



ISSN: 2075-6240

# Combined seed enhancement techniques involving seed priming and coating for improvised anatomical potential and vigour of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) seeds

## L. Anilkumar<sup>1</sup>, K. Malarkodi<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India , <sup>2</sup>Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu, India

#### ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to standardize the methodology of humid priming for improving the seed germination and vigour of okra seeds and to compare the efficacy of different enhancement techniques such as hydro priming, spin priming, seed coating and the combination of 'humid priming + seed coating' in improving the seed vigour characteristics of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). In order to standardize the humid priming technique, okra seeds were subjected to humid priming for four different duration's *viz.*, 2, 4, 6 and 8 h, shade dried to original moisture content and subjected to evaluation of seed germination under shade net conditions in raised beds. The results revealed that 4 h of humid priming was statistically significant to the rest of the durations, with respect seed germination and seedling growth. With respect to anatomical potential, the radicle length (3.39mm), cotyledon length (6.69mm) and cotyledon width (6.30mm), recorded by humid priming (4 h) was 62.2 %, 6.4 % and 2.6 % higher than control seeds. The second experiment conducted to compare the efficacy of hydro priming, spin priming, polymer coating and combination of humid priming + seed coating. The study revealed that seeds subjected to combination of humid priming (4 h) + seed coating (3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded highest physiological potential in terms of germination percentage (87 %), seedling length (20.2 cm), dry matter production (421.3 mg), vigour index (1765), root volume (3.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and root sheath (2.560 g) while the control recorded only 70 %, 15.1 cm, 328.7 mg, 1055, 2.0 cm<sup>3</sup> and 1.532 g, respectively.

**Received:** January 30, 2019 **Accepted:** March 21, 2019 **Published:** March 25, 2019

#### \*Corresponding Author: K. Malarkodi

Email: jujumalar2000@gmail.com KEYWORDS: Okra, seed priming techniques, humid priming, seed anatomical potential, seed germination, seedling vigour

### **INTRODUCTION**

Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) is cultivated throughout the tropical and warm temperate regions of the world [1]. Okra is highly nutritious with its fibrous fruit containing carbohydrate (5.4 %), protein (4 %) and total fat (0.5 %). In India, the crop is grown in an area of 514 ha, production of 6126 MT, productivity of 12 t/ha [2].

Using of high quality seeds and adopting adequate management strategies are the most popular ways to increase crop production [3]. The seed quality means structural soundness, high vigor and uniform field stand besides its genetic and physical purity [4]. Seed invigoration treatments are physiological treatments that enable an improvement in physiological status of seed, thereby achieving high germination percentage [5]. Seed priming is an important way of seed invigoration and can be done in different methods [6-8]. In the last two decades, extensive research has been conducted to perfect the art of seed priming so as to enable the farmer to harness maximum benefit in terms of increased seed germination and seedling vigour. Many priming techniques have been developed for priming the seeds like Osmopriming, Halopriming, Biopriming, Solid matric priming and Spin priming are commonly practiced [9]. In the present research, another concept of priming termed as 'humid priming' has been tested for okra. The humid priming technique is the process of soaking the seeds in a loosely tied cloth bag for predetermined duration of time, followed by placing the bags in a closed container on an elevated platform that allows to provide humid dark conditions that facilitate the invigouration process [10]. Seeds that are subjected to >80 % RH during the priming process improves the physiological parameters of seed like germination percentage, speed of emergence, vigour index and also biochemical parameters like decrease in seed leachates, higher  $\alpha$  - amylase activity and

Copyright: © The authors. This article is open access and licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.o/) which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

increased sugar content [11, 12]. Role of RH on growth hormones have been reported by few authors in plants like avocado [13], papaya [14], walnut [15], aglaonema [16, 17], lily [18], etc. High humidity promotes pollen germination [19]. Relative humidity on pea nut plant growth was found to be intense [20]. Among the hormones tested (Auxins, Ethylene and Gibberellins) ethylene production was significantly affected by relative humidity. Humidification of seeds have been reported to improve the seed germination potential of cockscomb [21], *B. juncea* and *B. campestris* [22], sesame [23]. When incubating *Chenopodium album* seeds with GA, under dark conditions resulted in germination improvement from 2% to 22% [24] and in *Echinacea purpurea* (L.) *Moench* seeds [25].

