a	1.42 ± 0.12 a	0.85 ± 0.11 a
	Ammonium	0.32 ± 0.08 $^{\rm b}$	1.02 ± 0.08 $^{\rm b}$	0.63 ± 0.07 b
Shoot/root ratio	Nitrate	5.46 ª	6.17 ^a	5.31 ^a
	Ammonium	4.57 ^b	6.80 ^a	5.72 ^a
Root water content (ml g^{-1} DW)	Nitrate	13.3 ± 1.5 °	16.4 ± 2.3 a	14.7 ± 1.1 a
-	Ammonium	11.4 ± 2.1 $^{\rm a}$	12.5 ± 2.5 $^{\rm a}$	12.2 ± 1.3 a
Leaf water content (ml g ⁻¹ DW)	Nitrate	18.5 ± 2.2 ^a	17.2 ± 3.1 ^a	16.2 ± 1.6 ^a
	Ammonium	13.3 ± 1.4 b	15.1 ± 1.4 a	13.3 ± 1.4 a

Table 2. Effect of nitrogen source: nitrate (2.5 mM) or ammonium (2.5 mM) on gas exchange parameters. Samples were taken from plants after three weeks of nitrogen treatment. Values are the mean of six replicates \pm S.E. Values denoted by different letters within columns for each parameter are significantly different (P< 0.05)

	N-Source	Wheat	Tomato	Lucerne
Photosynthesis rate (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Nitrate	11.4 ± 2.1 a	14.5 ± 1.4 a	9.3 ± 1.6 a
	Ammonium	5.5 ± 1.7 b	8.7 ± 2.3 b	9.7 ± 2.3 a
Stomatal conductance (mol $m^{\cdot 2} s^{\cdot 1}$)	Nitrate Ammonium	0.16 ± 0.04 a 0.18 ± 0.02 a	1.21 ± 0.06 a 1.82 ± 0.09 b	0.13 ± 0.03 a 0.11 ± 0.02 a
Transpiration (mmol $m^{\cdot 2} s^{\cdot 1}$)	Nitrate	3.5 ± 1.1 a	9.7 ± 2.0 a	2.7 ± 0.5 a
	Ammonium	3.8 ± 0.9 a	14.1 ± 1.3 b	3.1 ± 0.7 a

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen source: nitrate (2.5 mM) or ammonium (2.5 mM) on nitrate, ammonium and protein content in roots and leaves of wheat, tomato and lucerne plants. Samples were taken from plants after three weeks of nitrogen treatment. Values are the mean of six replicates ± S.E. Values denoted by different letters within columns for each parameter are significantly different (P< 0.05). "nd" denotes not detected

	N-Source	Wheat	Tomato	Lucerne
Root nitrate content (µmol g ⁻¹ FW)	Nitrate	16.2 ± 3.1 a	25.4 ± 2.3 a	32.7 ± 3.6 a
	Ammonium	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
Leaf nitrate content (µmol g ⁻¹ FW)	Nitrate	10.5 ± 2.3 a	18.8 ± 1.3 ^a	14.2 ± 3.1 ^a
	Ammonium	nd ^b	nd ^b	nd ^b
Root ammonium content (µmol g ^{·1} FW)	Nitrate	0.08 ± 0.02 a	0.31 ± 0.03 a	1.24 ± 0.12 a
	Ammonium	0.28 ± 0.05 b	1.05 ± 0.08 b	15.80 ± 2.41 b
Leaf ammonium content (µmol g ⁻¹ FW)	Nitrate	0.51 ± 0.12 a	0.20 ± 0.05 a	0.81 ± 0.15 a
	Ammonium	7.12 ± 1.34 b	0.45 ± 0.08 b	0.94 ± 0.09 a
Root organic nitrogen (% DW)	Nitrate	3.62 ± 0.51 a	3.56 ± 0.81 a	3.12 ± 0.84 a
	Ammonium	4.53 ± 1.21 a	6.12 ± 1.10 b	6.75 ± 1.34 b
Leaf organic nitrogen (% DW)	Nitrate	5.37 ± 1.03 a	5.76 ± 0.91 a	5.32 ± 0.73 a
	Ammonium	8.93 ± 1.23 b	7.12 ± 0.82 b	4.47 ± 0.56 a
Root protein content (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Nitrate	3.56 ± 0.87 $^{\rm a}$	4.02 ± 0.75 a	3.75 ± 1.43 a
	Ammonium	7.76 ± 1.02 b	6.54 ± 0.43 b	6.87 ± 0.98 b
Leaf protein content (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Nitrate	2.45 ± 0.87 a	2.63 ± 0.54 a	3.54 ± 0.75 a
	Ammonium	5.03 ± 0.95 b	4.56 ± 0.34 b	6.04 ± 1.20 b
Nitrogen productivity (g DW mol ⁻¹ N per day)	Nitrate	8.92 ± 1.34 a	13.45 ± 0.65 a	11.02 ± 1.45 a
	Ammonium	4.34 ± 0.87 b	10.76 ± 0.98 b	7.23 ± 0.61 b

