

# REGULAR ARTICLE

# Effect of ethrel on softening of off-season fruits of mango (*Mangifera indica* L. var. Neelum) during ripening

# T. Venkatesan and C. Tamilmani

Department of Botany, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India

# **KEYWORDS**

Fruit ripening, Firmness, Pectin and Polygalacturonase

# CORRESPONDENCE

T. Venkatesan, Department of Botany, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India

CB Volume 1, Year 2011, Pages 29-33

#### ABSTRACT

The present investigation is aimed at studying the effect of ethrel on the ripening of off-season fruits of Mangifera indica L. var. Neelum. The control fruits were kept in the laboratory naturally while the experimental fruits were treated with different concentrations of ethrel (100, 200 and 300ppm). In control fruits, partial ripening led to incomplete metabolic changes, which did not alter the presence of sourness in the fruits. Hence, they were not fit to be eaten. On the other hand, the fruits treated with different concentrations (100, 200 and 300ppm) of ethrel ripened on 13th day, 11th day and 9th day respectively after treatment. The colour changed from green greenish to yellow and the fruits were palatable in nature. The colour changed from green to greenish yellow to yellow. On the other hand, in the control fruits, partial ripening led to incomplete metabolic changes, which did not alter the presence of sourness in the fruits, and hence, they were not fit to be eaten. All the studies were carried out using the peel and the pulp of fruit tissues individually and the following results were obtained during the process of ripening. The fruit firmness and pectin decreased during ripening, both in the treated and control fruits. On the other hand, polygalacturonase, activity increased. Among the different 100, 200 and 300 ppm ethrel treatments, the 200 ppm alone had the optimum effect on the ripening of off-season fruits of Mangifera indica L. var. Neelum.

# Introduction

Fruit ripening is a complex genetically programmed process involving increases in respiration and ethylene production, changes in colour and flavor, and softening. Softening is associated with structural changes in the cell wall including reduction in the size of hemicellulose, loss of galactose sidechains, and solubilization and depolymerization of pectin (reviewed by Fischer and Bennett, 1991). Pectins are likely to be the key substances involved in the mechanical strength of the primary cell wall which are important to the physical structure of the plant (Sirisomboon, et al., 2000). Their degradation during ripening seems to be responsible for tissue softening, as reported for a number of fruits including tomato (Poovaiah and Nukuya, 1979; Seymour, et al.,1987), Kiwi (Redgwell, et al.,1992), apple (De-vries, et al., 1984), and bush butter (Missang, et al., 2001a). The major changes in the cell wall structure are the dissolution of middle lamella and primary cell wall during ripening. Thus, elucidation of the chemical structure of pectin is essential in understanding its role in plant growth/development and during ripening of fruits (Thakur et al., 1997). Plant pectin is one of the major contributors for maintaining the texture of fruits and vegetables. It is enriched in the cell middle lamella cellular junctions which are thought to largely contribute to cell-cell adhesion and tissue cohesion, while the cellulose-hemicellulose network plays a role in rigidity. As pectin is an important component for texture, the degradation of pectin would affect fruit ripening. The degradation leads to the disassembly of cellulose-hemicellulose network and accelerates the fruit softening rate (Duan et al., 2008).

Most fruits soften during ripening and this is a major quality attribute that often dictates shelf-life. Fruit softening could arise from three mechanisms: loss of turgor; degradation

of starch; or breakdown of the fruit cell walls. Loss of turgor is largely a non-physiological process associated with the postharvest dehydration of a fruit, and as such can assume commercial importance during storage. Loss of water equivalent to about 5-10% of a fresh fruit fresh weight, although having little effect on the fruit's biochemistry, can render the fruit commercially unacceptable. Degradation of starch probably results in a pronounced textural change, especially in those fruits like banana, where starch accounts for a high percentage of the fresh weight. In general, however, texture change during the ripening of most fruits is thought to be largely the result of cell wall degradation. Carobohydrate polymers make up 90-95% of the structural components of the wall, the remaining 5-10% being largely hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein (HPRG). The carbohydrate polymers can be grouped together as cellulose, hemicelluloses or pectins (Tucker, 1993). The textural changes, which occur during fruit ripening, are thought to be related to alterations in cell wall structure (Huber, 1983; Tucker and Grierson, 1987). Changes in cell wall structure during ripening have been observed under electron microscope in many fruits, including avocado (Pesis et al., 1978), pear (Ben - Arie et al., 1979) and tomato (Crookes and Grierson, 1983). These changes usually consist of an apparent dissolution of the pectin-rich middle lamella region of the cell wall. At a biochemical level, major changes can be observed in the pectic polymers of the wall. During ripening, there is a loss of neutral sugars; in most fruits this is predominatly galactose, but some loss of arabinose also occurs (Tucker and Grierson, 1987 and Seymour et al., 1990).