In the present study, an attempt was made to standardize the seed priming technology involving exposure of soaked seeds to humid conditions (humid priming) for okra. Further, the efficacy of proven seed enhancement techniques was compared with the newly developed humid priming technique to evaluate the improvement obtained in physiological potential of seeds including seed germination and seedling vigour.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted with genetically pure, fresh seeds of okra cv. CO<sub>2</sub> at the Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India.

# Experiment I. Standardization of humid priming methodology

The first part of the experiment consisted of standardization of protocol for humid priming of okra seeds. Initially, the okra seeds were soaked in water for 12 h [26]. The soaked seeds were tied in a cloth bags and placed in a container, over an elevated platform so to allow excess water to drain. The container was then closed tightly and placed in dark conditions [10] to allow the seeds to be exposed to dark humid conditions. Seed samples in cloth bags were drawn after 2, 4, 6 and 8 h and shade dried for 12 h to attain original moisture content. The seeds were subjected to germination test along with the control seeds and observations were made on speed of emergence, seed vigour parameters. Anatomical parameters like length of radicle, length and width of cotyledons were measured under stereo microscope (Euromex Microscope Hollands IMAGE VERSION 1.0) with magnification 12.5x after subjecting the seeds to staining with 2,3,5 - triphenyl tetrazolium chloride and reported the results in mm.

# Experiment II. Comparison of efficacy of different seed enhancement treatments

The main objective of the study was to compare the efficacy of different methods of seed enhancement. Therefore, okra seeds were submitted to seed priming protocols *viz.*, (i) hydropriming (12 h) [26] (ii) spin priming (12 h soaking + 4 min spinning), [27] (iii) humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) (iv) seed coating' (TNAU formulation @ 3 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) [28] and humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating' (TNAU

formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>). TNAU seed coating formulation developed in the Department of Seed Science and Technology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU) consists of a hydrophilic polymer (79.25%), pigment (20.00%), carboxy methyl cellulose (0.50%) and gibberellic acid (0.25%) [28]. The seeds submitted to different treatments were subjected to seed germination test.

The germination test was conducted in shade net under raised bed conditions with 100 seeds, in four replications for every treatment. At the final count, the number of normal seedlings were counted and the mean was expressed as germination per cent. Speed of emergence was recorded daily and calculated by using the formula proposed by Maguire [29]. Length of root and shoot were measured and showed in cm. After measuring the root and shoot length the seedlings were placed in a paper cover, shade dried for 24 hours and then dried in a hot air oven at 80  $\pm$ 2°C for 24 hours. Later, the samples were cooled in a desiccator, weighed and expressed as milli gram per 10 seedlings. Root volume was measured based on displacement of water. The roots separated from 10 seedlings were washed carefully to remove the soil particles, but not to damage the root parts. The roots were soaked in a 100 ml measuring cylinder, filled with 50 ml of water. The increase in the volume of water was measured and recorded as ml seedlings-10. Root sheath denotes the volume of soil adhering to the roots uprooted from the soil. In order to record the root sheath, roots of 10 seedlings were removed carefully from soil and fresh weight was recorded. Immediately, the roots were carefully washed, the residual moisture was wiped with blotter paper and the roots were weighed once again.

Root sheath (g seedlings  $^{\text{-}10})$  = Wt. of roots with soil – Wt. of roots without soil

Vigour index values were computed using the formula suggested by Abdul-Baki and Anderson [30] and the mean values were expressed as whole numbers.