Nitrogen compounds analysis

 $\rm NO_3$ was not detected in tissues of plants grown with $\rm NH_4^+$. When plants were grown with $\rm NO_3$, the $\rm NO_3$ concentration was higher in roots than in leaves for all three species (Table 3). The $\rm NH_4^+$ concentration in roots was greater when grown with $\rm NH_4^+$ than with $\rm NO_3$. Irrespective of the form of N supplied, the $\rm NH_4^+$ concentration was higher in lucerne plants than in tomato plants, and the smallest concentration of $\rm NH_4^+$ was in wheat roots. Leaf $\rm NH_4^+$ concentrations in wheat and tomato were significantly greater in $\rm NH_4^+$ fed plants as compared to $\rm NO_3^-$ fed plants, but in lucerne plants, leaf $\rm NH_4^+$ content was similar in both N-sources (Table 3). Furthermore, with either N-source, wheat is the only crop in this study that had a greater $\rm NH_4^+$ concentration in the leaf than in the root (Table 3).

Organic N concentration in roots was significantly greater in tomato and lucerne when these species were grown with $NH_{4^{+}}$ than with $NO_{3^{-}}$, whereas there were no differences in wheat plants grown with the two N-sources (Table 3). Organic N concentrations in leaves were greater in wheat and tomato when these species were grown with NH_{4^+} than NO_{3° , whereas no differences occurred in lucerne plants (Table 3). N nutrition differentially affected the organic N partitioning between roots and leaves, depending on the species. Thus, in wheat plants grown with NH4+ there was an increase of the organic N concentration in leaves compared to roots, whereas in tomato and lucerne plants grown with $NH_{4^{+}}\!,$ organic N concentration was either the same or higher in roots leading to an increase in root/leaf N content ratio. On the other hand, all studied species showed a similar pattern of organic N accumulation in NO3-fed plants (Table 3).

Root and leaf protein content were significantly higher in NH_4^{+} -fed plants than in NO_3^{-} -fed plants, regardless of species (Table 3). N productivity declined when plants were grown on NH_4^{+} as the N-source compared to plants grown on NO_3^{-} . This decline was more marked in wheat plants (Table 3).

Enzyme activities analysis

Activities of NR, GS, and GDH were assayed in leaves and roots of wheat, tomato and lucerne plants grown for three weeks under two N-nutrition regimes (NO₃⁻ or NH₄⁺). Our results showed that under NO₃⁻ nutrition, root and leaf NR, GS and GDH activities were almost similar for the three plant species, except a slight increase in root NR, GS and GDH activities for lucerne plants (Fig. 1A, C and E). Under NH₄⁺ nutrition, the highest root GS and GDH activities were observed for lucerne plants, whereas wheat plants had the lowest root GS and GDH activities. Lucerne plants had the lowest leaf GS and GDH activities, whereas the highest leaf GS and GDH activities were obtained for wheat plants (Fig. 1 B, D and F).



Figure 1. Nitrate reductase (A, B), glutamine synthetase (C, D), and glutamate dehydrogenase (E, F) activities in roots and leaves of wheat (n), tomato () and lucerne (a) plants grown under 2.5 mM nitrate (A, C, E) or 2.5 mM ammonium (B, B, F). Samples were taken from plants after three weeks of nitrogen treatments. Values represent means \pm SE (n = 6). *The significance of differences in the enzyme activities between the three plant species was determined by the Student's t-test at the significance level of p < 0.05.