The loss of firmness during ripening has been associated with the nature and proportion of the pectic substances, showed that total pectin increased in ripening papaya and reached a maximum value in overripe fruits. The

T. Venkatesan and C. Tamilmani Curr. Bot. 1(1): 29-33, 2010

increase in water soluble pectin corresponds to the decrease in protopectin. The water soluble pectin level was maximum when the fruit ripened (Biwas et al., 1969; the pectic material extracted from unripe pulp contained D-galactose, Dgalacturonic acid L-arabinose as major constitutent sugars with a trace of rhamnose. The pectic acid was a mixture of 1→3 and 1→4 linked galacturonase. Selvaraj et al. (1982) observed the highest pectin content at ripe stage in papaya varieties of Coorg, Honey Dew, Sunrise and Thailand and a pre-ripe stage in varieties pink flesh sweet and Washington. In jack fruit, pulp contained very little tannins, and their concentration decreased during ripening (Selvaraj and Pal, Misoon et al. (2005) studied changes in pectic substances and cell wall degrading enzymes during tomato fruit ripening. Xin et al. (2010) studied morphology, profile and role of chelate soluble pectin on tomato properties during ripening. Toboada et al. (2010) studied isolation and characterization of pectic substances from musta (Ugni molinae Turcz) fruits.

The activity of polygalacturonase was studied by several workers in details (Rhodes, 1980. Goodenough et al., 1982; Grierson, 1987; Lazan et al., 1989; Downs and Brady, 1990; Mitcham and McDonald 1992; Tucker, 1993, and Lazan and Ali, 1993. Ketsa et al., 1998; and Sheng Jipping et al., 2000; Payasi and Snawal .2003; and Misoon et al., 2005). The decrease in fruit firmness is a general feature that accompanies ripening of both climacteric and non-climacteric fruits. Softening is brought about by changes in cell wall constituents among which pectic substances play a major role (Pilink and Voragen, 1970). Four enzymes are involved in the pectic degradation process namely polygalacturonase, methylesterase and □-galctoside. pectic Polygalacturonase is the major enzyme involved in pectin metabolism during fruit ripening and is associated with cell wall breakdown, fruit softening and loss of tissue integrity. Large amount of Polygalacturonase enzyme accumulates specifically during fruit ripening. Polygalacturonase  $\square \square (1-4)$ linkage between adjacent hydrylyses the demethylated galacturonic acid residues, whereas cellulase hydrolyses the β (1-4) linkage between adjacent glucose residues. Pectinesterase acts to remove the methyl group from the C-6 position of a galacturonic acid (Tucker, 1993). A major enzyme involved in the solubilisation of pectin is the cell-wall bound polygalacturonase (PG), which acts on de-esterified pectin molecules and attacks linkage between galacturonic acid groups in the polyuronide. Two types of found. polygalacturonase have been An polygalacturonase acts by cleaning free galacturonic acid groups from the non-reducing end and an endopolygalacturonase cleaves polyuronide chains in a random fashion, as in peaches, pears and tomatoes (Rhodes, 1980). In mangoes, the ripening which is characterized by changes in tissue softness is believed to be initiated in inner mesocarp tissue close to the seed, and to progress outward (Lazan and Ali, 1993). While pectin solubilization in inner and outer mesocarp tissues is comparable, pectin depolymerization appears to begin earlier in the inner mesocarp than in the outer mesocarp tissue (Lazan and Ali, 1993). Mitcham and McDonald (1992) studied the cell wall modification during ripening of Keitt and Totommy Atkin mango fruit. Both the varieties increased Polygalacturonase activity during ripening. Recently three multiple forms of polygalacturonase have been reported from Alphonso cultivar of mango (Prasanna et al., 2006). Softening in mango has been reported to be accompanied by a decline in pectin (both water and alkaline soluble). (Roe and Bruemner, 1981). Furthermore, this decline in alkaline soluble pectin was found to be correlated with the loss of firmness of the mango fruit and was also closely correlated with the increase in PG activity during fruit ripening Payasi, and Sanwal (2003) observed that in banana the polygalacturonase activity during fruit ripening increased the maximum activity on 22<sup>nd</sup> day. Misoon et al. (2005) observed that in tomato during fruit ripening PG activity progressively increased. The aim of this work was to study the effect of ethrel on the softening of offseason fruits of mango.