Data were analyzed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) as a factorial combination of treatments. Means were separated on the basis of least significant difference (LSD) only if F test of ANOVA for treatments was significant at the 0.05 or 0.01 probability level. Values in percent data were arcsine transformed before analysis.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In the present study, okra seeds soaked in water for 12 h were subjected to humid priming for four different durations viz., 2, 4, 6, 8 h. Statistically significant difference was observed among the different durations of humid priming with respect to seed germination, seedling vigour and changes in embryonic parameters viz., radicle length, length and width of cotyledon which was significantly greater than control seeds. Among the durations of humid priming, the speed of emergence of seedlings was highest in seeds subjected to 4 h of humid priming in both medium vigour (5.21) and low vigour (3.72) lots compared to respective control seeds which recorded a speed of germination of 3.03 and 4.34 (Table 1). The seed germination of medium vigour lot was found to reach the highest value of 93 per cent even with humid priming (12 h soaking + 2 h humid incubation), which was maintained even in humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation). However, the low vigour lot could attain the highest value of 77 per cent only with humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation). In both medium and low vigour lots, the germination percent as well as speed of germination showed a reduction with increased durations viz., 6 h and 8 h incubation. The data underscored that for the medium vigour lot with 81 per cent germination, 2 h of humid incubation was sufficient to bring about the cellular repair process including membrane reorganization, repair and synthesis of mitochondria and DNA, which is quintessential for invigoration of seeds. However, for the low vigour seeds with 58 per cent germination, a longer incubation duration of 4 h was necessary to maximum seed invigouration. Significant improvement in root length, dry matter production and vigour index, in both medium and low vigour lots was plausible due to humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation). The improvement in shoot length was found to reach the highest in humid priming (12 h soaking + 2 h humid incubation) in medium vigour lot, while it required humid priming (4 h) for low vigour lots (Table 2, 3).

Irrespective of duration of humid priming treatments and vigour status of seed lots, the anatomical potential of seeds, measured in terms of as radicle length, cotyledon width and cotyledon length was observed to be significantly higher in humid primed seeds than control seeds, in both medium and low vigour lot. The humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) recorded the maximum radicle length (3.86 mm), cotyledon width (6.47 mm), cotyledon length (6.89 mm) in medium vigour lot as well as low vigour lot by recording 2.92 mm 6.13 mm 6.49 mm, respectively (Table 4) (Plate 1). This improvement in anatomical potential of embryo could have resulted in highest level of improvement in seed germination and seedling growth with humid priming (4 h). Therefore, irrespective of the vigour of the okra seed lot, the effective technique to achieve significantly higher improvement in seed germination and seedling vigour is determined to humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation). The obtained results were in accordance with earlier reports of seed priming in sesame [23].

Humidification of cockscomb (*Celosia argentea* var. cristata L.) improved the seed vigour and was attributed to repair of membrane

| Table 1: | Effect of | duration | of humid | priming | on speed | of emergence | and germir | nation (%) | ) of | medium | and low | vigour | lots o | of okra |
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|------------|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
|----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|------------|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|

| Duration (h) | Speed of en       | iergence       | Mean  | Germinati         | on (%)         | Mean       |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|------------|
|              | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |            |
| Control      | 4.34              | 3.03           | 3.69  | 81 (64.15)        | 58 (49.60)     | 70 (56.79) |
| 2            | 5.17              | 3.49           | 4.33  | 93 (74.66)        | 74 (59.34)     | 84 (66.42) |
| 4            | 5.21              | 3.72           | 4.47  | 93 (74.66)        | 77 (61.34)     | 85 (67.21) |
| 6            | 4.96              | 3.52           | 4.24  | 89 (70.63)        | 73 (58.69)     | 81 (64.15) |
| 8            | 4.85              | 3.41           | 4.13  | 86 (68.02)        | 70 (56.79)     | 78 (62.02) |
| Mean         | 4.91              | 3.43           | 4.17  | 88 (69.73)        | 70 (56.79)     | 79 (62.72) |
|              | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤXL        |
| SEd          | 0.037             | 0.023          | 0.052 | 0.725             | 0.458          | 1.025      |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.076             | 0.048          | 0.107 | 1.480             | 0.936          | 2.094      |