Discussion

The sensitivity of plant growth to N fertilization is of great importance in agriculture. The form of N supply (NO₃· or NH₄⁺) influences plant growth and morphology in a distinct manner. The investigations of this effect frequently have led to contradictory results, probably due to differences in experimental conditions or genetic material (Elia *et al.*, 1998; Cruz *et al.*, 2003; Melissa *et al.*, 2007). It is well known that many plants do not tolerate NH₄⁺ nutrition (Britto *et al.*, 2001), and vary in their sensitivity to NH₄⁺ (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). In this study, we have compared the effects of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ nutrition in wheat, tomato, and lucerne. These species were chosen for having

a great agronomic interest and displaying some differences in their N and carbon metabolism.

Our results show clear differences between these species in their sensitivity to NH_{4^+} nutrition, ranging from high sensitivity in wheat, to medium sensitivity in tomato and virtual tolerance in lucerne. This wide range of response could be an useful tool to study the way in which NH_{4^+} can affect plant metabolism.

The sensitivity of many plant species to NH_4^+ nutrition is expressed as growth decrease or suppression (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). In several cases, this growth inhibition by NH_4^+ nutrition has been related closely to the fall in substrate pH imposed by NH_4^+ uptake (Dijk and Grootjans, 1998). However, in our study, the significant decrease in growth observed in wheat and tomato plants did not seem to be due to the pH of the nutrient solution since it was carefully controlled during the growth of all three species.

One of the physiological processes that can be affected by the N-source is water uptake. As a rule, the presence of $\rm NH_{4^+}$ in the nutrient solution as the only source of N inhibits water uptake (Ragab, 1980; Britto *et al.*, 2001), producing imbalances in plant water relations that affect other processes. In our study, we have observed that only wheat plants showed lower leaf water content when grown with $\rm NH_{4^+}$ (Table 1), although this reduction was not correlated with differences in stomatal conductance and transpiration (Table 2).

Several studies have demonstrated that high concentrations of NO3⁻ are accumulated in root and leaf cell vacuoles when the plants are unable to assimilate all the absorbed NO3. (Peuke and Jeschke, 1993). In our study, NO3. accumulated significantly in roots and leaves of the three plant species (Table 3). In contrast, NH₄⁺ assimilation is accomplished quickly, and the N is stored mainly in organic forms (Jackson and Volk, 1995) as indicated by the significant increase in root and leaf soluble proteins (Table 3). Often plants are not able to assimilate all the absorbed NH4+, leading to its accumulation in plant tissues (Schjoerring et al., 2002). Numerous authors ascribe NH4+ toxicity to its accumulation, especially when it occurs in the photosynthetic tissues, where it can inhibit the photosynthetic processes and consequently, growth and biomass production (Goyal et al., 1982). Our results showed a close relationship between NH4⁺ accumulation in leaves and growth reduction (Tables 1 and 3). Thus, wheat, the species most affected by NH₄⁺ nutrition, showed the highest NH4+ concentration in photosynthetic tissues, followed by tomato plants. Lucerne plants, however, are virtually tolerant to NH4⁺ nutrition, with the $NH_{4^{+}}$ concentration in photosynthetic tissues being similar in NO_3 and NH_4 +-fed plants, and with a remarkably high accumulation of NH4⁺ in the roots of NH4⁺-fed plants (Table 3). The present data are compatible with the concept that plants that assimilate N from inorganic NH4+ into organic N in the roots have much greater tolerance for NH4+ nutrition than plants which translocate NH4+ to the shoots (Tobin and Yamaya, 2001), as shown for wheat in our experiments. Our results showed a tight relationship between NH4+ accumulation and organic N content (Table 3). Thus, it appears that in each organ the level of accumulated NH4⁺ is related to the organic N concentration and, hence, to the site where NH4+ is assimilated. The response of the different species to NH4⁺ nutrition could be governed by the ability of the different plant organs to assimilate NH4⁺. Plants seem to be tolerant to NH4+ when NH4+ assimilation is located mainly in the roots (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002). The N and carbon metabolism can be different between species and could lead to differences in the site where NH4+ is assimilated. NH4+ detoxification in the roots is dependent upon the availability of sufficient carbon reserves which provides the necessary energy and carbohydrate skeletons for its assimilation (Claussen and Lenz, 1995). Tomato has a higher photosynthetic efficiency compared to wheat (Table 2), implying a greater supply of carbohydrates. This fact may be related to the lower sensitivity to NH₄⁺ of tomato than wheat plants.