### Material and Methods

The detached fruits of Mangifera indica L. var. Neelum were selected for the present study. The off-season (September to February) green mature unripe fruits were harvested from Auroville near Pondicherry union territory, India and stored in cortons in the Department of Botany at room temperature 28±2°C with the relative humidity of 85 per cent. They were treated with different concentrations of ethrel (100, 200 and 300 ppm). All the experiments were conducted with seven replicates. The peel and pulp of the fruit material were used to study the ripening process. Fruit firmness was studying the method of Ranganna (1977). Pectin was estimated, following the method of Ranganna (1977). Hundred mg of fruit sample was dissolved in 100 ml of 0.05 N NaOH and allowed to stand for 30 minutes to deesterify the pectin. From the above solution, 2 ml was taken and made upto 100 ml with distilled water; 2 ml of the deesterified pectin solution and 1 ml of carbazole reagent was added. A white precipitate was obtained and 12 ml of conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added with constant stirring. The tubes were closed with rubber stopper and allowed to stand for 10 minutes until the colour was developed. After 15 minutes, acid was added and absorbance was read at 525 nm Spectronic - 20. Polygalacturonase was assayed following the method of Nelson (1944) as modified by Somogyi (1952). 200 mg of fruit material was homogenized with 10 ml of 75 M acetate buffer in prechilled mortar and pestle. The homogenate was centrifuged in a clinical centrifuge, and supernatant was used as enzyme source. Enzyme assay was initiated by mixing 2.5ml of substrate stock solution and 0.5 ml of enzyme sample plus water. The mixture was incubated at 30°C and 5 ml of samples was removed from the reaction mixture at timed intervals and immediately mixed with 0.5 ml of copper reagent. Sample tubes were subsequently incubated in a vigrously boiling water bath. The sample tubes were closed by morble for 10 minutes. After the tubes have cooled to room temperature, and 1.00 ml of the arsenomolybdate reagent was added. After 30 minutes of incubation at room temperature, the assay mixture was centrifuged in a clinical centrifuge. Then the residual precipitate was removed and the supernatant was measured in a Spectronic - 20 at 500 nm. The values are expressed in absorbance unit.

# Results and Discussion

The Table 1 shows the changes of fruit firmness during the different stages of fruit ripening of *Mangifera indica*. The fruit firmness gradually decreased from the initial stage to the final stage of ripening. The decrease was more in the treated fruits than in the control fruits. The percentage of loss was more in the fruits treated with 200ppm ethrel than with 100 and 300ppm ethrel treated fruits and control. Similarly in mango the firmness of untreated mango fruits (Control) showed decrease from 181.3 to 112.7N during the ripening period. In this study, the treatment with ethrel and CaCl<sub>2</sub> increased the rate of softening as compared to their respective controls. In contrast, 1-methyl cycloprophone (1-MCP), Silver nitrate (AgNo<sub>3</sub>), and Gibberlic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) did not reduce softening compiled to their respective in delaying softening. (Singh et.al., 2007).

In papaya fruit firmness greatly decreased from 102.42N to 10.76N. Fruit developing on-tree lost pulp firmness dramatically after the Quarter - Ripe (QR) stage. A similar decrease in firmness was seen in post-harvest detached fruits, where average values reached 9.89N on day 5 after detaching. The firmness of the post-harvest 'Pluk Mai Lie' papaya was still acceptable even after 7 days in ambient conditions. The correlation coefficient (R2) between the reduction of firmness and the increase in the internal ethylene concentration during fruit ripening of on-tree and postharvest fruit were 0.9545 and 0.9470, respectively. After the onset of the ethylene climacteric the fruit pulp became soft, indicating fruit ripening. (Fuggate et.al., 2010). Fruit flesh firmness of the two tomato cultivars showed a progressive decline during ripening. Most of this decline occurred between the light-pink and canning-ripe stages. (Misoon et.al., 2005). A similar drop in flesh firmness was reported in guava

www.currentbotany.org ISSN: 2220-4822 (Bashir and Abu – Goukh 2003), banana and mango (Abu – Goukh and Abu - sarra 1993).