(Figures in parentheses indicates arcsine values)

| Table | 2: | Effect c | of dı | iration | of | humid | priming | a on | root | length | (cm      | ) and | shoot | lengt | th (c | :m) | of | medium | and | low | vigour | lots of | of ol | kra |
|-------|----|----------|-------|---------|----|-------|---------|------|------|--------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|----|--------|-----|-----|--------|---------|-------|-----|
|       |    |          |       |         |    |       |         |      |      |        | <b>`</b> | /     |       |       | •     |     |    |        |     |     |        |         |       |     |

| Duration (h) | Root lengt        | h (cm)         | Mean  | Shoot leng        | th (cm)        | Mean  |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------|
|              | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       |
| Control      | 6.9               | 6.5            | 6.7   | 8.9               | 8.4            | 8.7   |
| 2            | 8.9               | 7.4            | 8.2   | 12.1              | 9.4            | 10.8  |
| 4            | 9.0               | 7.8            | 8.4   | 12.1              | 10.1           | 11.1  |
| 6            | 8.5               | 7.3            | 7.9   | 11.7              | 9.7            | 10.7  |
| 8            | 8.1               | 6.8            | 7.5   | 10.9              | 9.4            | 10.2  |
| Mean         | 8.3               | 7.2            | 7.7   | 11.1              | 9.4            | 10.3  |
|              | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤXL   |
| SEd          | 0.088             | 0.055          | 0.124 | 0.064             | 0.041          | 0.091 |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.179             | 0.113          | 0.253 | 0.131             | 0.083          | 0.186 |

systems as witnessed by reduction in solute leakage [21]. Our findings are also in accordance who reported that with 12 h aerated hydration of *B. juncea* and *B. campestris*, seeds registered significant improvement in germination rate and vigour [22].

The faster germination rate and germination improvement in prehydrated seeds was probably due to enlargement of embryo [31]. Humidification of aged pea seeds at varying periods for 3 to 7 days significantly improved the seed germination by reducing the percentage of abnormal seedling which is attributable to due to reduction in all the categories of chromosomal aberrations when compared with non-humidified seeds [32]. Wheat seeds hydrated for the duration of 24 h at 5°C improved the germination percentage and reduced the time to 50 % radicle emergence, increased dry weight of seedlings and decreased electrical conductivity of seed leachates which is a consequence of membrane reorganization and DNA repair [12]. The effect of humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) of okra seeds as observed through the improvement in seed germination, seedling growth and embryo enlargement has emphasized the potential of humid priming as a potential seed invigouration technique for okra.

In the second experiment, the humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) standardized in the previous experiment was compared with other seed quality enhancement techniques such as hydropriming (12 h), spin priming (12 h soaking + 4 min spinning), seed coating (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) as well as 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating' (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Among the treatments, combined effect of humid priming (4 h) + seed coating (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded remarkable improvement in speed of emergence in both low vigour (5.54) and low vigour seed

lots (3.79) when compared with control seeds which recorded 4.42 and 2.94, respectively (Table 5). In germination among the various treatments humid priming (4 h) and humid priming + seed coating (TNAU formulation) showed significant increase in both the medium vigour (94%) and low vigour lot (80%) over the control seeds (Table 5). The seedling vigour also was found to be highest in 'humid priming + seed coating (TNAU formulation)' treatment (Table 6). Dry matter production recorded with humid priming (4 h) and seed coating (TNAU formulation) (3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) was highest in both medium vigour (486.1) and low vigour lots (356.5) when compared with the control (371.0) and (286.4) (Table 7). The root volume and root sheath are important parameters to assess the growth and productivity potential of a plant. The root volume observed in seeds subjected to 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>)' was significantly higher in both medium vigour (3.6 ml) and low vigour (3.3 ml) lots, while the values recorded by respective controls were only 2.1 ml and 1.9 ml. Similarly, the root sheath also was found to be highest seeds subjected to 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>)' in both medium vigour (2.808 g) and in low vigour (2.312 g) than compared to respective control viz., 1.678 g and 1.386 g (Table 8).