Because NH_{4^+} is toxic, it needs to be rapidly assimilated, and current evidence indicates that NH_{4^+} assimilation is carried out by the GS/GOGAT pathway (Cruz *et al.*, 1993). Some reports have shown little or no effect of the N source available to plant roots on GS activity (Claussen and Lenz, 1999). However, our results are in agreement with those obtained by Lasa *et al.* (2002), showing that GS activity increases in the presence of NH₄⁺. Interestingly, GS activity was higher in roots than in leaves, for tomato and lucerne plants, contrary to what has been observed for wheat plants (Fig. 1D) and for many other plant species (Cruz *et al.*, 1993; Lasa *et al.*, 2002). This may allow NH₄⁺ assimilation in roots.

Although NH₄⁺ assimilation *via* the GS/GOGAT pathway is the major route in higher plants (Lasa *et al.*, 2002), plants are able to use alternate routes at the same time such as those catalyzed by the GDH. Contrary to that was observed for wheat plants, GDH activity was higher in roots than in leaves, for tomato and lucerne plants (Fig. 1F). Such enzyme may have a possible role in NH₄⁺ detoxification through its assimilation mainly in roots.

In summary, we found inter-specific differences in the response of plants to $\rm NH_{4^+}$ nutrition ranging from a strong sensitivity in wheat to a virtual tolerance in lucerne. The different response to $\rm NH_{4^+}$ nutrition could be related to differences on the site of $\rm NH_{4^+}$ assimilation and hence, to $\rm NH_{4^+}$ accumulation.

References

- AOAC. 1990. Official methods of analysis. Association of official analytical chemistry, Washington, DC, pp. 127-129.
- Barker, A.V. and Mills H.A. 1980. Ammonium and nitrate nutrition of horticultural crops. Hort. Rev. **2**: 395-423.
- Belastegui-Macadam, X.M., Estavillo J.M., Garcia-Minac J.M., Gonzalez A., Bastias E. and Gonzalez-Murua C. 2007. Clover and ryegrass are tolerant species to ammonium nutrition. J. Plant Physiol. 164: 1583-1594.
- Britto, D. and Kronzucker H. 2002. NH₄⁺ toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. J. Plant Physiol. **159**: 567-584.
- Britto, D.T., Siddiqi M.Y., Glass A.D.M. and Kronzucker H.J. 2001b. Futile transmembrane NH₄⁺ cycling: a cellular hypothesis to explain ammonium toxicity in plants. Proceed. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. **98**: 4255-4258.
- Bruning-Fun, C. and Kaneene, J.B. 1993. The effects of nitrate, nitrite and N-nitroso compounds on human health. Vet. Hum. Toxicol. **35**: 237-253.
- Claussen, W. and Lenz F. 1999. Effect of ammonium or nitrate nutrition on net photosynthesis, growth and activity of the enzymes nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase in blueberry, raspberry and strawberry. Plant and Soil **208**: 95-102.
- Cox, W.J. and Reisenauer H.M. 1973. Growth and ion uptake by wheat supplied nitrogen as nitrate or ammonium or both. Plant and Soil **38**: 363-380.
- Cramer, M.D. and Lewis O.A.M. 1993. The influence of nitrate and ammonium nutrition on the growth of wheat (*Triticum oestivum*) and maize (*Zea mays*) plants. Ann. Bot. **72**: 359-365.
- Cruz, C., Lips S.H. and Martins-Louçao M.A. 1993. Nitrogen assimilation and transport in carob plants. Physiol. Plant. 89: 524-531.
- Cruz, C., Lips S.H. and Martins-Louçao M.A. 2003. Nitrogen use efficiency by a slow growing species as affected by CO_2 levels, root temperature, N source and availability. J. Plant Physiol. **160**: 1421-1428.
- Desbien, J.P., Mensch M. and Brouquisse R. 2004. Mise au point de portoirs pour la croissance de plantules en système de culture hydroponique. Cah.Techn. I.N.R.A. 53: 15-20.
- Dijk, E. and Grootjans A.B. 1998. Performance of four Dactylorhiza species over a complex trophic gradient. Acta Bot. Neerl. 47: 351-368.
- Elia, A., Santamaria P. and Serio F. 1998. Nitrogen nutrition, yields and quality of spinach. J. Sci. Food Agric. 76: 341-346.
- Gangolli, S.D., Van Den Brandt P.A., Feron V.J., Jan-Zowsky C., Koeman J.H., Speijers G.J.A., Spiegelhalder B., Wlaker R. and Winshnok J.S. 1994. Nitrate, nitrite and N-nitroso compounds. Eur. J. Pharm. Environ. Toxicol. Farm. Section 292: 1-38.
- Goyal, S.S., Huffaker R.C. and Lorenz O.A. 1982. Inhibitory effects of ammoniacal nitrogen on growth of radish plants:

II. Investigation of the possible causes of ammonium toxicity to radish plants and its reversal by nitrate. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. **107**: 130-135.

- Horchani F., Aloui A., Brouquisse R. and Aschi-Smiti S. 2008. Physiological responses of tomato plants (Solanum lycopersicum) as affected by root hypoxia. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 194: 297-303.
- Horchani, F., Aschi-Smiti S. and Brouquisse R. 2010b. Involvement of nitrate reduction in the tolerance of tomato plants to prolonged root hypoxia. Acta Physiol. Plant. 32: 1113-1123.
- Horchani, F., Hajri, R., Khayati, H., Brouquisse, R. and Aschi-Smiti S. 2010a. Effect of nitrate or ammonium nutrition on photosynthesis, growth and nitrogen assimilation in tomato plants. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.
- Ingestad, T. 1981. Nutrition and growth of birch and grey alder seedlings in low conductivity solutions and at varied relative rate of nutrient addition. Physiol. Plant. **52**: 454-466.
- Jackson, W.A., Volk R.J. 1995. Attributes to the nitrogen uptake systems of maize *Zea mays* L.: maximal suppression by exposure to both nitrate and ammonium. New Phytol. **130**: 327-335.
- Lasa, B., Frechilla S., Aparicio-Tejo P.M. and Lamsfus C. 2002. Alternative pathway respiration is associated with ammonium ion sensitivity in spinach and pea plants. Plant Growth Regul. **37**: 49-55.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. 2nd Ed. Acad. Press, London
- Matsumoto, H. and Tamura, K. 1981. Respiratory stress in Cucumber roots treated with ammonium or nitrate nitrogen. Plant and Soil **60**: 195-204.

- Millard, P. 1988. The accumulation and storage of nitrogen by herbaceous plants. Plant Cell Environ. **11**: 1-8.
- Munoz, A.E. and Weaver R.W. 1999. Competition between subterranean lucerne and rygrass for uptake of ¹⁵N-labeled fertilizer. Plant and Soil **211**: 173-178.
- Peltier, G. and Thibault P. 1983. Ammonia exchange and photorespiration in *Chlamydomonas*. Plant Physiol. **71**: 888-892.
- Peuke, A.D. and Jeschke W.D. 1993. The uptake and flow of C, N and ions between roots and shoots in *Ricinus communis* L. J. Exp. Bot. 44: 1167-1173.
- Ragab, S.M. 1980. Water uptake and trans-potential in sunflower roots as influenced by ammonium ions. J. Agric. Sci. 94: 145-150.
- Schjoerring, J.K., Husted S., Mack G. and Mattsson M. 2002. The regulation of ammonium translocation in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 53: 883-890.
- Shelp, B.J. and Taylor D.C. 1990. Carbon and nitrogen partitioning in young nodulated pea wild type and nitrate reductase deficient mutant plants exposed to nitrate or ammonium. Can. J. Bot. 69: 1780-1786.
- Wang, X. and Below F.E. 1996. Cytokinins in enhanced growth and tillering of wheat induced by mixed nitrogen source. Crop Sci. 36: 121-126.
- Xiaoyang, C. and Jinfeng, S. 2007. Soil NH₄⁺/NO₃⁻ nitrogen characteristics in primary forests and the adaptability of some coniferous species. Front. For. China 2: 1-10.