The table-2 shows the changes of pectin content, which occur during the ripening of Mangifera indica fruits. The content of pectin gradually decreased during the course of ripening, both in the treated and control fruits. The pectin content was more in control than in the treated fruits. The percentage of loss was more in the 200ppm ethrel treated fruits than in the 100, 300 ppm and control. The statistical analysis on fruit firmness and pectin both in the control and treated showed a positive correlation. The correlation co-efficient values were 0.93, 0.89, 0.84 and 0.86. The observed correlation co-efficient values were significant at 1% level. The textural changes, which occur during fruit ripening, are thought to be related to alterations in cell wall structure (Huber, 1983; Tucker and Grierson, 1987). Most fruits soften during ripening and this is a major quality attribute that often dictates shelf-life. Fruit softening could arise from three mechanisms: loss of turgor; degradation of starch; or breakdown of the fruit cell walls. Loss of turgor is largely a non-physiological process associated with the post-harvest dehydration of the fruit, and as such can assume commercial importance during storage. Loss of water equivalent to about 5-10% of a fruit fresh weight although having little effect on the fruits biochemistry, can render the fruit commercially unacceptable. Degradation of starch probably results in a pronounced textural change, especially in those fruits like banana, where starch accounts for a high percentage of the fresh weight. In general, however, texture change during the ripening of most fruits is largely due to the result of cell wall degradation. Carbohydrate polymers make up 90-95% of the structural components of the wall, the remaining 5-10% being largely hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein (HPRG). The carbohydrate polymers can be grouped together as cellulose, hemicelluloses or pectins (Tucker, 1993).

Similarly Misoon *et al.* (2005) studied changes in pectic substances and cell wall degrading enzymes during tomato fruit ripening. Xin *et al.* (2010) studied the morphology, profile and role of chelate-soluble pectin on tomato properties during ripening. Toboada *et al.* (2010) studied the isolation and

characterization of pectic substances from musta (Ugni molinae Turcz) fruits. The decrease in the amount of pectin was also coupled with an increase in the activity of the pectic enzyme polygalacturonase. Ripening of tomato fruit has been extensively studied and a single enzyme, polygalacturonase has been implicated as a major determinant of fruit softening (Huber, 1983). Polygalacturonase has been shown to be the key enzyme, which is synthesized de novo and responsible for softening during tomato fruit ripening (Tucker and Grierson, 1987). The Polygalacturonase activity gradually increased during the course of ripening, both in the peel and the pulp of treated and control fruits. The pulp had more polygalacturonase activity than the peel throughout the ripening, and the activity polygalacturonase was more in the treated than in the control fruits. Among the treated fruits, 200ppm ethrel treated fruits had more activity than the 100, 300ppm and control. The correlation co-efficient values are significant at 1 per cent level (Table-3). Mitcham and Mc Donald (1992) studied the cell wall modification during the ripening of Keitt and Totommy Atkin mango fruits. Both the varieties had increased polygalacturonase activity during ripening. In mangoes, the ripening which is characterized by changes in tissue softness is believed to be initiated in inner mesocarp tissue close to the seed, and to progress outward (Lazan and Ali, 1993) Furthermore, this decline in alkaline soluble pectin is found to be correlated with the loss of firmness of the mango fruit and is also closely correlated with the increase in PG activity during fruit ripening. Payasi, and Sanwal (2003) observed that in banana the polygalacturonase activity during fruit ripening increased the maximum activity on 22nd day. Misoon et al. (2005) observed that in tomato during fruit ripening PG activity progressively increased during ripening. During ripening, softening of fruit is caused by the conversion of protopectin, the insoluble, high molecular weight parent pectin into soluble polyuronides (John and Rey, 1986). This tightly bound protopectin is degraded into soluble pectin, which is found loosely bound to the cell walls. This phenomenon is attributed to textural softening during ripening (Gowda et al..

Table 1: Effect of ethrel on the fruit firmness changes during the ripening of off-season fruits of  $Mangifera\ indica\ L$ . var. Neelum (Values are Mean  $\pm$  SE of 7 samples expressed in kg/cm<sup>2</sup>)