The results obtained in the present study has endorsed that the combined treatment consisting of 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating' (TNAU formulation @  $3g \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ) demonstrated the highest potential to enhance the seed germination and seedling vigour of okra. This is in concordance with the findings made on effect of seed coating on cotton seeds (40 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) [33], which had improved all the physiological parameters like germination percentage, speed of germination, seedling length,

Table 3: Effect of duration of humid priming on dry matter production (mg seedlings<sup>-10</sup>) and vigour index of medium and low vigour lots of okra

| Duration (h) | Dry matter productio | n (mg seedlings <sup>-10</sup> ) | Mean   | Vigour i          | Mean           |        |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|--------|
|              | Medium vigour lot    | Low vigour lot                   |        | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |        |
| Control      | 383.2                | 291.3                            | 337.3  | 1280              | 864            | 1072   |
| 2            | 453.6                | 326.3                            | 390.0  | 1953              | 1234           | 1594   |
| 4            | 459.0                | 343.9                            | 401.5  | 1962              | 1378           | 1670   |
| 6            | 422.4                | 319.6                            | 371.0  | 1798              | 1241           | 1520   |
| 8            | 407.6                | 306.2                            | 356.9  | 1634              | 1134           | 1384   |
| Mean         | 425.2                | 317.5                            | 371.3  | 1725              | 1170           | 1448   |
|              | Т                    | L                                | ΤΧL    | Т                 | L              | ТХL    |
| SEd          | 4.671                | 2.954                            | 6.606  | 11.192            | 7.078          | 15.827 |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 9.540                | 6.034                            | 13.492 | 22.857            | 14.456         | 32.324 |

| Table 4: Effect of humid priming or | i anatomical potential of | f medium and low vigour | lots of okra |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|

| Duration (h) | Radicle length (mm) |                | Mean  | Cotyledon wi      | dth (mm)       | Mean  | Cotyledon len     | Cotyledon length (mm) |       |  |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|
|              | Medium vigour lot   | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot        |       |  |
| Control      | 2.19                | 1.98           | 2.09  | 6.14              | 5.70           | 5.92  | 6.71              | 6.32                  | 6.52  |  |
| 2            | 3.83                | 2.76           | 3.30  | 6.40              | 5.99           | 6.20  | 6.85              | 6.40                  | 6.63  |  |
| 4            | 3.86                | 2.92           | 3.39  | 6.47              | 6.13           | 6.30  | 6.89              | 6.49                  | 6.69  |  |
| 6            | 3.50                | 2.70           | 3.10  | 6.36              | 6.02           | 6.19  | 6.79              | 6.36                  | 6.58  |  |
| 8            | 3.03                | 2.59           | 2.81  | 6.24              | 5.80           | 6.02  | 6.60              | 6.23                  | 6.42  |  |
| Mean         | 3.28                | 2.59           | 2.94  | 6.32              | 5.93           | 6.13  | 6.77              | 6.36                  | 6.56  |  |
|              | Т                   | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L                     | ΤXL   |  |
| SEd          | 0.029               | 0.019          | 0.042 | 0.054             | 0.034          | 0.076 | 0.044             | 0.028                 | 0.062 |  |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.061               | 0.038          | 0.086 | 0.110             | 0.070          | 0.155 | 0.089             | 0.056                 | 0.126 |  |

|  | Table 5: Comparison | of effect of various seed er | hancement techniques on s | peed of emergence and seed | germination (%) of okra seed |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|

| Treatments                 | Speed of en       | iergence       | Mean  | Germinati         | Germination (%) |            |  |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|--|
|                            | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot  |            |  |
| Control                    | 4.42              | 2.94           | 3.68  | 81 (64.15)        | 58 (49.60)      | 70 (56.79) |  |
| Hydropriming               | 5.19              | 3.46           | 4.33  | 91 (72.54)        | 73 (58.69)      | 82 (64.89) |  |
| Spin priming               | 5.30              | 3.64           | 4.47  | 93 (74.66)        | 76 (60.66)      | 85 (67.21) |  |
| Humid priming              | 5.30              | 3.68           | 4.49  | 93 (74.66)        | 77 (61.34)      | 85 (67.21) |  |
| Seed coating               | 4.94              | 3.39           | 4.17  | 87 (68.86)        | 68 (55.55)      | 78 (62.02) |  |
| Humid priming+seed coating | 5.54              | 3.79           | 4.67  | 94 (75.82)        | 80 (63.43)      | 87 (68.86) |  |
| Mean                       | 5.12              | 3.48           | 4.30  | 90 (71.56)        | 72 (58.05)      | 81 (64.15) |  |
|                            | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L               | ΤΧL        |  |
| SEd                        | 0.051             | 0.029          | 0.072 | 0.544             | 0.314           | 0.769      |  |
| CD (P=0.05)                | 0.103             | 0.059          | 0.145 | 1.103             | 0.637           | 1.560      |  |

(Figures in parentheses indicates arcsine values)

### Table 6: Comparison of effect of various seed enhancement techniques on root length (cm) and shoot length (cm) of okra seeds

| Treatments                 | Root lengt        | h (cm)         | Mean  | Shoot leng        | Mean           |       |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------|
|                            | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       |
| Control                    | 6.7               | 6.3            | 6.5   | 8.8               | 8.4            | 8.6   |
| Hydropriming               | 8.2               | 7.3            | 7.8   | 11.0              | 9.5            | 10.3  |
| Spin priming               | 8.7               | 7.6            | 8.2   | 11.8              | 10.1           | 11.0  |
| Humid priming              | 8.9               | 7.7            | 8.3   | 12.0              | 10.1           | 11.1  |
| Seed coating               | 7.9               | 7.0            | 7.5   | 10.9              | 9.2            | 10.1  |
| Humid priming+seed coating | 9.4               | 8.1            | 8.8   | 12.5              | 10.3           | 11.4  |
| Mean                       | 8.3               | 7.3            | 7.8   | 11.2              | 9.6            | 10.4  |
|                            | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤΧL   |
| SEd                        | 0.090             | 0.052          | 0.128 | 0.103             | 0.059          | 0.145 |
| CD (P=0.05)                | 0.183             | 0.106          | 0.259 | 0.208             | 0.120          | 0.295 |

Table 7: Comparison of effect of various seed enhancement techniques on dry matter production (mg seedlings<sup>-10</sup>) and vigour index of okra seeds

| Treatments                 | Dry matter production | 1 (mg seedlings <sup>-10</sup> ) | Mean  | Vigour i          | ndex           | Mean   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|--------|
|                            | Medium vigour lot     | Low vigour lot                   |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |        |
| Control                    | 371.0                 | 286.4                            | 328.7 | 1240              | 870            | 1055   |
| Hydropriming               | 444.2                 | 328.1                            | 386.2 | 1747              | 1226           | 1487   |
| Spin priming               | 455.4                 | 339.0                            | 397.2 | 1907              | 1345           | 1626   |
| Humid priming              | 457.8                 | 343.4                            | 400.6 | 1943              | 1371           | 1657   |
| Seed coating               | 420.0                 | 314.6                            | 367.3 | 1635              | 1101           | 1368   |
| Humid priming+seed coating | 486.1                 | 356.5                            | 421.3 | 2058              | 1472           | 1765   |
| Mean                       | 439.1                 | 328.0                            | 383.5 | 1755              | 1231           | 1493   |
|                            | Т                     | L                                | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤXL    |
| SEd                        | 3.341                 | 1.929                            | 4.725 | 12.776            | 7.737          | 18.067 |
| CD (P=0.05)                | 6.776                 | 3.912                            | 9.583 | 25.913            | 14.961         | 36.647 |

| Table 8: 0 | Comparison of | f effect of various s | ed enhancemen | t techniques or | 1 root volume ( | cm <sup>3</sup> ) | and root sheath | (q)     | of okra seeds |
|------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|
|            |               |                       |               |                 | (               |                   |                 | · · · · |               |

| Treatments                 | Root volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ) |                | Mean  | Root sheath (g)   |                | Mean  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------|
|                            | Medium vigour lot              | Low vigour lot |       | Medium vigour lot | Low vigour lot |       |
| Control                    | 2.1                            | 1.9            | 2.0   | 1.678             | 1.386          | 1.532 |
| Hydropriming               | 3.2                            | 2.6            | 2.9   | 2.312             | 2.111          | 2.212 |
| Spin priming               | 3.4                            | 3.0            | 3.2   | 2.508             | 2.241          | 2.375 |
| Humid priming              | 3.5                            | 3.1            | 3.3   | 2.536             | 2.256          | 2.396 |
| Seed coating               | 2.9                            | 2.4            | 2.7   | 2.201             | 1.984          | 2.093 |
| Humid priming+seed coating | 3.6                            | 3.3            | 3.5   | 2.808             | 2.312          | 2.560 |
| Mean                       | 3.1                            | 2.7            | 2.9   | 2.341             | 2.048          | 2.194 |
|                            | Т                              | L              | ΤΧL   | Т                 | L              | ΤXL   |
| SEd                        | 0.035                          | 0.020          | 0.049 | 0.024             | 0.014          | 0.033 |
| CD (P=0.05)                | 0.071                          | 0.041          | 0.100 | 0.048             | 0.028          | 0.068 |