|                 | 100 ppm   | 200 ppm  | 300 ppm   |   |
|-----------------|---|--|---|---|
| Mean ±SE        | Mean ±SE  | Mean ±SE   | Mean ±SE  |   |
| $25.8 \pm 1.54$ | $25.5 \pm 1.56$   | $25.6 \pm 1.79$  | $25.8 \pm 1.66$   |   |
| $22.8 \pm 1.41$ | $20.6\pm1.48$   | $20.3\pm1.42$  | $21.3\pm1.38$   |   |
| $16.6\pm0.99$   | $15.7 \pm 0.78$   | $9.3 \pm 0.73$   | $12.1 \pm 0.97$   |   |
| $14.2 \pm 0.99$ | $10.7 \pm 0.72$   | $8.0 \pm 0.48$   | $9.2 \pm 0.64$  |   |
| $12.1 \pm 0.87$ | $11.0 \pm 0.55$   | $7.0 \pm 0.49$   | $8.6 \pm 0.43$  |   |
| $11.0 \pm 0.55$ | $10.5\pm0.73$   | $6.5 \pm 0.33$   | $8.2 \pm 0.49$  |   |
| $10.1 \pm 0.61$ | $9.4 \pm 0.47$  | $5.6 \pm 0.34$   | $7.4 \pm 0.44$  |   |
| $9.0 \pm 0.63$  | $8.5 \pm 0.60$  | $4.2 \pm 0.29$   | $6.0 \pm 0.30$  |   |
|                 | $22.8 \pm 1.41$ $16.6 \pm 0.99$ $14.2 \pm 0.99$ $12.1 \pm 0.87$ $11.0 \pm 0.55$ $10.1 \pm 0.61$ | $22.8 \pm 1.41 \qquad 20.6 \pm 1.48$ $16.6 \pm 0.99 \qquad 15.7 \pm 0.78$ $14.2 \pm 0.99 \qquad 10.7 \pm 0.72$ $12.1 \pm 0.87 \qquad 11.0 \pm 0.55$ $11.0 \pm 0.55 \qquad 10.5 \pm 0.73$ $10.1 \pm 0.61 \qquad 9.4 \pm 0.47$ | $22.8 \pm 1.41 \qquad 20.6 \pm 1.48 \qquad 20.3 \pm 1.42$ $16.6 \pm 0.99 \qquad 15.7 \pm 0.78 \qquad 9.3 \pm 0.73$ $14.2 \pm 0.99 \qquad 10.7 \pm 0.72 \qquad 8.0 \pm 0.48$ $12.1 \pm 0.87 \qquad 11.0 \pm 0.55 \qquad 7.0 \pm 0.49$ $11.0 \pm 0.55 \qquad 10.5 \pm 0.73 \qquad 6.5 \pm 0.33$ $10.1 \pm 0.61 \qquad 9.4 \pm 0.47 \qquad 5.6 \pm 0.34$ | $22.8 \pm 1.41 \qquad 20.6 \pm 1.48 \qquad 20.3 \pm 1.42 \qquad 21.3 \pm 1.38$ $16.6 \pm 0.99 \qquad 15.7 \pm 0.78 \qquad 9.3 \pm 0.73 \qquad 12.1 \pm 0.97$ $14.2 \pm 0.99 \qquad 10.7 \pm 0.72 \qquad 8.0 \pm 0.48 \qquad 9.2 \pm 0.64$ $12.1 \pm 0.87 \qquad 11.0 \pm 0.55 \qquad 7.0 \pm 0.49 \qquad 8.6 \pm 0.43$ $11.0 \pm 0.55 \qquad 10.5 \pm 0.73 \qquad 6.5 \pm 0.33 \qquad 8.2 \pm 0.49$ $10.1 \pm 0.61 \qquad 9.4 \pm 0.47 \qquad 5.6 \pm 0.34 \qquad 7.4 \pm 0.44$ |

SE – Standard Error

ppm - Parts per million

Table 2: Effect of ethrel on the pectin changes during the ripening of off-season fruits of *Mangifera indica* L. var. Neelum (Values are Mean ± SE of 7 samples expressed in absorption units)

|      | Control          | 100 ppm          | 200 ppm          | 300 ppm          |  |
|------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Days | Mean ±SE         | Mean ±SE         | Mean ±SE         | Mean ±SE         |  |
| 1    | $0.46 \pm 0.037$ | $0.45 \pm 0.036$ | $0.48 \pm 0.038$ | $0.44 \pm 0.035$ |  |
| 3    | $0.44 \pm 0.031$ | $0.43 \pm 0.030$ | $0.47 \pm 0.033$ | $0.42 \pm 0.029$ |  |
| 5    | $0.42 \pm 0.025$ | $0.41 \pm 0.025$ | $0.44 \pm 0.026$ | $0.40 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 7    | $0.38 \pm 0.019$ | $0.37 \pm 0.019$ | $0.40 \pm 0.020$ | $0.35 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 9    | $0.34 \pm 0.027$ | $0.33 \pm 0.026$ | $0.36 \pm 0.029$ | $0.33 \pm 0.026$ |  |
| 11   | $0.28 \pm 0.020$ | $0.29 \pm 0.020$ | $0.30 \pm 0.021$ | $0.30 \pm 0.021$ |  |
| 13   | $0.26 \pm 0.016$ | $0.27 \pm 0.016$ | $0.30 \pm 0.018$ | $0.28 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 15   | $0.23 \pm 0.012$ | $0.25 \pm 0.013$ | $0.28 \pm 0.014$ | $0.25 \pm 0.013$ |  |