vigour index and root volume than compared with control seeds. In the present study, the significantly higher improvement in seed

germination and seedling vigour in the combination treatment involving 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation)

+ seed coating' (TNAU formulation @ 3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) may attributed to effective accomplishment seed invigouration related biochemical processes mainly membrane reorganization, mitochondrial repair and synthesis, protein synthesis and DNA repair synthesis which is integral to any seed priming process. The seed coating of the humid primed seeds with TNAU seed coating formulation (3g kg<sup>-1</sup>) could have augmented the improvement in seed vigour by way of influencing the imbibition process and other physiological manifestations since the formulation which consists of hydrophilic polymer and gibberellic acid. Gibberellin is an effective hormone that promotes seed germination [34]. It is widely used as a stimulant for germination [35]. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), can increase seed germination due to impacts on mobilization of reserves since it exerts control over hydrolysis reserve tissues to supply energy for the embryo [36].

The results obtained in the present study establish that submitting the okra seeds to a treatment combination of 'humid priming (12 h soaking + 4 h humid incubation) + seed coating' (TNAU formulation @  $3g kg^{-1}$ ) is effective in bringing about increasing the anatomical potential of seed by initiating the membrane reorganization, repair and synthesis of DNA quintessential of seed priming process and by augmenting the seed imbibition and growth potential through the coating of seeds with TNAU seed coating formulation. Eventually the okra seeds demonstrate a better growth potential as visualized through higher root volume, root sheath and seedling vigour which is a forerunner for higher plant productivity.

### **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS**

Anilkumar. L designed the experiment, interpreted the data and writing an original draft. Malarkodi. K participated in the review and validation of manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Leela P, Rekha GS, Arumugam K. Allelopathic potential of *Echinochloa crusgalli* (l.) extracts on growth and developmental changes of *Abelmoschus esculentus* (l.) and *Solanum lycopersicum* (L.). Journal of Plant Stress Physiology. 2018:33-37.
- 2. Indiastat; 2018. Available from: http://www.indiastat.com.
- Elangovan R, Pavadai P. Gamma rays, EMS and DES induced changes on cytology of bhendi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) *Moench*]. Journal of Scientific Agriculture. 2017;1:19-24.
- Anitha S, Karthika N. Regular article antixenosis resistance in okra accessions against shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (fab.). Journal of Phytology. 2018;10:27-32.
- Kumar A, Puniya RC, Bhuker A, Sharma R, Devi R. Seed quality as influenced by seed invigouration treatments in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan*(L.) *Millsp*.]. Legume Research: An International Journal. 2017;40.
- Basu RN. An appraisal of research on wet and dry physiological seed treatments and their applicability with special reference to tropical and sub-tropical countries. Seed Science and Technology. 1994;22:107-126.
- Heydecker W, Coolbear P. Seed treatments for improved performance survey and attempted prognosis. Seed science and Technology. 1977.
- Bradford KJ. Manipulation of seed water relations via osmotic priming to improve germination under stress conditions. Horti Science (USA). 1986.
- Nawaz J, Hussain M, Jabbar A, Nadeem GA, Sajid M, Subtain MU, Shabbir I. Seed priming a technique. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences. 2013;6:1373.
- Mohamed M. Assessment of factors influencing seed propagation of Melia dubia Cav. (M. Sc.(Forestery)), TamilNadu Agricultural University,

Coimbatore. (2014).