SE - Standard Error

ppm - Parts per million

Table 3: Effect of ethrel on the polygalacturonase changes during the ripening of off-season fruits of Mangifera indica L. var. Neelum (Values are Mean ± SE of 7 samples expressed in absorption units)

|      | Peel        |             |             |             | Pulp          |                     |               |             |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Days | Control     | 100 ppm     | 200  ppm    | 300 ppm     | Control       | 100 ppm<br>Mean ±SE | 200 ppm       | 300 ppm     |
|      | Mean<br>±SE | Mean ±SE    | Mean ±SE    | Mean ±SE    | Mean ±SE      |                     | Mean ±SE      | Mean±SE     |
| 1    | 0.023       | 0.023       | 0.023       | 0.024       | 0.050         | 0.055               | 0.051         | 0.046       |
|      | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.004$   | $\pm 0.004$         | $\pm 0.004$   | $\pm 0.004$ |
| 3    | 0.029       | 0.035       | 0.037       | 0.036       | 0.078         | 0.065               | 0.074         | 0.056       |
|      | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm \ 0.005$ | $\pm 0.005$         | $\pm \ 0.005$ | $\pm 0.004$ |
| 5    | 0.035       | 0.039       | 0.048       | 0.044       | 0.089         | 0.077               | 0.085         | 0.074       |
|      | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm 0.005$   | $\pm 0.005$         | $\pm 0.005$   | $\pm 0.004$ |
| 7    | 0.042       | 0.046       | 0.057       | 0.051       | 0.099         | 0.088               | 0.094         | 0.082       |
|      | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.002$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm 0.003$ | $\pm \ 0.005$ | $\pm 0.004$         | $\pm \ 0.005$ | $\pm 0.004$ |
| 9    | 0.049       | 0.054       | 0.066       | 0.059       | 0.102         | 0.098               | 0.105         | 0.091       |
|      | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.008$   | $\pm 0.008$         | $\pm 0.008$   | $\pm 0.007$ |
| 11   | 0.056       | 0.061       | 0.075       | 0.067       | 0.119         | 0.106               | 0.113         | 0.098       |
|      | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.008$   | $\pm 0.007$         | $\pm 0.008$   | $\pm 0.007$ |
| 13   | 0.066       | 0.069       | 0.089       | 0.078       | 0.127         | 0.115               | 0.121         | 0.108       |
|      | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.008$   | $\pm 0.007$         | $\pm 0.007$   | $\pm 0.006$ |
| 15   | 0.074       | 0.079       | 0.099       | 0.086       | 0.118         | 0.124               | 0.129         | 0.117       |
|      | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.005$ | $\pm 0.004$ | $\pm 0.006$   | $\pm 0.006$         | $\pm 0.006$   | $\pm 0.006$ |

SE – Standard Error

ppm - Parts per million

## References

Abu-Goukh, A.A. and Abu-Sarra, A.F. (1993). Compositional Changes during mango fruit ripening. University of Khartoum J. Agric. Sci. 1(1), 32-51.

Bashir, H.A. and Abu-Goukh, A.A. (2003). Compositional changes during ripening of guava fruit. Fd. Chem. 80(4), 557-563

Ben-Arie, R., Kislev, N., and Frenkel, C. (1979). Ultra structural changes in the cell walls of ripening apple and pear fruit. Pl.

Biwas, A.B., and Rao, C.V.N. (1969). Enzymatic hydrolysis and degradation studies on pectic acid from the pulp of unripe papaya (*Carica papaya*) fruit. *Ind. J. chem.* **7**, 592 – 93

Crookes, P.R., and Grierson, D. (1983). Ultrastructure of tomato fruit ripening and the role of polygalacturonase isoenzymes in cell wall degradation. *Pl. Physiol.* **72**, 1088 – 1093.

De Vries, J.A., Voragen, A.G.J., Rombouts, F.M. and Pilnk, W. (1984). Changes in the structure of apple pectic substances during ripening and storage. *Carb. poly.* **4**, 3-14.