- Powell AA, Yule LJ, Jing HC, Groot SP, Bino RJ, Pritchard HW. The influence of aerated hydration seed treatment on seed longevity as assessed by the viability equations. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2000;51:2031-2043.
- Nath S, Coolbear P, Hampton JG. Hydration-dehydration treatments to protect or repair stored 'Karamu'wheat seeds. Crop Science. 1991;31:822-826.
- Loupassaki M, Vasilakakis M, Androulakis I. Effect of pre-incubation humidity and temperature treatment on the in vitro germination of avocado pollen grains. Euphytica. 1997;94:247-251.
- 14. Cohen E, Lavi U, Spiegel-Roy P. Papaya pollen viability and storage. Scientia Horticulturae. 1989;40:317-324.
- Luza JG, Polito VS, Weinbaum SA. Staminate bloom date and temperature responses of pollen germination and tube growth in two walnut (Juglans) species. American Journal of Botany. 1987;74:1898-1903.
- Henny RJ. Relative humidity affects in vivo pollen germination and seed production in *Dieffenbachia maculate* 'Perfection'. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 1980;105:546-548.
- 17. Henny RJ. Pollen germination in aglaonema flowers of different ages. HortScience (USA). 1988.
- Simons DH, Sfakiotakis E, Dilley DR. Enhancement of in vitro pollen germiantion of lily with increased pre-inoculation humidity. HortScience. 1972.
- Van Ravestijn W. Aanleg bloemen en verbeteren zetting bij paprika (Flower induction and improvement of fruit set in Capsicum). Groenten Fruit. 1986;41:32-35 (in Dutch).
- Lee TA, Ketring DL, Powell RD. Flowering and growth response of peanut plants (*Arachis hypogaea* L. *var. Starr*) at two levels of relative humidity. Plant physiology. 1972;49:190-193.
- Khan MM, Qasim M, Iqbal MJ, Naeem AF, Abbas M. Effect of seed humidification on germinability, vigour and leakage in cockscomb (*Celosia argentia var. cristata* L.). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2003;5:499-503.
- Thornton JM, Collins AR, Powell AA. The effect of aerated hydration on DNA synthesis in embryos of *Brassica oleracea* L. Seed Science Research. 1993;3:195-199.
- Vijayalakshmi K, Sundaralingam K. Enhancement of Vigour Status through Micronutrient Priming and Humid Invigouration in Sesame. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2018;105:40-43.
- Karssen CM. Two sites of hormonal action during germination of Chenopodium album seeds. Physiologia plantarum. 1976;36:264-270.
- Pill WG, Haynes JG. Gibberellic acid during priming of *Echinacea* purpurea (L.) Moench seeds improves performance after seed storage. Journal of Horticultural Science. 1996;71:287-295.
- Nirmala K, Umarani R. Evaluation of seed priming methods to improve seed vigour of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) and beetroot (*Beta vulgaris*). Seed Science and Technology. 2008;36:56-65.
- Chandan MN, Malarkodi K, Umarani R. Evaluation of anatomical potential of primed and non-primed seeds of solanaceous vegetables. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry SP4. 2018;174-178.
- Karthi P. Development of hormone based seed coating formulation to improve seed germination and seedling vigour of crop seeds. M. Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Depatment of Seed Science and Technology, TamilNadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. 2017.
- Maguire JD. Speed of Germination-Aid in Selection and Evaluation for Seedling Emergence and Vigor 1. Crop science. 1962;2:176-177.
- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria 1. Crop science. 1973;13:630-633.
- Austin R, Longden PC, Hutchinson J. Some effects of 'hardening' of carrot seed. Annals of Botany. 1969;33:883-895.
- Sivritepe HO, Dourado AM. The effects of humidification treatments on viability and the accumulation of chromosomal aberrations in pea seeds. Seed science and technology. 1994;22:337-348.
- Umarani R, Bhaskaran M, Santhiya R. Nutrient based seed coating formulation for enhancement of seed germination characteristics, crop growth and productivity of cotton. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2017;6:5429-5438.
- Hartmann HT, Kester DE. Davies Junior FT. Geneve RL. Plant propagation: principles and practices. 2002.
- Baskin JM, Baskin CC. A classification system for seed dormancy. Seed science research. 2004;14:1-6
- Taiz E, Zeiger L. Fisiologia vegetal. 3.ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed, 2004. pp719.