Downs, C.G., and Brady, C.J. (1990). Two form of exopolygalacturonase increase as peach fruits ripen. *Plant cell and Envion.* **13**, 523 – 530.

Duan, X., Cheng, G., Yang E., Yi, C., Ruenroengklin, N., Lu, W., et al., (2008). Modification of pectin polysaccharides during ripening of post-harvest banana fruit. Fd. Chem. 111, 144-149

Fischer, R.L., and Bennett, A.B. (1991). Role of cell wall hydrolases in fruit ripening. Annu. Rev. Pl. Physiol. Pl. Mol. Biol. 42, 675-703.

Fuggate, P., Wongs – Aree, C., Noichinda, S., Kanlayanarat, S. (2010). Quality and volatile attributes of attached and

11

S. nd detached 'pluce Mai Lie' papaya during Fruit ripening. Sci. Hort. 126, 120-129.

Goodenough, P.W., Tucker, G.A., Grierson, D., and Thomas, T. (1982). Changes in colour, polygalacturonase monosaccharides and organic acids during storage of tomatoes. *Phytochem.* **21**, 281 – 284.

Gowda, I.N., Doreyappa and Hudder, A.G. (2001). Studies on ripening changes in mango (Mangifera indica L). fruits. J. Fd. Sci. Tech. 38, 135-137.

Grierson, D. (1987). Senescence in fruits. Hort. Sci. 22, 859 –

Huber, D. (1983). Role of cell wall hydrlases in fruit softening.  $Hort.\ Rev.\ {\bf 5},\ 169-219.$ 

Ketsa, S., Chidtragool, S., Klein, J.D., and Lurie, S. (1998). Effect of heat treatment on changes in softening, pectic substances and activities of polygalacturonase and □galactosidase of ripening mango. J. Pl. Physiol. 153 (3-4), 457 − 661.

Lazan, H., Ali, Z.M., Liang, K.S., and Yee, K.L. (1989). Polygalacturonase activity and variation in ripening of papaya fruit with tissue depth and heat treatment, *Pl. Physiol.* 77,93 – 98.

Lazan, H., and Ali, Z.M. (1993). Cell wall hydrolases and their potential in the manipulation of ripening of tropical fruits.  $ASEAN\ Fd.\ J.\ 8,\ 47-53.$ 

Misoon, B. Ali and Abu – Bakr A., Abu-Goukh (2005). Changes in Pectic substances and cell wall degrading Enzymes during Tomato Fruit Ripening. U.K.J. Agric. Sci. 13(2).

Missang, C.E., Renard, C.M.G.C., Baron, A. and Drillean, J.F. (2001a). Cell Polysaccharides of bush butter (*Dacryodes edulis* (GDon) HJ Lam) fruit pulp and their evolution during ripening. J. Sci. Fd. Agri. 81, 773-780.

www.currentbotany.org ISSN: 2220-4822 T. Venkatesan and C. Tamilmani

- Mitcham, E.J., and McDonald, R.E. (1992). Cell wall modification during ripening of 'Keitt' and 'Tommy Atkins'mango fruit. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 117, 919–924.
- Nelson, N. (1944). A photometric adaptation of the somogyi method for the determination of glucose. *J. Biol. Chem.* **153**, 375 380.
- Payasi. A. and Sanwal, G.G. (2003). Pectate lyase activity during ripening of banana fruit. *Phytochem.* 63, 243-248.
- Pesis, E., Fuchs, Y., and Zaubermann, G. (1978). Cellulase activity and fruit softening in avocado. *Plant physiol.* **61**, 416 417.
- Pilink., and Voragen, A.G.J. (1970). Pectic substances and other uronides. In The Biochemistry of fruit and their products, (ed. A.C. Hulme), Academic Press, London and New York, vol. 1, pp. 53–87.
- Poovaiah, B.W. and Nukuya, A. (1979). Polygalacturonase and cellulose enzymes in the normal Rutgen and Mutant rin tomato fruits and their relationship to the respiratory climacteric. *Pl. Physiol.* **64**, 534-537.
- Prasanna, V., Prabha, T.N., & Tharanathan, R.N. (2006). Multiple forms of polygalacturonase from mango (Mangifera indica L. CV Alphonso) fruit. Fd. Chem. 95, 30-36.
- Rajput, S.S., and Pandey, S.D. (1998). Physio-chemical changes associated with growth and development of mango (Mangifera indica L.) fruits. Prog. Hort. 30(1-2), 21 - 27.
- Ranganna, S. (1977). Manual for analysis of fruit and vegetable products Tata McGraw Hill Co. Pvt., New Delhi.
- Redgwell, R.J., Melton, L.D. and Brasch, D.J. (1992). Cell wall dissolution in Kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa). Solubilization of pectic polymers. Pl. Physiol. 98, 71-81.
- Rhodes, M.J.C. (1980). The maturation and ripening of fruits. In: "Senescence in Plants", (ed. K.V. Thimann), CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Robin, J.P., Abbai, P., and Salmon, J.M. (1997). Firmness and grape berry maturation. Definition and development of different rheological parameters during ripening. International des sciences de la vigne et du vin., 31 (3).127 – 138.
- Roe, B., and Bruemner, J. (1981). Changes in pectic substances and enzymes during ripening and storage of 'Keitt' mango. J. Fd. Sci. 46, 186-189.
- Selvaraj, Y., Pal, D.K., Subramanyam, M.D., and Iyer, C.P.A. (1982). Fruit set and the development pattern of fruits of five papaya varieties. *Ind. J. Hort.* **39**, 50–56.
- Selvaraj, Y., Rajivkumar., and Pal, D.K. (1989). Changes in sugars, organic acids, amino acids, lipid constituents and aroma characteristics of ripening mango (Mangifera indica L.) fruit. J. Fd. Sci. Technol. 26, 308 – 313.

- Seymour, G., Colquhoun, I., Dupont, M., Parsley, K., and Selvendran, R. (1990). Composition and structural features of cell wall polysaccharides from tomato fruits. *Phytochem.* 29, 725 – 731.
- Seymour, G.B., Harding, S.E., Taylor, A.J., Hobson, G.E. and Tucker, G.A. (1987). Polyuronide solubilization during ripening of normal and mutant tomato fruit. *Phytochem.* 26, 1871-1875
- Shantha, K., and Subramanyam, H. (1973). Pre and Post–harvest physiology of the mango fruit. A Rev. Trop. Sci. 15, 167 – 193.
- Sheng Jipping., Luo YunBo., and Shenlin. (2000). Effects of polygalacturonase and Lox on fruit softening and ultrastructure changes of postharvest tomato fruit. *Acta Hort. Sinica.* **27(4)**, 276 281.
- Singh, R., Singh, P. Pathak, N., Singh, V.K., and Dwivedi, U.N. (2007). Modulation of mango ripening by chemicals: Physiological and Biochemical aspects. Pl. Growth Regul. 53, 137-145
- Sirisomboon, P., Tanaka, M., Fujitha, S. and Kojima, T. (2000). Relationship between the texture and pectin constituents of Japanese pear. J. Texture Studies. 31, 679-690.
- Somogyi, M. (1952). Assay for polygalacturonase, in *Methods in enzymology*, (eds. W.A. Wood and S.T. Kellogg), Academic Press, London and New York, vol. **161B**, pp. 329 334.
- Subramanyam, H., Narayanamoorthy, N.V., Lakshminarayana, S., and Santha, K. (1972). Studies on harvesting transport and storage of mango. Acta Hort. 24, 260 264.
- Subramanyam, H., Shantha, K., and Parpia, H.A.B. (1975). Physiology and biochemistry of mango fruit. Adv. Fd. Res. 21, 223 – 305.
- Taboado, E., Fisher, P., Jara, R., Zuniga, E., Gidekel, M., Cabrera, J.C., Pereira, E., Moraga, A., Villalonga, R. and Cabrera, G. (2010). Isolation and Characterisation of pectic substances from murta (*Ugni molinae Turcz*) fruits. *Fd. Chem.* 123, 669-678.
- Thakur, B.R., Singh, R.K. and Handa, A.K. (1997). Chemistry and uses of Pectin – A Rev. Cri. Rev. Fd Sci. Nut. 37, pp. 47-73.
- Tucker, G.A. (1993). Introduction in Biochemistry of Fruit Ripening. (ed. G. Seymour, J. Taylor and G. Tucker,) Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 1-51.
- Tucker, G.A., and Grierson, D. (1987). Fruit ripening. In The Biochemistry of plants A comprehensive treatise (ed. D.D.Davies), Academic Press, vol. 12, 265 318.
- Xin, Y., Chen, F., Yang, H., Zhang, P., Deng, Y., and Yang, B. (2010). Morphology, Profile and role of chelae – soluble pectin on tomato properties during ripening. Fd. Chem. 121, 372-380.